

CITY COUNCIL MEETING
Tuesday, March 1, 2011 5:00 p.m. (note time change)
Public Safety Building
3925 W Cedar Hills Drive, Cedar Hills, Utah

This meeting may be held electronically via telephone to permit one or more of the council members to participate.

NOTICE is hereby given that the City Council of the City of Cedar Hills, Utah, will hold their Regular City Council Meeting on Tuesday, March 1, 2011, beginning at 5:00 p.m.

COUNCIL MEETING

1. Call to Order, Invocation and Pledge
2. Public Comment: Time has been set aside for the public to express their ideas, concerns, and comments (comments limited to 3 minutes per person with a total of 30 minutes for this item)

CONSENT AGENDA

3. Minutes from the February 15, 2011, Regular City Council Meeting

SCHEDULED ITEMS

4. Review/Action on Request for Proposals for Residential/Municipal Waste and/or Recycling Services
5. Budget Presentation for Fiscal Year 2012
6. Presentation/Discussion Regarding the Decisions 2011 Survey
7. City Manager Report and Discussion

MAYOR AND COUNCIL REPORTS

8. Board and Committee Reports

EXECUTIVE SESSION

9. Motion to go into Executive Session, Pursuant to Utah State Code 52-4-204 and 52-4-205
* * * EXECUTIVE SESSION * * *
10. Motion to Adjourn Executive Session and Reconvene City Council Meeting

ADJOURNMENT

11. Adjourn

Posted this 24th day of February, 2011.

Kim E. Holindrake, City Recorder

- Supporting documentation for this agenda is posted on the City's Web Site at www.cedarhills.org.
- In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Cedar Hills will make reasonable accommodations to participate in the meeting. Requests for assistance can be made by contacting the City Recorder at 801-785-9668 at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting to be held.
- The order of agenda items may change to accommodate the needs of the City Council, the staff, and the public.



CITY OF CEDAR HILLS

m e m o r a n d u m

To: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Konrad Hildebrandt, City Manager
DATE: March 1, 2011
SUBJECT: Solid Waste and Recycling Collection RFP

BACKGROUND

The City of Cedar Hills, Utah has put out a 'Request for Proposal' for Solid Waste and Recycling Collection. Bids were submitted and opened on Tuesday, February 15, 2011. The City Council reviewed the bids and continued the item until its March 1, 2011 meeting.

The major issue was recycling and specifically the 'opt out' rate that could be obtained and if this rate affected other rates. Two of the three providers present, indicated that they would not be interested in resubmitting bids.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the City Council, by motion, approve WASTE MANAGEMENT as the solid waste collection provider and authorize the Mayor to sign a contract with this approved provider. This recommendation comes from a virtually spotless last 9 years of service to the city of Cedar Hills, Utah and the lowering of the per can price from \$5.69 per can to \$4.50 per can.

MOTION

To approve the Mayor to enter into a contract with _____ for solid waste and recycling collection.

KONRAD HILDEBRANDT, CITY MANAGER

3925 WEST CEDAR HILLS DRIVE • CEDAR HILLS • UTAH • 84062

801-785-9668 (OFFICE) • 801-796-3543 (FAX)

CEDAR HILLS SOLID WASTE/RECYCLING SERVICES

Current

5.69
2.24

5.03
2.24

Item No	DESCRIPTION	WASTE MANAGEMENT	ALLIED WASTE	ACE DISPOSAL
	<i>current - company owns cans</i>			
1	Waste - 1st Container	\$4.50	\$4.74	\$4.92
2	Waste - Additional Container	\$2.24	\$3.79	\$2.75
3	City Properties (Cans)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4	City Properties (Dumpsters)	\$0.00	\$2.50/yd	\$0.00
5	Recycling - 1st Container	\$3.95	\$4.25	\$4.68
6	Recycling - Additional Container	\$2.24	\$4.25	\$3.25
7	Spring Clean-Up (Per Haul)	\$106.00	\$86.00	\$130.00
8	Spring Clean-Up (Other)	City pays disposal	\$35 Delivery	City pays disposal
9	Christmas Tree Disposal (Per Haul)	\$106.00	\$86.00	\$130.00
10	Christmas Tree Disposal (Other)	City pays disposal	\$35 Delivery	City pays disposal

Item No	DESCRIPTION	WASTE MANAGEMENT	ALLIED WASTE	ACE DISPOSAL
	<i>5 year Lease cans & what end</i>			
1	Waste - 1st Container	\$5.50	\$4.95	\$5.27
2	Waste - Additional Container	\$3.24	\$3.79	\$3.10
3	City Properties	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4	City Properties (Dumpsters)	\$0.00	\$2.50/yd	\$0.00
5	Recycling - 1st Container	\$4.95	\$4.50	\$5.03
6	Recycling - Additional Container	\$3.24	\$4.50	\$3.60
7	Spring Clean-Up (Per Haul)	\$106.00	\$86.00	\$130.00
8	Spring Clean-Up (Other)	City pays disposal	\$35 Delivery	City pays disposal
9	Christmas Tree Disposal (Per Haul)	\$106.00	\$86.00	\$130.00
10	Christmas Tree Disposal (Other)	City pays disposal	\$35 Delivery	City pays disposal



CITY OF CEDAR HILLS

TO:	Mayor Richardson & City Council
FROM:	Konrad Hildebrandt, City Manager
DATE:	2/23/2011

City Council Memorandum

SUBJECT:	FY 2012 Budget – Capital Projects Fund
APPLICANT PRESENTATION:	
STAFF PRESENTATION:	Rebecca Tehero, Finance Director
BACKGROUND AND FINDINGS:	Presentation of 2012 Capital Projects Fund Budget
PREVIOUS LEGISLATIVE ACTION:	
FISCAL IMPACT:	
SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:	Preliminary 2012 Capital Projects Fund Budget & Sources of Funding
RECOMMENDATION:	To review and comment on the 2012 Capital Projects Fund Budget
MOTION:	

CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND REVENUES

	FY 2011	FY 2012	CHANGE
40-30-100 Impact Fees - Park Development	\$7,020	\$11,700	\$4,680
40-30-110 Impact Fees - Park Land	\$26,268	\$43,780	\$17,512
40-30-120 Impact Fees - Recreation	\$9,840	\$16,400	\$6,560
40-30-130 Impact Fees - Public Safety	\$3,222	\$6,355	\$3,133
40-30-140 Impact Fees - Streets	\$7,884	\$52,560	\$44,676
40-30-145 Commercial Street Improvement Fee	\$0	\$21,500	\$21,500
40-30-600 Interest Income	\$30,000	\$15,000	(\$15,000)
40-30-700 Grant Income	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$0
40-30-801 Transfers in from General Fund	\$178,845	\$132,900	(\$45,945)
40-30-802 Transfers in from W&S Fund	\$76,625	\$77,880	\$1,255
	\$344,704	\$383,075	\$38,371

CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND EXPENDITURES

STREET PROJECTS		FY 2011	FY 2012	CHANGE
40-78-731 Sidewalk Projects		\$15,000	\$0	(\$15,000)
40-78-778 Speed Tables		\$25,000	\$0	(\$25,000)
40-78-779 Street Lights		\$25,000	\$25,000	\$0
40-78-781 Harvey Blvd Widening		\$500,000	\$500,000	\$0
40-78-783 GIS - Streets		\$12,200	\$16,350	\$4,150
40-78-784 4800 W Street Improvements		\$0	\$100,000	\$100,000
		\$577,200	\$641,350	\$64,150

PARK PROJECTS		FY 2011	FY 2012	CHANGE
40-80-802 Deerfield Park - Land Purchase		\$972,000	\$972,000	\$0
40-80-803 Deerfield Park - Development		\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$0
40-80-819 Sage Vista Park		\$20,000	\$0	(\$20,000)
40-80-820 Heritage Park - Basketball Court		\$0	\$35,000	\$35,000
		\$2,492,000	\$2,507,000	\$15,000

MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS		FY 2011	FY 2012	CHANGE
40-95-190 Orchard Commercial Development		\$10,000	\$0	(\$10,000)
40-95-210 Entrance Sign		\$3,000	\$0	(\$3,000)
40-95-220 Civic Center		\$0	\$550,000	\$550,000
		\$13,000	\$550,000	\$537,000

DEBT SERVICE		FY 2011	FY 2012	CHANGE
40-98-100 1999 Lease Revenue Bond - PSB		\$40,000	\$40,000	\$0
40-98-105 Interest Expense		\$105,850	\$101,450	(\$4,400)
40-98-200 2006 Excise Revenue Bond - PWB		\$60,000	\$65,000	\$5,000
40-98-795 Trustee Fees		\$4,020	\$4,020	\$0
		\$209,870	\$210,470	\$601

OTHER USES		FY 2011	FY 2012	CHANGE
40-96-120 Transfer to the Golf Fund (Events Center)		\$2,850,000	\$2,000,000	(\$850,000)
		\$2,850,000	\$2,000,000	(\$850,000)

GRAND TOTALS	FY 2011	FY 2012	CHANGE
	\$6,142,070	\$5,908,820	\$ (233,250)

CAPITAL PROJECTS

GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

		RESERVED FUND BALANCE							UNRESERVED FUND BALANCE				Total
		Class C Roads	Care Tax	Park Grant	Park Dev IF	Park Land IF	Rec Facilities IF	Public Safety IF	Street IF	General Fund	Capital Projects	Street Improvement	
Balance 6/30/2010	\$	343,053	\$ 38,456	\$ -	\$ (65,258)	\$ 486,178	\$ 2,815,642	\$ 203,207	\$ 433,261	\$ 489,427	\$ 2,563,116	\$ 231,548	\$ 7,538,630
2011 Budgeted Revenue	\$	225,000	\$ 28,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 7,020	\$ 26,268	\$ 9,840	\$ 3,222	\$ 7,884	\$ 25,000	\$ 30,000		\$ 367,234
Available for Projects	\$	568,053	\$ 66,456	\$ 5,000	\$ (58,238)	\$ 512,446	\$ 2,825,482	\$ 206,429	\$ 441,145	\$ 514,427	\$ 2,593,116	\$ 231,548	\$ 7,905,864
2011 Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Class C Roads	Care Tax	Park Grant	Park Dev IF	Park Land IF	Rec Facilities IF	Public Safety IF	Street IF	General Fund	Capital Projects	Street Improvement	Total
Community Events and Recreation Center	\$ 2,400,000						\$ 2,400,000						\$ 2,400,000
Streets GIS (Phase I)	\$ 12,200											\$ 12,200	\$ 12,200
Miscellaneous Street Projects	\$ 548,000	\$ 545,000									\$ 3,000		\$ 548,000
Orchard Commercial Development	\$ 10,000									\$ 10,000			\$ 10,000
Public Safety Building Debt Service	\$ 55,905							\$ 55,905					\$ 55,905
Sage Vista Park	\$ 20,000			\$ 5,000						\$ 15,000			\$ 20,000
	\$ 3,046,105	\$ 545,000	\$ -	\$ 5,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,400,000	\$ 55,905	\$ -	\$ 25,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 12,200	\$ 3,046,105
Remaining Balance	\$	23,053	\$ 66,456	\$ -	\$ (58,238)	\$ 512,446	\$ 425,482	\$ 150,524	\$ 441,145	\$ 489,427	\$ 2,590,116	\$ 219,348	\$ 4,859,759
2012 Budgeted Revenue	\$	260,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 11,700	\$ 43,780	\$ 16,400	\$ 6,354	\$ 52,560		\$ 15,000	\$ 21,500	\$ 462,294
Available for Projects	\$	283,053	\$ 96,456	\$ 5,000	\$ (46,538)	\$ 556,226	\$ 441,882	\$ 156,878	\$ 493,705	\$ 489,427	\$ 2,605,116	\$ 240,848	\$ 5,322,053
2012 Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Class C Roads	Care Tax	Park Grant	Park Dev IF	Park Land IF	Rec Facilities IF	Public Safety IF	Street IF	General Fund	Capital Projects	Street Improvement	Total
4800 W Street Improvements	\$ 100,000											\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
Civic Center	\$ 550,000										\$ 550,000		\$ 550,000
Deerfield Park Development	\$ 1,500,000										\$ 1,500,000		\$ 1,500,000
Deerfield Park Land	\$ 972,000					\$ 972,000							\$ 972,000
Streets GIS (Phase II)	\$ 16,350											\$ 16,350	\$ 16,350
Harvey Blvd Widening	\$ 500,000								\$ 500,000				\$ 500,000
Heritage Park Basketball Court	\$ 35,000		\$ 30,000	\$ 5,000									\$ 35,000
Miscellaneous Street Projects	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000											\$ 350,000
Public Safety Building Debt Service	\$ 54,688							\$ 54,688					\$ 54,688
	\$ 4,078,038	\$ 350,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 5,000	\$ -	\$ 972,000	\$ -	\$ 54,688	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ 2,050,000	\$ 116,350	\$ 4,078,038
Remaining Balance	\$	(66,947)	\$ 66,456	\$ -	\$ (46,538)	\$ (415,774)	\$ 441,882	\$ 102,190	\$ (6,295)	\$ 489,427	\$ 555,116	\$ 124,498	\$ 1,244,015



CITY OF CEDAR HILLS

TO:	Mayor and City Council
FROM:	Konrad Hildebrandt, City Manager
DATE:	3/1/2011

City Council Agenda Item

SUBJECT:	Presentation/Discussion Decisions 2011 Survey
APPLICANT PRESENTATION:	N/A
STAFF PRESENTATION:	Konrad Hildebrandt, City Manager
BACKGROUND AND FINDINGS: Insight Communications has recently finished the survey portion of Decisions 2011. Mr. Ray Briscoe, Senior Survey Analyst, will be presenting the findings and reviewing pertinent statistical facts. He will also provide a question/answer period.	
PREVIOUS LEGISLATIVE ACTION: None	
FISCAL IMPACT None	
SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS: The survey and results will be presented to the City Council at the meeting.	
RECOMMENDATION: None	
MOTION: None	

Cedar Hills
Decisions 2011

by Insight Research

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	
Introduction	2
Survey Analysis	3
Open Ended Comments	14
Research Instrument with Overall Results	16
Data Charts	Appendix A
Demographic Cross Tabulation	Appendix B
Responses to Open Ended Questions	Appendix C

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

Insight Research, Inc., a Utah public opinion and marketing research firm located in Salt Lake City was retained by the City of Cedar Hills to conduct and compile a public opinion survey of Cedar Hills residents.

Objectives

The primary objective of the survey was to determine how city residents feel about their City and to begin the process of Decisions 2011. The questionnaire contained similar questions from previous Decisions surveys and added a few questions regarding communication with residents and commercial development. Comparative data from the Decisions 2009 and 2006 surveys are provided in this report for each question that was measured in those surveys.

Procedures

Raymond Briscoe and David Spatafore of Insight Research drafted the questionnaire in conjunction with Konrad Hildebrand of Cedar Hills. The initial draft was written and emailed to Mr. Hildebrand who in turn provided copies to members of the municipal Council. Suggestions were offered and changes were made and the final version of the questionnaire was approved by Konrad and the City Council.

In order to meet the objectives of the research, Insight Research interviewed 403 Cedar Hills City residents during early January 2011. This sample size of residents yields a tolerated error of +/-5% at the 95% confidence level. It should be noted that this tolerated error applies only to the total data and not any of the cross-tabulated data.

After the questionnaire was approved and before any fieldwork began, the survey instrument was pre-tested on individuals selected at random from the sample universe. The purpose of the pre-test was to detect any discrepancies which might have existed in the instrument in terms of completeness, level of shared language, and appropriateness of the questions. The pre-test was successful and therefore no changes were made.

Sample and Data Collection

All data was collected using face-to-face collection procedures. Insight Research plotted on a city map using a random systematic sampling procedure with random start, giving each resident in the sample universe an equal opportunity of being selected to participate. The sample included an over sample adjustment for refusals and unavailable respondents.

To assist in interpreting results, all questions on the survey that used a rating scale were scored so that a higher rating was a more positive response. Where comparable questions were asked in the 2009 and 2006 studies, those scores have been recalculated on the same scale for consistency and to aid in trend analysis.

SURVEY ANALYSIS

Quality of Life in Cedar Hills

We provided residents with a list of reasons why they live in Cedar Hills and asked them to list the top three as to why they live in Cedar Hills.

More than half (55%) reported that the high quality of life was one of their top three reasons for living in Cedar Hills. Nearly one in four respondents (23%) listed quality of life as their top reason for living in Cedar Hills.

Good schools also ranked in the top three reasons for living in Cedar Hills for more than half of all residents (52%). Good schools was rated as the second highest reason for living in Cedar Hills by 24% of all respondents.

The third highest rated reason (42%) for living in Cedar Hills was the small city atmosphere. This was the number one reason in the 2009 survey. The low crime rate was the fourth rated reason for living in Cedar Hills with 40% of respondents putting this reason in their top three.

These findings are consistent with those of the Decisions 2009 and 2006 studies. High quality of life, good schools and small city atmosphere have each been the top ranked reason in one of the three studies and have constituted the top three in each survey although their relative ranking within the top three has varied. Also consistent with the previous surveys, proximity to cultural activities was chosen least frequently as a “top three” reason for living in Cedar Hills. “Near recreation and “other” reasons were also chosen as a top three reason by less than one-fifth of all respondents. In the middle, between nearly one quarter and one-third of respondents listed “Near friends or relatives” (33%), “Affordable housing” (28%), and “Near job” (22%). None of these responses varied by more than three percentage points from their rankings in the studies conducted in 2006 and 2009.

The importance of quality of life varied by demographic characteristics:

By location East (51%), North (53%), South (55%) versus West (62%)

Renters vs home-owners Renters (44%) and Home-owners (57%)

By length of residence < 5 yrs (47%)
5-10yrs (59%) and > 10 yrs (64%)

By income Upward trend from 42% for under \$40,000 to 69% for over \$140,000 although respondents in the \$70K-\$100K rated this factor higher (58%) than those in the next lower (52%) and next higher (53%) income brackets.

City Communications

The overwhelming choice by residents for receiving communications from or about the City was from the city newsletter. Over nine of ten respondents (92%) placed this method of communication in their top three, with 63% overall mentioning the newsletter as their most preferred method of communication. This method of communication was uniformly selected as the top method of communication throughout the city geographically as well as through all of the demographic groups.

The second most preferred method of communication was the use of the Cedar Hills website. Seventy-three percent of the survey's respondents listed the City's website as one of their top three communication methods. Email continued to gain in popularity with 62% compared to 2009 - 56%, and 2006 - 41% listing it in their top three. As might be expected, older residents are less likely to use the website or Email, although around half of those over 65 now include both of these methods within their top three choices.

When communicating concerns about operations or services to the City, respondents prefer individual contact in person or by phone(74%). More than half of almost every demographic group rated this as their first choice, the exceptions being those in the East portion of town (48%), those who rent their home (46%), those with four or five persons in the home (45% and 49% respectively, those under 45 (ranging from 44-47%) and those with income over \$140,000 (46%). Within those groups individual contact was rated within the top three choices by a minimum of 67% of respondents. Second choice was email at 54% in the top three methods of choice and the City website at 38%. A significant variation is that 67% of those aged 18-24 chose the City website as the number one way to address a concern with the City while the only other demographic groups that rated this method as the top choice by a majority were renters (56%) and those aged 25-34 at 52%.

We then asked the respondents how well they feel the City is hearing them when they have a concern. When asked this question, only 5% responded by saying they feel the City is really listening to them. Twenty-one percent gave the City the next highest rating for listening, forty-six percent rated the City in the middle, 13% gave the next rating below mid-level and 8% reported they are least heard. The mean score continues a trend of slight improvement from 2.7 in 2006, 2.9 in 2009 and 3.0 this time around. Although 3.0 is the mid point of the scale, there is still an indication that many respondents believe communication can be improved. This response is fairly consistent throughout each of the demographic groups.

A similar question was introduced in the 2011 study. It asked how the respondent would rate the City as far as attempting to communicate with residents. This question received a more favorable response (mean score of 3.4) with 45% of all respondents indicating efforts above the mid-point "3" rating and only 15% indicating that little or no effort is being put forth by the City to communicate. Thirty-seven percent of respondents selected a midpoint rating and again the results were consistent throughout the demographic groups.

Overall, social networking sites for the City were not encouraged by respondents with 37% for and 59% against the City providing such communication methods as Twitter or Facebook. A significant demographic trend in responses to this question was found in the age of respondents.

Those aged 18-24 rated the addition of social networking communication with 56% in favor, trending downward consistently to only 7% of those aged 65 and older favoring such additions.

City Services, Satisfaction and Improvements

Using a five-point scale, we asked respondents to rate a list of city services. A mean score of more than 4 would indicate a service that is being very well received by the residents while a mean score of between 4 and 3.5 would indicate the service is being well received and perhaps could be improved slightly, while a service between 3.5 and 3 would indicate the service is okay, but could be better. Anything lower than a three would indicate the service would need significant improvement. At this time, no city service received a lower mean score than a 3.0.

Top rated City Services in Resident Satisfaction

Six provided services received a mean score higher than 3.5, while another eight received scores between 3.3 and 3.5. The best:

- Garbage collection – 4.1 overall (4.2 in 2009 and 4.1 in 2006)
 - No significant variations
- Parks – 3.9 overall (3.8 in 2009 and 3.6 in 2006)
 - Age 35-44 - 4.1 versus Age 65+ - 3.5
 - East and South - 3.8 versus West - 4.2
- Pressurized irrigation – 3.7 overall (3.5 in 2009 and 3.2 in 2006)
 - Ages 65+ – 3.4 versus Age 18-24 - 3.9
 - Two in home - 3.4 versus Five in home - 3.9
- Storm drainage - 3.6 overall (3.7 in 2009 and 3.4 in 2006)
 - No significant variations
- City management staff - 3.6 overall (3.6 in 2009 and 3.4 in 2006)
 - No significant variations
- Utility / Billing department - 3.6 overall (3.6 in 2009 and 3.5 in 2006)
 - One in home - 3.2 versus Five in home - 3.8
 - Age 18 - 24 - 3.1 versus Age 35-44 - 3.7

Only one provided service had a mean score at the midline of 3. Recreation services had an overall mean of 3.0 compared to 2.9 in 2009 and 3.1 in 2006.

- Four in home - 2.6 and Six in home - 2.8 versus One in home - 3.6
- Age 18 - 24 - 2.6 versus Age 55-64 - 3.4

Support for Improvement to City Services

We then asked using a similar one to five scale if the respondent was strongly opposed (one) to strongly in favor (five) of improvements in various city services. Utilizing the same analysis on this scale as above, no city service received a mean score of less than 3, four services, community pool (3.5), other (3.6), children's library (3.6), and community recreation (3.7) earned a mean score at or above 3.5.

- Community recreation - 3.7
 - Two in home – 3.0 versus Six in home – 4.0
 - Age 55-64 and Age 65+ - 2.9 versus Age 25-34 - 4.1
 - Income < \$39K - 3.2 versus Income > \$69K (all above this bracket) – 3.8
- Children's Library – 3.6
 - One in home - 3.0 versus Seven or more in home 4.0
 - Age 65+ 3.0 and Age 18 - 24 - 3.1 versus Age 25-34 - 4.2
- Other – 3.6
 - North and East - 3.4 versus West 4.0
 - One in home - 3.0 versus Seven in home - 4.2
 - Age 18-24 - 3.0 versus Age 25-34 - 4.8
 - Income > \$140,000 - 2.7 versus Income \$40K - \$69K – 4.0
- Community pool – 3.5
 - Two in home – 2.8 versus Six in home – 4.0
 - Age 55-64 and Age 65+ - 2.7 versus Age 25-34 - 4.2
 - Income < \$39K - 3.2 versus Income > \$139,999 – 3.8

Again only one service had a mean score at or below midline of 3. Animal Control services received the lowest level of support for increased city funding at 3.0.

- Seven or more in home - 2.7 versus One in home - 3.2
- Age 65+ - 2.8 versus Age 55-64 - 3.3
- Income \$100K to \$140K - 2.8 versus Income < \$39K - 3.3

Support for Increased Taxes to Fund Services

The respondents were asked on a three point scale, with one for “no”, two for “maybe”, and three for “yes”, if they would support increasing taxes for construction and/or operations and maintenance of facilities and services. For this question, a mean of more than 2 would indicate support for increased taxes for that facility or service, while anything lower than two would not. The highest three ratings with a mean response of 2.0 were community recreation, children's library and community pool. Two services (other and animal control) received a mean score below 1.5, with the remainder falling between 1.5 and 1.9. In the 2009 survey a five point scale was used for questions in this group so no direct comparison of data is shown although increasing taxes for a library, community pool, and community recreation also received the highest levels of support on both the 2006 and 2009 surveys.

The following are the top three services according to willingness to increase taxes for construction and operations/maintenance. Demographic group variances are shown.

- Community Recreation – 2.0
 - One in home - 1.3 versus Six in home - 2.3
 - Age 55-64 - 1.3 versus Age 25-34 and Age 35-44 - 2.3
 - Income < \$39K - 1.8 versus Income > \$140K - 2.3
- Children’s Library - 2.0
 - > 10 yrs resident – 1.7 versus < 5 yrs resident - 2.2
 - One in home - 1.5 and Two in home - 1.6 versus Six/Seven or more in home - 2.3
 - Age 55 – 64 and Age 65+ - 1.6 versus Age 25-34 - 2.4
- Community Pool - 2.0
 - Two in home - 1.6 and One in home 1.7 versus Seven or more in home - 2.2, and Six in home - 2.3
 - Age 55 – 64 – 1.4, Age 65+ - 1.6 versus Age 25-34 and Age 35-44 - 2.2
 - Income < \$39K - 1.7 versus Income > \$140K - 2.3

Interest in Recreational Programs

Using a five-point scale, we asked respondents to rate a list of recreational programs they might have an interest in. A mean score of more than 3.5 would indicate a program of great interest, while a mean score of between 3 and 3.5 would indicate significant interest; while a recreation program scoring between 2.5 and 3 would indicate some interest. Any program lower than 2.5 would indicate marginal interest. Only three programs received rating higher than 3.0, swimming(3.4), basketball (3.2), and soccer (3.1). “Other” programs received a 3.0 rating which might be expected since respondents would not likely fill in the “other” response unless they had an interest in that program. In previous studies, swimming had not been mentioned as a possible choice and many of the “other” responses indicated swimming as the “other” interest. This difference makes comparisons between the 2006, 2009 and 2011 ratings less meaningful. The 2011 study is the first time that basketball outsourced soccer as a program of interest, however the ratings are close enough that the difference is probably not of significance.

- Swimming - 3.6
 - > 10 yrs resident - 3.1 versus < 5 yrs resident - 3.9
 - Male - 3.1 versus Female - 3.9
 - Age 65+ - 1.9 versus Age 25-34 - 4.1 and Age 35-44 - 4.0
 - Income < \$39,999 - 3.1 versus Income > \$140K - 4.0 and Income > \$100K - 3.9
- Basketball – 3.2
 - > 10 yrs resident - 2.9 versus < 5 yrs resident - 3.4
 - Two in home - 2.0 versus Seven or more in home - 3.8
 - Male - 2.9 versus Female 3.5
 - Age 65+ - 1.7 versus Age 25-34 - 3.9
 - Income < \$39,999 - 2.6 versus Income > \$100K and Income > \$140K - 3.5

- Soccer – 3.1
 - > 10 yrs resident - 2.8 versus < 5 yrs resident - 3.3
 - One in home - 1.3 versus Six in home - 3.6 and Seven in home - 3.8
 - Age 65+ - 1.6 versus Age 25-34 - 3.8 and Age 35-44 - 3.6
 - Income < \$39K - 2.2 versus Income > \$140K - 3.6

Following this question regarding recreational programs, the survey asked two follow-up questions regarding adult recreational programs. The first was if the City should provide adult recreation programs. The overall response was 35% in favor and 60% against. The follow-up question, if adult programs were provided, would you participate had a similar response with 29% indicating they would not participate while 65% responded that they would.

Should the City provide adult recreation programs - Yes 35%

- West - 20% vs North, East - 38%
- > 10 yrs resident - 19% vs < 5 yrs - 43%
- One in home - 11% vs Four in home - 46% and Seven or more - 43%
- Own home - 32% vs Rent home - 58%
- Male - 31% vs. Female - 40%
- Age 55-64 - 13% and Age 65+ - 14% vs. Age 18-24 - 56% and Age 25-34 - 60%
- Income \$100K-\$139K - 34% vs. Income < \$39K - 50%

Would you participate? - Yes 29%

- West - 16% vs East - 33%, South - 31%
- > 10 yrs resident - 17% vs < 5 yrs - 37%
- One in home - 11% vs Four in home - 37% and Seven or more - 35%
- Own home - 28% vs Rent home - 44%
- Age 55-64 - 15% and Age 65+ - 5% vs. Age 25-34 - 52% and Age 18-24 - 44%
- Income \$100K-\$140K - 28% vs. Income < \$40K-\$69K and Income > \$140K - 35%

Aquatic and Recreation/Community Center

Five questions were included in this survey which addressed preferences and options regarding development of a community center with aquatic and recreational facilities. The first four were yes/no questions asking in turn whether the City should build an Aquatic Center, whether the respondent would support a tax increase for the project and whether the City should build a Recreation Center and whether the respondent would vote for a tax increase for that project. The final question asked if a choice had to be made, should the Aquatic Center or Recreation Center be built. Choices were also provided for building both or neither. While questions regarding these proposed projects have been included in previous studies, the 2011 survey took a different approach and so there is no comparative data from previous surveys.

Should the City build an Aquatic Center? -
Yes 61%

- South - 55% vs North - 66%
- > 10 yrs resident - 47% vs < 5 yrs - 66%
and 5-10 yrs - 67%
- Two in home - 31% vs Four in home - 78%
and Six in home - 72%
- Own home - 60% vs Rent home - 75%
- Male - 50% vs. Female - 71%
- Age 65+ - 23% vs. Age 25-34 - 81% and
Age 35-44 - 71%
- Income < \$39K - 50% vs. Income > \$140K
- 73%

Should the City build a Recreation Center? -
Yes 65%

- East, West - 62% vs North - 70%
- > 10 yrs resident - 51% vs < 5 yrs - 72%
- Two in home - 41% vs Six in home - 79%
- Own home - 63% vs Rent home - 75%
- Male - 59% vs. Female - 70%
- Age 55-64 - 26% and Age 65+ - 42% vs.
Age 25-34 - 78% and Age 35-44 - 75%
- Income \$40K-\$69K - 56% vs. Income >
\$140K - 77%

Would you vote for a tax increase of \$5-\$10/
month for an Aquatic Center? - Yes 57%

- South - 52% vs North - 60%
- > 10 yrs resident - 43% vs < 5 yrs - 62%
and 5-10 yrs - 63%
- Two in home - 31% vs Four in home and
Six in home - 69% - 7or more - 72%
- Own home - 57% vs Rent home - 67%
- Male - 47% vs. Female - 65%
- Age 65+ - 23% vs. Age 25-34 - 73% and
Age 35-44 - 72%
- Income < \$39K - 38% vs. Income > \$140K
- 75%

Would you vote for a tax increase of \$5-
\$10/month for a Recreation Center? -
Yes 58%

- South - 55% vs North - 62%
- > 10 yrs resident - 46% vs < 5 yrs - 65%
- Two in home - 35% vs Six or 7+ in home -
72%
- Own home - 57% vs Rent home - 65%
- Male - 53% vs. Female - 63%
- Age 55-64 - 21% and Age 65+ - 37% vs.
Age 25-34 - 70% and Age 35-44 - 71%
- Income < \$39K - 42% vs. Income > \$140K
- 73%

When asked to make a choice on which Center the City should build, the overall responses were nearly evenly split between the various options. The Aquatic Center was favored by 23%, and the Recreation Center by 28%. Twenty-five (25%) of all respondents thought both should be built and the remaining 21% thought the City should not build either facility. The demographic groups with notable variances are shown below.

Build the Aquatic Center - 23%

- South - 19% versus East 27%
- One in home - 11% and Seven+ in home - 13% versus Five in home - 36%
- Age 45-54 - 17% and Age 65+ 19% versus Age 35-44 - 26% and Age 25-34 - 25%
- Income < \$39K - 13% versus Income > \$140K - 27%

Build the Recreation Center - 28%

- West - 20% versus North - 34% and South - 36%
- Seven+ in home - 24% versus One in home - 33%
- Age 55-64 - 18% versus Age 45-54 - 38%
- Income > \$140K - 17% versus Income \$40K-\$69K - 32%

Build Both - 25%

- South - 21% versus West 30%
- > 10 yrs resident - 17% versus < 5 yrs - 30%
- One in home - 0% versus Seven+ in home - 44%
- Own home - 24% versus Rent home - 35%
- Male - 17% versus Female - 30%
- Age 65+ - 7% versus Age 25-34 - 35% and Age 35-44 - 33%
- Income \$40K-\$69K - 23% versus Income > \$140K - 38%

Build Neither - 21%

- North - 18% versus West 27%
- < 5 yrs resident - 17% versus > 10 yrs - 33%
- Six in home - 11% versus One in home - 44%
- Rent home - 12% versus Own home - 23%
- Female - 15% versus Male - 29%
- Age 25-34 - 10% versus Age 55-64 - 46%

Family Festival Days

This section of the survey solicited input from residents about the Cedar Hills Family Festival Days activities.

Eight possible activities were listed and respondents were asked to indicate their interest level on a one to five scale, one being not at all interested and five being very interested. Consistent with the 2009 study, the clear leader was again fireworks which scored 4.4 and was the only rating above a 3.5. The other activities above the 3.0 midpoint were the Parade and the 5K and One-mile Fun Run with Kids games at 3.0. The lowest interest was shown for the golf tournament and Cedar Hills night with the Orem Owlz at 2.1 each.

Commercial Development

Residents were asked how they would rate the development by the City of the north portion of the commercial area where the Walmart, ChaseBank, and McDonalds are. The rating was on a five point scale with one being “done very poorly” and five being “done great”. A mean score of 4.2 indicates a high level of satisfaction with the development in this area. There were not significant differences in demographic groups on this question.

The next question listed nine choices for development of the south side of the commercial area and asked residents to select their top three choices. Restaurants came out on top with 81% including it in their top three; 33% selected it as their number one choice and another 37% selected it as number two. Gas station/Convenience store was actually picked more often as the number one choice with 44% of respondents making that selection. It was in the top three for 73% of all respondents. Strip Mall retail rounded out the top three choices; only 7% picked it as their number one choice and 18% as number two, but 26% selected it as their third choice which brought the total percent in top three to 51%. From there the choice drops down to 25% for a mixed use “Gateway style” development and 20% for professional offices. “Other” types of development received the least votes at 4% in the top three with single family residential at 10%, Big Box development at 12%, and “No more development” appearing in the top three for 14% of respondents.

Emergency Preparedness

Two survey questions addressed preparedness for a natural emergency such as an earthquake or landslide. Both utilized a four point scale with 1 meaning not at all and 4 meaning very prepared.

The first question asked how much emphasis the City should put on or pay for preparing for a natural emergency. With no variances of any significance by demographic group, the average result was 3.4 or midway between “somewhat” and “very”. The question was phrased differently than in the 2009 study and the response was considerably stronger than it was in that study but the results cannot be directly compared unless the question is exactly the same.

The second question asked how prepared the respondent was personally for a natural emergency. The mean score was 3.0 which is right at the midpoint between very and not at all prepared. This question is an exact repeat of the 2009 phrasing and shows a slight improvement from a 2.8 mean measured in that study. As in the previous question, there were no significant variances based on demographics.

A third question regarding emergency preparedness asked about the residents’ expectations regarding City response to a major natural or man-made disaster. The question asked how quickly the resident expected the City to respond to such a situation. More than one-third (35%) of respondents expect immediate help from emergency personnel with another 34% expecting help within 24-48 hours. Fifteen percent expect help to take 72 hours and only ten percent expect the City to respond with help when able. There is somewhat significant variation among demographic groups although the variation appears somewhat random rather than indicating a particular trend within demographic boundaries.

New City Hall/Community Center

The next three questions measured support for building a new City Hall to replace the current converted public safety building. The new City Hall would also be used as a community center.

The first of these questions utilized a four point scale with one being “definitely oppose” and four “definitely support” the building of a new City Hall/Community Center. The mean score of 2.3 is closest to “probably oppose”. There were not any statistically significant variances from the mean within demographic groups.

The second question asked if support were more likely when the existing building was converted into a new police and fire station. The scale was from 1 (much less likely) to 4 (much more likely). The resulting mean score of 2.8 most closely corresponds to “somewhat more likely”. When somewhat and much more likely are combined and the same is done with the somewhat and much less likely, the result is 67% more likely to 24% less likely. Although both of these questions are worded slightly differently than in 2009, the results are very similar. There are no significant differences in opinion among any of the demographic groups.

The third question asked for a simple “Yes/No” answer to the question of willingness to vote for a bond resulting in a tax increase of \$5/month to build the new City Hall / Community Center. The result was 36% for and 55% against with the remainder not voting either way. The South portion of the City shows significantly less support (25%) for the bond than the East side at 46% with the West and North in between at 38% and 38% respectively. Those who have been resident <5 years are significantly more supportive at 43% than the over ten year residents who show only 27% support. Variances in family size do not follow any trend. As might be expected, renters show more support at 44% than home owners at 36%. Those who are Age 55-64 have the lowest level of support at 26% and those Age 65+ support the bond at 47%. Support also follows income level to some extent with 25% support from those in the lowest income bracket up to 58% from those making \$140,000 or more. Those in the highest income bracket and households with only one person are the only groups that show a majority on favor of the bond, although the number of persons living in one-person households is too small to be significant.

City Improvement

The final survey question before open ended and demographic questions asked the respondents whether the City has improved, remained the same or become worse since they have lived in Cedar Hills. Nearly one-third (32%) reported the City has improved since they have moved into Cedar Hills. Only four percent indicated the City has become worse; while over half (54%) indicated the City has remained the same. This is a considerably more favorable response than the 2009 survey where 18% showed improvement. The 2006 survey showed results similar to 2009.

Those in the West part of town indicated improvement 34% of the time versus 28% in the East. Residents that have been in Cedar Hills < 5 years voted “Improved” less often than those who had been there longer with 19% compared to 38% for those in town 5-10 years and 48% of the

residents who had been there for more than 10 years. Home owners saw more improvement at 34% than those who rent (23%). Those Age 25-34 and Age 55-64 selected “Improved” only 26% and 28% of the time, while Age 45-54 and Age 65+ were more positive with 40% and 42% respectively. Those in the lowest income bracket, < \$39K indicated improvement 42% of the time while in the next income bracket only 25% thought that improvements had taken place. Those with income between \$40K and \$140K indicated improvement 36% of the time and of those in the highest income bracket only 29% selected “Improved”.

OPEN-ENDED COMMENTS

Three open ended questions were included in the 2011 survey. All comments were read twice to categorize them into units of similar statements. Then all comments were transcribed for inclusion in the report. The comments were categorized into groups. The percentages shown are a percentage of the comments, not the percentage of all respondents who completed a questionnaire. The complete transcription of comments is found in Appendix C.

If you were Mayor for a day, what issue or item would you address?

Number of Comments	% of Comments	Category of Comments
56	20%	Expanding recreation and/or community facilities
54	19%	City services, street, safety concerns
53	19%	Revenues/Taxes
39	14%	Miscellaneous comments
31	11%	Golf
25	9%	Commercial development
21	7%	Library
3	1%	Positive comments

Other Activities to be Included in the Family Festival Days Events

Number of Comments	% of Comments	Category of Comments
19	26%	Miscellaneous comments
11	15%	Food
9	13%	Crafts/Arts/Fair
7	10%	Sports
7	10%	Music
7	10%	Positive comments
6	8%	Comments about cost
4	6%	Community service
2	3%	Games

Most Important Issue Facing Cedar Hills

Number of Comments	% of Comments	Category of Comments
50	24%	Taxes/Fiscal issues/Cost
30	14%	Miscellaneous comments
28	13%	Commercial development
26	12%	City services/Safety concerns
20	10%	Negative comments
19	9%	Recreation/Services/Community Center
19	9%	Golf course issues
9	4%	Library
9	4%	Positive comments

CITY OF CEDAR HILLS - COMMUNITY SURVEY

Conducted by Insight Research

n = 403

1. Quality of life: For the following, please prioritize the top three reasons you live in Cedar Hills.

Please place a 1 next to your top priority, a 2 in front of your second priority and a 3 in front of your third priority.

	<u>2006 Top 3</u>	<u>2009 Top 3</u>	<u>2011 Top 3</u>	<u>1st</u>	<u>2nd</u>	<u>3rd</u>
High quality of life	53%	49%	55%	23%	16%	15%
Good schools	46	48	52	15	24	12
Small city atmosphere	49	51	42	13	13	16
Low crime rate	42	42	40	9	15	16
Near friends or relatives	34	33	33	16	7	10
Affordable housing	23	25	25	10	9	6
Near job	19	19	22	6	6	9
Near recreation	21	20	18	4	6	8
Other	8	8	8	5	1	2
Near cultural activities	7	6	4	1	1	1

2. If you were Mayor for a day, what would be the top issue/item that you would address to make the City a better place to live, work, play? (Open-Ended)

Expanding recreation and/or community facilities	20%
City services, street, safety concerns	19%
Revenues/taxes	19%
Miscellaneous comments	14%
Golf	11%
Commercial development	9%
Library	7%
Positive comments	1%

3. Communications: For the following, please prioritize the top three ways you prefer to receive communication from or about the City. Again, place a 1 in front of your top priority a 2 in front of your second priority and a 3 in front of your third priority.

	<u>2006 Top 3</u>	<u>2009 Top 3</u>	<u>Top 3</u>	<u>1st</u>	<u>2nd</u>	<u>3rd</u>
City Newsletter	88%	91%	92%	63%	19%	10%
City website	60	66	73	8	39	26
E-mail	41	56	62	24	20	19
Public Meetings			17	0	5	12
Newspaper			14	3	5	6
Word of mouth	25	26	9	1	1	6
Public forum			9	0	2	7
Other	2	2	3	1	0	1
City office postings	13	10	3	0	1	2

4. When you have a question or concern about City operations or services, how do you prefer to address this with the City?

	<u>Top 3</u>	<u>1st</u>	<u>2nd</u>	<u>3rd</u>
Individual contact with city - phone/in person	74	53	13	9
E-mail	54	23	25	6
City website	38	13	10	15
Council meetings - public comment	25	8	6	10
Periodic public block meetings	9	2	2	5
Other	1	0	0	1

3. On a scale of 1 to 5, one being the least and five being the greatest, how well do you feel that your voice is heard by the City when you have a concern?

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>
	2.7	2.9	3.0	8%	13%	46%	21%	5%

6. On a scale of 1 to 5, five being “tries very hard” and one being “doesn’t try at all”, how would you rate the City in attempting to communicate with its residents?

	<u>Mean</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>
	3.4	2%	13%	37%	35%	10%

7. Do you think the City should provide additional ‘social networking’ communication sites - ie. Twitter, Facebook, etc.?

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
	37%	59%

8. Current City Services: On a scale of 1 to 5, one being the best and five being the poorest, how would you rate each of the following services in Cedar Hills?

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>
Garbage collection / disposal	4.1	4.2	4.1	2%	3%	13%	40%	37%
Parks	3.6	3.8	3.9	4	5	15	45	26
Pressurized Irrigation	3.2	3.5	3.7	4	5	24	40	16
Storm drainage	3.4	3.7	3.6	3	6	30	39	15
City Mgmt Staff	3.4	3.6	3.6	2	6	33	36	12
Utility/Billing Dept	3.5	3.6	3.6	3	6	33	35	15
Animal Control	3.2	3.4	3.4	3	10	34	30	13
Street lighting	3.3	3.4	3.4	5	13	30	33	13
Law enforcement	3.6	3.6	3.4	5	11	31	31	13
Enforcement of Nuisance Ordinance	3.2	3.4	3.4	3	8	35	30	7
Fire and ambulance protection	3.8	3.9	3.3	9	11	28	31	12
Zoning/Bldg Dept	3.1	3.2	3.3	2	9	40	28	7
City Council/Mayor	3.1	3.3	3.3	3	9	40	29	7
Sidewalk Maintenance	3.3	3.4	3.3	7	9	37	32	9
Street construction & maintenance	3.3	3.2	3.2	7	14	36	28	9
Snow removal	3.0	3.2	3.1	9	19	31	31	8
Recreation services	3.1	2.9	3.0	11	20	27	26	8

9. Public Safety, Public Works and Recreational Services: On a scale of 1 to 5, five being strongly favor improvement and one being strongly oppose improvement, please rate the following:

	<u>Mean</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>
Community Recreation	3.7	9%	8%	20%	20%	33%
Children's Library	3.6	13	8	17	13	40
Other	3.6	2	1	5	1	7
Community Pool	3.5	15	9	15	13	38
Fire Service	3.4	6	12	33	20	20
Ambulance Service	3.4	5	13	35	19	18
Street Maintenance	3.4	6	10	34	25	15
Park Facilities	3.3	8	12	34	23	15
Police	3.3	7	13	33	22	17
Trail Facilities	3.2	9	14	31	23	15
Street Lighting	3.2	8	14	36	20	15
Sidewalks	3.2	7	13	41	21	11
Animal Control	3.0	9	18	40	16	8

10. Are you willing to increase taxes for the construction and/or increase in operations and maintenance of these facilities and services?

	<u>Mean</u>	<u>1-No</u>	<u>2-Maybe</u>	<u>3-Yes</u>
Community Recreation	2.0	35%	20%	39%
Community Pool	2.0	36	20	38
Children's Library	2.0	36	18	40
Fire service	1.9	40	27	28
Ambulance Service	1.8	45	25	23
Police	1.8	44	26	23
Street Maintenance	1.7	48	25	20
Park facilities	1.7	51	20	22
Trail facilities	1.7	53	19	22
Street Lighting	1.6	59	19	16
Sidewalks	1.5	59	20	14
Other	1.4	15	3	2
Animal Control	1.3	72	14	7

11. On a scale of 1 to 5, five being very interested and one being the least interested, please indicate which, if any, of the following recreational programs and facilities you and your family are interested in.

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>
Swimming			3.6	19%	5%	10%	18%	39%
Basketball	3.4	3.2	3.2	22	8	15	17	28
Soccer	3.6	3.3	3.1	25	8	14	15	27
Other____	3.0	3.8	3.0	5	3	1	1	6
Tennis	3.0	3.0	2.9	25	12	16	14	21
Football	3.2	2.9	2.7	31	10	14	10	20
Skiing	2.5	2.9	2.7	33	12	14	10	19
Golf			2.7	33	10	17	11	18
Baseball	3.1	2.9	2.6	35	9	16	11	16
Volleyball	2.5	2.7	2.4	35	14	17	9	11
Softball	2.7	2.6	2.3	38	12	15	11	10
Lacrosse			2.1	43	13	16	6	9
Skateboarding	2.1	2.1	2.0	46	13	16	4	7

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
12. Do you think that the City should provide Adult recreation programs such as football, softball, basketball or volleyball?	35%	60%
13. If adult programs were provided, would you participate?	29%	65%
14. Should the City of Cedar Hills build an Aquatic Center?	61%	35%
15. Costs for building a new aquatic center and some of the costs for operating the center may need to be funded through property taxes. Knowing that, would you vote in support of paying some increase (\$5-\$10 per month) in property taxes to build and operate an aquatic center?	57%	38%
16. Should the City of Cedar Hills build a Recreation Center?	65%	31%
17. Costs for building a new recreation center and some of the costs for operating the center may need to be funded through property taxes. Knowing that, would you vote in support of paying some increase (\$5-\$10 per month) in property taxes to build and operate an recreation center?	58%	35%
18. If you could only choose one Center, would you build an aquatic center or a recreation center or neither?		
Aquatic center	23%	
Recreation center	28	
Build both	25	
Neither	21	

19. Cedar Hills Family Festival Days On a scale of 1 to 5, five being very interested and one being the least interested, please indicate which, if any, of the following Cedar Hills Family Festival Days activities you and your family are interested in?

	<u>2009</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>
Fireworks	2.4	4.4	6%	1%	8%	17%	64%
Parade	3.2	3.1	23	11	22	13	25
5K and Mile Fun Run	2.8	3.1	20	10	22	21	19
Kids Games	3.2	3.0	22	10	22	20	17
Dinner and Movie	2.9	2.8	27	12	21	18	13
Junior Kids - Big blow up toys	2.8	2.7	31	13	18	16	14
Cedar Hills Night with Orem Owlz	2.4	2.1	42	16	17	9	6
Golf Tournament	2.3	2.1	47	16	14	9	7

20. Can you think of any other activities you would like to see included during this week-long event? (Open-ended)	Miscellaneous comments	26%
	Food	15%
	Crafts/Arts/Fair	13%
	Sports	10%
	Music	10%
	Positive comments	10%
	Comments about cost	8%
	Community service	6%
	Games	3%

21. Commercial Development How would you rate (5 being 'done great' and 1 being 'done very poorly') how the City has developed the north side of the commercial area with the Walmart, Chase Bank and McDonalds developments?

	<u>Mean</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>
	4.2	1%	3%	11%	41%	40%

22. List the top three types of commercial development you favor on the south side of the commercial sector (approximately 30 acres) with 1 being top priority and 2 next priority and 3 next priority:

	<u>Top 3</u>	<u>1st</u>	<u>2nd</u>	<u>3rd</u>
Restaurants	81.1	33	37	11
Gas station/Convenience store	72.7	44	16	12
Strip Mall retail	50.9	7	18	26
Mixed use housing - Gateway style	24.6	6	8	11
Professional office	19.6	3	5	12
No more development	14.4	4	2	8
Big Box development	12.4	2	3	7
Single Family residential	10.2	3	4	3
Other	4.0	1	0	2

23. Emergency Preparedness How prepared (meaning expenditure of funding) should the City of Cedar Hills be for a natural emergency such as an earthquake or landslide? Please circle the number of your answer	Not at all 2% A little 9% Somewhat 34% Very 52%
24. How prepared are you for a natural emergency such as an earthquake or landslide?	Not at all 2% A little 17% Somewhat 61% Very 17%
25. What are your overall expectations of the City after a major natural or man-made disaster?	Help when able 10% Help within 72 hours 15% Help within 24-48 hours 34% Immediate help from emergency personnel 35%
26. Community Development Would you support or oppose Cedar Hills building a new City Hall/Community center?	Definitely oppose 24% Probably oppose 29% Probably support 30% Definitely support 12%
27. When the existing City building is converted to a Public Safety (police/fire) building would you be more likely to support building a new City Hall/community center?	Much less likely 13% Somewhat less likely 11% Somewhat more likely 48% Much more likely 19%
28. Would you be willing to bond (pay property taxes) \$5 extra per month to build a City Hall/Community Center?	Yes 36% No 55%
29. Overall During your residency, has the City improved, remained the same, or become worse in providing services?	Worse 4% Same 54% Improved 32% Don't Know 6%

30. What do you feel is the most important issue facing Cedar Hills? How would you like to see this addressed? (Open-ended)	Taxes/Fiscal issues/Cost 24%
	Miscellaneous comments 14%
	Commercial development 13%
	City services/Safety concerns 12%
	Negative comments 10%
	Recreation/Services/Community
	Center 9%
	Golf course issues 9%
	Library 4%
	Positive comments 4%

Demographics:

31. How many years have you lived in Cedar Hills?	Less than 3 years 40%
	3 -7 years 38%
	More than 7 years 23%
32. Do you rent or own your home?	Rent 13%
	Own 87%
33. How many family members reside in your home?	One 2%
	Two 18%
	Three 9%
	Four 18%
	Five 21%
	Six 20%
	Seven or more 13%
34. What is your age?	18-24 2 %
	25-34 20 %
	35-44 35 %
	45-54 21 %
	55-64 10 %
	65 or older 11 %
35. Are you:	Male 36%
	Female 64%
36. Which of the following ranges best describes your household income?	Less than \$39,999 7%
	\$40,000 to \$69,999 22%
	\$70,000 to \$99,999 29%
	\$100,000 to \$139,999 27%
	\$140,000 + 15%

Appendix A
Data Charts

Appendix B

Demographic

Cross Tabulation

Appendix C
Open Ended Questions


City of Cedar Hills
Decisions 2011

Compiled by Insight Research

Survey Response by Demographic Groups


<u>Demographic</u>	<u>% Responses</u>	<u>Demographic</u>	<u>% Responses</u>
Male	36%	Family of one in home	2%
Female	64%	Two in home	18%
Rent home	13%	Three in home	9%
Own home	87%	Four in home	18%
Income < \$39K	7%	Five in home	21%
\$40-69K	22%	Six in home	20%
\$70-99K	29%	Seven or more in home	13%
\$100-139K	27%	In Cedar Hills < 5 yrs	40%
\$140K +	15%	5 – 10 years	38%
North	17%	> 10 yrs in Cedar Hills	23%
West	16%	Age 18-24 years	2%
East	17%	Age 25-34	20%
South	29%	Age 35-44	35%
		Age 45-54	21%
		Age 55-64	10%
		Age 65+	11%

Q1 – Why do you live in Cedar Hills?




Percent included in “top three”

Q3 – How do you prefer to receive communication from the City?




Q4 – How do you prefer to address questions or concerns with the City?




Percent in top three


Q5 – How well is your voice heard by the City when you have a concern?



Q6. How would you rate the City in attempting to communicate with residents?




Q7 – Should the City provide ‘social networking’ sites, ie. Twitter, Facebook?




Percent of responses

Q8. How would you rate the current Cedar Hills Services?




1-Poorest 5-Best
Six highest rated services are listed

Q8. How would you rate the current Cedar Hills Services?



1-Poorest 5-Best
Five lowest rated services are listed


Q9. Do you favor or oppose improvements in these Cedar Hills services?



1-Strongly oppose 5-Strongly favor


Services with greatest desire for improvement are listed

Q9. Do you favor or oppose improvements in these Cedar Hills services?



1-Strongly oppose 5-Strongly favor
Services with least desire for improvement are listed


Q10. Are you willing to increase taxes for construction or operations of these services?



1-No, 2- Maybe, 3-Yes

Services with greatest support for tax increase listed


Q10. Are you willing to increase taxes for construction or operations of these services?



1-No, 2- Maybe, 3-Yes


Services with least support for tax increase listed

Q11. How interested are you and your family in these recreational programs and facilities?




1-Least interest 5-Very interested
Five highest rated programs

Q12. Should the City provide adult recreational programs?




Q13. If adult programs were provided, would you participate?




Percent of responses

Q14. Should the City build an Aquatic Center?




Q15. Would you vote for a \$5-\$10/month tax increase to build/operate an Aquatic Center?




Percent of responses

Q16. Should the City build a Recreation Center?




Q17. Would you vote for a \$5-\$10/month tax increase to build/operate a Recreation Center?




Percent of responses

Q18. If you could only choose one Center, would you build an aquatic center, a recreation center or neither?




Percent of responses

Q19 – What Family Festival Days activities is your family interested in?




1-Least Interest 5-Very Interested

Q20 – Other activities your family would like to see included in Family Festival Days?




Percent of responses (open ended question)

Q21. How would you rate the City in the development of the north side of the commercial area?
(Walmart, Chase Bank, and McDonalds developments)




Percent of responses

Q22 – What types of commercial development do you favor on the south side of the commercial sector?




Percent included in "top three"

Q23. How prepared (meaning expenditure of funding) should the City of Cedar Hills be for a natural emergency?




Percent of responses

Q24. How prepared are you for a natural emergency?



Percent of responses

Q25. What are your expectations of the City after a major natural or man-made disaster?



Q26. Would you support Cedar Hills building a new City Hall / Community center?




Support

Oppose

■ Definitely ■ Probably


Percent of responses

Q27. When the existing City building is converted to a Public Safety (police/fire) building would you be more likely to support building a new City Hall/Community center?



Percent of responses

Q28. Would you be willing to pay \$5/month extra to build a City Hall / Community center?




Yes

No


Percent of responses

Q29. During your residency has the City improved, remained the same or become worse in providing services?




Percent of responses

Q2 – If you were Mayor for a day, what top issue/item would you address?



Percent of responses (open ended question)

Q30 – Most important issue facing Cedar Hills? How would you like it addressed?



Percent of Responses (open ended question)

1a. Top three reasons you live in Cedar Hills

Small city atmosphere

Top 3 2011 42%
 Top 3 2009 51%
 Top 3 2006 49%

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	42%	13%	13%	16%
North	116	41	15	7	20
West	74	55	15	26	15
East	126	31	8	11	12
South	77	51	18	13	19
< 5 years resident	155	33	12	7	14
5-10 years resident	147	43	10	17	16
> 10 years resident	90	59	22	17	20
One in home	9	33	22		11
Two in home	68	46	15	13	18
Three in home	36	42	11	17	14
Four in home	67	48	16	15	16
Five in home	80	40	11	9	20
Six in home	75	41	15	11	16
Seven or more home	54	41	13	19	9
Rent home	52	37	13	10	13
Own home	338	43	13	13	17
Male	133	37	13	9	15
Female	234	45	14	15	16
Age 18-24	9	33	11	11	11
Age 25-34	77	33	12	6	14
Age 35-44	136	43	12	14	17
Age 45-54	81	47	17	15	15
Age 55-64	39	54	21	13	21
Age 65 or older	43	47	14	14	19
Income < \$39,999	24	54	17	21	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	35	12	8	15
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	51	13	22	17
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	40	12	10	19
Income > \$140,000	52	35	15	2	17

1b. Top three reasons you live in Cedar Hills

Affordable housing

Top 3 2011 25%
 Top 3 2009 25%
 Top 3 2006 23%

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	25%	10%	9%	6%
North	116	24	9	9	6
West	74	23	7	12	4
East	126	23	11	6	6
South	77	30	13	9	8
< 5 years resident	155	27	11	10	6
5-10 years resident	147	26	10	9	7
> 10 years resident	90	20	10	7	3
One in home	9	33	11	11	11
Two in home	68	19	13	4	1
Three in home	36	25	8	11	6
Four in home	67	31	9	9	13
Five in home	80	24	10	8	6
Six in home	75	27	12	12	3
Seven or more home	54	22	7	9	6
Rent home	52	31	15	12	4
Own home	338	24	9	8	6
Male	133	20	9	6	5
Female	234	28	11	11	7
Age 18-24	9	33	11	11	11
Age 25-34	77	34	18	9	6
Age 35-44	136	20	8	7	5
Age 45-54	81	24	5	14	5
Age 55-64	39	23	8	8	8
Age 65 or older	43	28	16	7	5
Income < \$39,999	24	25	8	8	8
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	43	19	20	4
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	21	10	4	7
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	23	9	10	5
Income > \$140,000	52	17	8	4	6

1c. Top three reasons you live in Cedar Hills

Good schools

Top 3 2011 52%
 Top 3 2009 48%
 Top 3 2006 46%

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	52%	15%	24%	12%
North	116	53	14	28	11
West	74	66	23	31	12
East	126	40	9	15	16
South	77	58	21	29	9
< 5 years resident	155	50	15	26	9
5-10 years resident	147	52	16	20	17
> 10 years resident	90	57	14	30	12
One in home	9	22		11	11
Two in home	68	24	4	12	7
Three in home	36	36	6	14	17
Four in home	67	54	10	27	16
Five in home	80	61	18	28	16
Six in home	75	75	27	36	12
Seven or more home	54	61	26	26	9
Rent home	52	56	25	17	13
Own home	338	52	14	26	13
Male	133	47	11	26	9
Female	234	57	18	24	15
Age 18-24	9	22	11		11
Age 25-34	77	51	16	27	8
Age 35-44	136	71	18	35	18
Age 45-54	81	59	22	23	14
Age 55-64	39	28	5	13	10
Age 65 or older	43	19	7	7	5
Income < \$39,999	24	46	29	4	13
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	49	16	20	13
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	45	12	22	12
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	59	19	29	11
Income > \$140,000	52	64	10	38	15

1d. Top three reasons you live in Cedar Hills

Low crime rate

Top 3 2011 40%
 Top 3 2009 42%
 Top 3 2006 42%

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	40%	9%	15%	16%
North	116	48	16	15	17
West	74	43	7	11	26
East	126	35	5	18	12
South	77	30	8	13	9
< 5 years resident	155	42	12	16	14
5-10 years resident	147	34	7	14	13
> 10 years resident	90	43	7	16	21
One in home	9	56	11	22	22
Two in home	68	44	3	18	24
Three in home	36	36	11	17	8
Four in home	67	37	12	16	9
Five in home	80	34	11	15	8
Six in home	75	31	4	8	19
Seven or more home	54	52	13	17	22
Rent home	52	33	6	15	12
Own home	338	40	9	15	16
Male	133	38	10	17	12
Female	234	39	8	14	17
Age 18-24	9	33	11		22
Age 25-34	77	39	8	22	9
Age 35-44	136	35	10	13	13
Age 45-54	81	37	9	10	19
Age 55-64	39	41	10	18	13
Age 65 or older	43	51	7	19	26
Income < \$39,999	24	42	13	13	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	44	8	17	19
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	37	10	16	12
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	38	6	17	15
Income > \$140,000	52	33	13	8	12

1e. Top three reasons you live in Cedar Hills
High quality of life

Top 3 2011 55%
Top 3 2009 49%
Top 3 2006 53%

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	55%	23%	16%	15%
North	116	53	17	19	16
West	74	62	35	9	18
East	126	51	21	19	10
South	77	55	23	16	16
< 5 years resident	155	47	15	15	16
5-10 years resident	147	59	30	18	12
> 10 years resident	90	64	29	16	20
One in home	9	67	22	33	11
Two in home	68	49	21	22	6
Three in home	36	61	22	22	17
Four in home	67	63	36	7	19
Five in home	80	51	21	19	11
Six in home	75	61	25	17	19
Seven or more home	54	44	15	7	22
Rent home	52	44	13	15	15
Own home	338	57	25	16	15
Male	133	57	26	17	15
Female	234	54	22	15	16
Age 18-24	9	33			33
Age 25-34	77	55	19	13	22
Age 35-44	136	54	28	12	14
Age 45-54	81	61	23	21	16
Age 55-64	39	56	28	23	5
Age 65 or older	43	54	19	23	12
Income < \$39,999	24	42	13	13	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	52	20	16	16
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	58	27	12	19
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	53	27	10	17
Income > \$140,000	52	69	25	35	10

1f. Top three reasons you live in Cedar Hills
Near recreation

Top 3 2011 18%
Top 3 2009 20%
Top 3 2006 21%

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	18%	4%	6%	8%
North	116	24	7	8	9
West	74	10	1	4	4
East	126	21	5	9	8
South	77	10	1	1	8
< 5 years resident	155	19	5	6	8
5-10 years resident	147	20	3	8	8
> 10 years resident	90	13	3	3	7
One in home	9	11			11
Two in home	68	22	6	7	9
Three in home	36	19	6	8	6
Four in home	67	21	6	9	6
Five in home	80	16	3	6	8
Six in home	75	16	1	5	9
Seven or more home	54	15	4	4	7
Rent home	52	19	4	10	6
Own home	338	18	4	6	8
Male	133	27	5	10	12
Female	234	14	3	5	6
Age 18-24	9	22		11	11
Age 25-34	77	17	4	5	8
Age 35-44	136	16	2	6	8
Age 45-54	81	20	7	6	6
Age 55-64	39	33	8	13	13
Age 65 or older	43	5		2	2
Income < \$39,999	24	17	4		13
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	11	1	4	5
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	20	5	8	7
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	19	3	10	6
Income > \$140,000	52	25	8	6	12

1g. Top three reasons you live in Cedar Hills
Near cultural activities

Top 3 2011 4%
Top 3 2009 6%
Top 3 2006 7%

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	4%	1%	1%	1%
North	116	3	1	2	
West	74	1			1
East	126	6	2	2	2
South	77	1			1
< 5 years resident	155	4	1	2	1
5-10 years resident	147	4	1	1	2
> 10 years resident	90	1			1
One in home	9				
Two in home	68	2			1
Three in home	36	14	8		6
Four in home	67	3		3	
Five in home	80	1			1
Six in home	75	4		1	3
Seven or more home	54	2		2	
Rent home	52	4		4	
Own home	338	3	1	1	2
Male	133	6	1	2	3
Female	234	2			1
Age 18-24	9	11			11
Age 25-34	77	3		1	1
Age 35-44	136	2		1	1
Age 45-54	81	5	1	2	1
Age 55-64	39	8	5		3
Age 65 or older	43	2			2
Income < \$39,999	24	4			4
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75				
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2		1	1
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	7	2	3	2
Income > \$140,000	52				

1h. Top three reasons you live in Cedar Hills
Near job

Top 3 2011 22%
Top 3 2009 19%
Top 3 2006 19%

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	22%	6%	6%	9%
North	116	18	4	7	7
West	74	16		5	11
East	126	28	13	6	9
South	77	23	3	8	13
< 5 years resident	155	32	9	9	14
5-10 years resident	147	16	6	3	7
> 10 years resident	90	13	1	6	7
One in home	9				
Two in home	68	19	7	4	7
Three in home	36	33	11	8	14
Four in home	67	13	3	4	6
Five in home	80	30	6	9	15
Six in home	75	19	5	4	9
Seven or more home	54	22	7	7	7
Rent home	52	27	6	8	13
Own home	338	21	6	6	9
Male	133	23	6	6	11
Female	234	21	6	6	9
Age 18-24	9	56		56	
Age 25-34	77	25	9	4	12
Age 35-44	136	22	5	7	10
Age 45-54	81	24	6	2	15
Age 55-64	39	18	5	8	5
Age 65 or older	43	7	2	5	
Income < \$39,999	24	21		21	
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	21	3	7	12
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	26	7	7	12
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	26	9	5	12
Income > \$140,000	52	15	6	2	8

1i. Top three reasons you live in Cedar Hills
Near friends or relatives

Top 3 2011 33%
Top 3 2009 33%
Top 3 2006 34%

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	33%	16%	7%	10%
North	116	29	13	8	9
West	74	26	12	4	9
East	126	41	23	6	11
South	77	35	16	6	13
< 5 years resident	155	37	19	6	12
5-10 years resident	147	35	16	9	11
> 10 years resident	90	23	11	4	8
One in home	9	44	22	11	11
Two in home	68	54	32	12	10
Three in home	36	42	22	6	14
Four in home	67	30	7	12	10
Five in home	80	28	18	1	9
Six in home	75	21	9	3	9
Seven or more home	54	28	7	7	13
Rent home	52	27	15	6	6
Own home	338	34	16	7	11
Male	133	37	17	8	13
Female	234	30	15	6	9
Age 18-24	9	67	44	11	11
Age 25-34	77	34	14	8	12
Age 35-44	136	25	12	4	10
Age 45-54	81	24	10	6	7
Age 55-64	39	33	13	8	13
Age 65 or older	43	70	40	14	16
Income < \$39,999	24	46	29	13	4
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	35	24	1	9
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	35	16	10	10
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	30	12	9	10
Income > \$140,000	52	29	8	4	17

1j. Top three reasons you live in Cedar Hills

Other

Top 3 2011 8%
 Top 3 2009 8%
 Top 3 2006 8%

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	8%	5%	1%	2%
North	116	8	4	1	3
West	74	3	1		1
East	126	14	8	2	4
South	77	3	3		
< 5 years resident	155	7	3	2	2
5-10 years resident	147	10	7		3
> 10 years resident	90	4	3		1
One in home	9	11	11		
Two in home	68	10	4	1	4
Three in home	36	17	11	3	3
Four in home	67	2	1		
Five in home	80	6	4	1	1
Six in home	75	5	4		1
Seven or more home	54	7	6		2
Rent home	52	10	4	2	4
Own home	338	7	5	1	2
Male	133	11	8	1	2
Female	234	5	3	1	1
Age 18-24	9	11	11		
Age 25-34	77	8	1	1	5
Age 35-44	136	7	6		1
Age 45-54	81	4	1	2	
Age 55-64	39	15	10		5
Age 65 or older	43	7	7		
Income < \$39,999	24	4	4		
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3	1	1	
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	6	3	1	2
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	10	7		2
Income > \$140,000	52	14	8	2	4

3a. Top three ways you prefer to receive communication from or about the City.
City Newsletter

Top 3 2011 92%
Top 3 2009 91%
Top 3 2006 88%

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	92%	63%	19%	10%
North	116	92	62	23	7
West	74	92	68	19	5
East	126	92	59	17	16
South	77	92	65	17	10
< 5 years resident	155	92	54	25	13
5-10 years resident	147	93	69	16	9
> 10 years resident	90	92	70	13	9
One in home	9	89	56	33	
Two in home	68	91	74	9	9
Three in home	36	83	53	19	11
Four in home	67	99	67	18	13
Five in home	80	94	64	16	14
Six in home	75	93	57	25	11
Seven or more home	54	93	63	26	4
Rent home	52	89	42	37	10
Own home	338	94	67	16	11
Male	133	87	56	18	13
Female	234	97	67	21	9
Age 18-24	9	89	44	33	11
Age 25-34	77	94	65	17	12
Age 35-44	136	96	65	20	11
Age 45-54	81	96	59	22	15
Age 55-64	39	80	56	18	5
Age 65 or older	43	86	74	9	2
Income < \$39,999	24	92	67	21	4
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	92	57	21	13
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	94	62	22	11
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	89	64	17	9
Income > \$140,000	52	96	65	15	15

3b. Top three ways you prefer to receive communication from or about the City.

Public Meetings

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	17%	%	5%	12%
North	116	17	1	5	11
West	74	27		5	22
East	126	13		3	10
South	77	16	1	6	8
< 5 years resident	155	14	1	5	8
5-10 years resident	147	17		5	12
> 10 years resident	90	23	1	6	17
One in home	9	33		11	22
Two in home	68	27	3	7	16
Three in home	36	22		3	19
Four in home	67	18		6	12
Five in home	80	13		5	8
Six in home	75	8		1	7
Seven or more home	54	19		6	13
Rent home	52	10		2	8
Own home	338	19	1	5	13
Male	133	17	2	5	11
Female	234	18		4	14
Age 18-24	9	22		11	11
Age 25-34	77	13	1	4	8
Age 35-44	136	13		1	12
Age 45-54	81	15		5	10
Age 55-64	39	28		10	18
Age 65 or older	43	30	2	7	21
Income < \$39,999	24	25		13	13
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	20	3	1	16
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	16		5	11
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	13		4	9
Income > \$140,000	52	17		6	12

3c. Top three ways you prefer to receive communication from or about the City.

Newspaper

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	14%	3%	5%	6%
North	116	13	3	6	3
West	74	10	4	4	1
East	126	14		5	9
South	77	21	5	6	9
< 5 years resident	155	16	5	3	8
5-10 years resident	147	8	1	3	4
> 10 years resident	90	21	3	12	6
One in home	9	22		22	
Two in home	68	15		9	6
Three in home	36	11	3	3	6
Four in home	67	19	6	9	4
Five in home	80	14	4	3	8
Six in home	75	11	3	3	5
Seven or more home	54	11	2	2	7
Rent home	52	25	10		15
Own home	338	12	2	6	4
Male	133	11	2	4	5
Female	234	15	3	6	5
Age 18-24	9	44	22	11	11
Age 25-34	77	17	3	8	6
Age 35-44	136	10	1	2	6
Age 45-54	81	16	5	4	7
Age 55-64	39	10	3	3	5
Age 65 or older	43	16		14	2
Income < \$39,999	24	13	8	4	
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	19	4	8	7
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	17	3	6	8
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	9		5	3
Income > \$140,000	52	10	2	2	6

3d. Top three ways you prefer to receive communication from or about the City.
City website

Top 3 2011 73%
Top 3 2009 66%
Top 3 2006 60%

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	73%	8%	39%	26%
North	116	74	8	35	31
West	74	69	5	36	27
East	126	76	14	41	21
South	77	68	3	40	25
< 5 years resident	155	78	12	41	26
5-10 years resident	147	70	7	37	26
> 10 years resident	90	70	4	39	27
One in home	9	56	11	11	33
Two in home	68	63	4	37	22
Three in home	36	69	19	33	17
Four in home	67	76	7	36	33
Five in home	80	78	9	51	18
Six in home	75	72	3	39	31
Seven or more home	54	85	11	41	33
Rent home	52	79	12	40	27
Own home	338	73	8	39	26
Male	133	77	10	39	29
Female	234	71	6	40	25
Age 18-24	9	78	11	44	22
Age 25-34	77	75	3	48	25
Age 35-44	136	79	7	46	26
Age 45-54	81	72	12	31	28
Age 55-64	39	72	15	38	18
Age 65 or older	43	51	2	23	26
Income < \$39,999	24	63	4	42	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	80	8	45	27
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	73	8	40	25
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	79	11	36	32
Income > \$140,000	52	73	4	42	27

3e. Top three ways you prefer to receive communication from or about the City.

Public Forum

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	9%	%	2%	7%
North	116	11		3	9
West	74	3		1	1
East	126	8		3	5
South	77	14		1	13
< 5 years resident	155	7		1	6
5-10 years resident	147	12		3	8
> 10 years resident	90	8		2	6
One in home	9				
Two in home	68	10		3	7
Three in home	36	6			6
Four in home	67	10		6	4
Five in home	80	10		1	9
Six in home	75	11		3	8
Seven or more home	54	7			7
Rent home	52	14		4	10
Own home	338	9		2	7
Male	133	11		3	8
Female	234	9		2	6
Age 18-24	9	22			22
Age 25-34	77	7		3	4
Age 35-44	136	9			9
Age 45-54	81	12		6	6
Age 55-64	39	3			3
Age 65 or older	43	14		5	9
Income < \$39,999	24	29		8	21
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	7		1	5
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	8		2	6
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	9		2	6
Income > \$140,000	52	10		2	8

3f. Top three ways you prefer to receive communication from or about the City.

City office postings

Top 3 2011 3%
 Top 3 2009 10%
 Top 3 2006 13%

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	3%	%	1%	2%
North	116	2		1	1
West	74				
East	126	4		1	3
South	77	7		3	4
< 5 years resident	155	2		1	1
5-10 years resident	147	5		2	3
> 10 years resident	90	2			2
One in home	9				
Two in home	68	3		1	1
Three in home	36				
Four in home	67	5		1	3
Five in home	80	1		1	
Six in home	75	7			7
Seven or more home	54	2		2	
Rent home	52	4			4
Own home	338	3		1	2
Male	133	2		1	1
Female	234	4		1	3
Age 18-24	9	11			11
Age 25-34	77	4			4
Age 35-44	136	3		1	2
Age 45-54	81	4		2	1
Age 55-64	39				
Age 65 or older	43	2		2	
Income < \$39,999	24	4			4
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	4		3	1
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2			2
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	5		1	4
Income > \$140,000	52	2		2	

3g. Top three ways you prefer to receive communication from or about the City.

Word of mouth

Top 3 2011 9%
 Top 3 2009 26%
 Top 3 2006 25%

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	9%	1%	1%	6%
North	116	10	3	2	5
West	74	8		1	7
East	126	6	1	1	5
South	77	12	3	1	8
< 5 years resident	155	8	1	1	6
5-10 years resident	147	8	1	1	6
> 10 years resident	90	10	2	3	4
One in home	9	11			11
Two in home	68	3			3
Three in home	36	11		3	8
Four in home	67	8		3	4
Five in home	80	10	4	1	5
Six in home	75	15	3	3	9
Seven or more home	54	4			4
Rent home	52	6	2		4
Own home	338	9	1	2	6
Male	133	7	1	2	5
Female	234	9	2	1	6
Age 18-24	9	33	22	11	
Age 25-34	77	8	3	1	4
Age 35-44	136	8		1	7
Age 45-54	81	11	1	2	7
Age 55-64	39	5			5
Age 65 or older	43	5			5
Income < \$39,999	24	13	8		4
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	7		1	5
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	10	2	2	6
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	7	1	1	5
Income > \$140,000	52	10			10

3h. Top three ways you prefer to receive communication from or about the City.

Email

Top 3 2011 62%

Top 3 2009 56%

Top 3 2006 41%

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	62%	24%	20%	19%
North	116	66	24	18	23
West	74	64	22	22	20
East	126	62	25	20	17
South	77	55	23	19	12
< 5 years resident	155	69	29	18	22
5-10 years resident	147	66	23	25	18
> 10 years resident	90	48	18	14	16
One in home	9	67	33	11	22
Two in home	68	60	19	28	13
Three in home	36	58	25	22	11
Four in home	67	64	24	18	22
Five in home	80	56	19	13	25
Six in home	75	75	35	23	17
Seven or more home	54	63	24	19	20
Rent home	52	62	35	13	13
Own home	338	64	22	21	20
Male	133	65	30	21	14
Female	234	62	22	19	21
Age 18-24	9	56	11		44
Age 25-34	77	70	25	16	30
Age 35-44	136	68	25	23	20
Age 45-54	81	59	26	21	12
Age 55-64	39	64	26	23	15
Age 65 or older	43	47	21	19	7
Income < \$39,999	24	50	8	8	33
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	61	29	15	17
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	67	27	18	22
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	66	24	26	16
Income > \$140,000	52	67	27	27	13

3i. Top three ways you prefer to receive communication from or about the City.

Other

Top 3 2011 3%
 Top 3 2009 2%
 Top 3 2006 2%

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	3%	1%	%	1%
North	116	1	1		
West	74	5	3	1	1
East	126	2	1		1
South	77	4	1	1	1
< 5 years resident	155	2	1		1
5-10 years resident	147	3	1	1	1
> 10 years resident	90	3	1	1	1
One in home	9				
Two in home	68	2	1		
Three in home	36	3	3		
Four in home	67	2			1
Five in home	80	5	4		1
Six in home	75	1		1	
Seven or more home	54	4		2	2
Rent home	52	4	4		
Own home	338	2	1	1	1
Male	133	5	3		2
Female	234	2		1	
Age 18-24	9				
Age 25-34	77				
Age 35-44	136	4	3	1	
Age 45-54	81	3			2
Age 55-64	39	5	3		3
Age 65 or older	43				
Income < \$39,999	24	8	4		4
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75				
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3	2	1	
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	4	1	1	2
Income > \$140,000	52	2	2		

4a. When you have a question or concern about City operations or services, how do you prefer to address this with the City?

Council meetings - public comments

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	25%	8%	6%	10%
North	116	28	10	6	12
West	74	20	8	7	5
East	126	25	9	8	9
South	77	25	4	5	16
< 5 years resident	155	21	7	6	7
5-10 years resident	147	28	9	3	16
> 10 years resident	90	28	8	12	8
One in home	9	11			11
Two in home	68	28	7	12	9
Three in home	36	19	11	3	6
Four in home	67	30	10	7	12
Five in home	80	14	3	4	8
Six in home	75	25	9	4	12
Seven or more home	54	37	9	11	17
Rent home	52	12	4	4	4
Own home	338	27	9	7	12
Male	133	24	8	8	8
Female	234	24	8	4	12
Age 18-24	9	22	11	11	
Age 25-34	77	20	6	4	9
Age 35-44	136	25	8	6	11
Age 45-54	81	31	7	9	15
Age 55-64	39	21	8	8	5
Age 65 or older	43	26	9	5	12
Income < \$39,999	24	25	8	8	8
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	24	5	8	11
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	25	9	5	11
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	26	7	6	12
Income > \$140,000	52	27	12	8	8

4b. When you have a question or concern about City operations or services, how do you prefer to address this with the City?

Periodic public block meetings

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	9%	2%	2%	5%
North	116	10		3	7
West	74	3	1		1
East	126	13	4	3	6
South	77	8	1	1	5
< 5 years resident	155	7	1	1	5
5-10 years resident	147	12	3	3	6
> 10 years resident	90	8	2		6
One in home	9	22		11	11
Two in home	68	10	6	1	3
Three in home	36	8		3	6
Four in home	67	16	3	3	10
Five in home	80	4			4
Six in home	75	7		3	4
Seven or more home	54	7	2		6
Rent home	52	6		2	4
Own home	338	10	2	2	6
Male	133	10	2	3	5
Female	234	9	2	1	6
Age 18-24	9				
Age 25-34	77	8	1		6
Age 35-44	136	7	1	1	5
Age 45-54	81	12	2	4	6
Age 55-64	39	5		3	3
Age 65 or older	43	16	7	5	5
Income < \$39,999	24	21	8	4	8
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	7		1	5
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	8	1	3	4
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	7	2	1	4
Income > \$140,000	52	15	4		12

4c. When you have a question or concern about City operations or services, how do you prefer to address this with the City?

City website	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	38%	13%	10%	15%
North	116	45	16	10	19
West	74	38	15	11	12
East	126	39	13	8	18
South	77	29	10	9	9
< 5 years resident	155	47	13	13	21
5-10 years resident	147	40	17	10	14
> 10 years resident	90	23	10	4	9
One in home	9	22	11	11	
Two in home	68	32	12	4	16
Three in home	36	36	8	11	17
Four in home	67	40	16	6	18
Five in home	80	41	18	9	15
Six in home	75	45	13	17	15
Seven or more home	54	35	11	11	13
Rent home	52	56	23	8	25
Own home	338	36	12	10	14
Male	133	37	11	10	17
Female	234	40	15	10	15
Age 18-24	9	67	22		44
Age 25-34	77	52	18	18	16
Age 35-44	136	43	16	11	15
Age 45-54	81	31	7	7	16
Age 55-64	39	33	8	5	21
Age 65 or older	43	16	9	2	5
Income < \$39,999	24	38	4	4	29
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	48	19	9	20
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	37	17	9	12
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	39	13	10	17
Income > \$140,000	52	35	10	13	12

4d. When you have a question or concern about City operations or services, how do you prefer to address this with the City?

Email	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	54%	23%	25%	6%
North	116	55	22	27	6
West	74	45	19	18	8
East	126	59	23	31	5
South	77	52	23	22	6
< 5 years resident	155	59	25	28	6
5-10 years resident	147	52	20	27	5
> 10 years resident	90	49	22	19	8
One in home	9	33	22		11
Two in home	68	41	12	28	1
Three in home	36	47	17	28	3
Four in home	67	58	25	27	6
Five in home	80	59	30	23	6
Six in home	75	61	24	27	11
Seven or more home	54	57	24	26	7
Rent home	52	62	27	33	2
Own home	338	54	22	25	7
Male	133	53	21	26	7
Female	234	55	25	24	5
Age 18-24	9	56	22	33	
Age 25-34	77	60	26	25	9
Age 35-44	136	62	28	26	7
Age 45-54	81	48	20	23	5
Age 55-64	39	56	15	38	3
Age 65 or older	43	33	16	16	
Income < \$39,999	24	46	13	33	
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	52	21	27	4
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	61	24	31	6
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	54	21	24	9
Income > \$140,000	52	52	29	19	4

4e. When you have a question or concern about City operations or services, how do you prefer to address this with the City?

Individual contact with city - phone/in person

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	74%	53%	13%	9%
North	116	78	52	18	9
West	74	72	55	7	9
East	126	74	48	11	14
South	77	74	61	13	
< 5 years resident	155	76	52	12	12
5-10 years resident	147	75	54	12	8
> 10 years resident	90	76	54	17	4
One in home	9	67	56	11	
Two in home	68	77	63	6	7
Three in home	36	86	61	11	14
Four in home	67	73	45	19	9
Five in home	80	73	49	16	8
Six in home	75	69	53	11	5
Seven or more home	54	83	54	15	15
Rent home	52	77	46	15	15
Own home	338	75	54	13	8
Male	133	75	57	8	10
Female	234	75	50	16	9
Age 18-24	9	67	44	22	
Age 25-34	77	75	47	16	13
Age 35-44	136	71	46	15	10
Age 45-54	81	83	63	14	6
Age 55-64	39	90	72	5	13
Age 65 or older	43	63	53	7	2
Income < \$39,999	24	67	58	8	
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	80	56	13	11
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	75	51	11	13
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	75	55	12	7
Income > \$140,000	52	75	46	17	12

4f. When you have a question or concern about City operations or services, how do you prefer to address this with the City?

Other

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	1%	%	%	1%
North	116	1	1		
West	74	1			1
East	126	1	1		
South	77				
< 5 years resident	155	1			1
5-10 years resident	147	1	1		1
> 10 years resident	90				
One in home	9				
Two in home	68				
Three in home	36	3	3		
Four in home	67	2			1
Five in home	80	1	1		
Six in home	75	1			1
Seven or more home	54				
Rent home	52				
Own home	338	1	1		1
Male	133	3	2		2
Female	234				
Age 18-24	9	11			11
Age 25-34	77				
Age 35-44	136	2	1		1
Age 45-54	81				
Age 55-64	39	3	3		
Age 65 or older	43				
Income < \$39,999	24				
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75				
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	1			1
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2	2		
Income > \$140,000	52				

5. How well do you feel your voice is heard by the City when you have a concern?

1-Least to 5-Greatest

Mean 2011 3.0
 Mean 2009 2.9
 Mean 2006 2.7

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.0	8%	13%	46%	21%	5%
North	116	3.0	8	12	48	19	4
West	74	2.9	14	7	54	22	1
East	126	3.1	8	12	41	21	8
South	77	3.0	4	22	42	23	5
< 5 years resident	155	3.2	3	9	49	21	6
5-10 years resident	147	2.9	13	15	43	22	5
> 10 years resident	90	2.9	11	17	46	21	3
One in home	9	3.1	11	11	44	22	11
Two in home	68	2.9	13	19	25	25	6
Three in home	36	3.0	8	14	47	17	8
Four in home	67	2.9	10	13	46	25	1
Five in home	80	3.1	5	15	44	25	6
Six in home	75	3.1	5	7	63	15	4
Seven or more home	54	3.1	7	11	50	20	6
Rent home	52	2.9	6	8	54	12	
Own home	338	3.0	9	14	44	23	6
Male	133	3.0	10	14	45	22	5
Female	234	3.1	6	13	47	21	6
Age 18-24	9	2.5	11	22	56		
Age 25-34	77	3.0	9	9	45	22	4
Age 35-44	136	3.1	4	14	52	21	4
Age 45-54	81	3.2	7	10	46	27	6
Age 55-64	39	2.9	8	21	49	18	5
Age 65 or older	43	2.8	21	16	23	21	9
Income < \$39,999	24	2.8	21	8	42	21	4
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.1	8	13	40	25	5
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.1	2	19	48	19	6
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.0	12	10	47	22	4
Income > \$140,000	52	3.0	8	13	54	15	6

6. How would you rate the City in attempting to communicate with its residents?

1. 1-Doesn't try at all to 5-Tries very hard

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.4	2%	13%	37%	35%	10%
North	116	3.6	2	9	28	47	11
West	74	3.2	4	14	47	28	7
East	126	3.4	2	13	37	33	12
South	77	3.3	1	19	36	31	8
< 5 years resident	155	3.5	1	8	37	39	10
5-10 years resident	147	3.4	1	17	37	31	12
> 10 years resident	90	3.2	6	14	37	37	7
One in home	9	3.6		11	33	44	11
Two in home	68	3.3	1	16	37	29	9
Three in home	36	3.4	6	8	33	39	11
Four in home	67	3.4	1	16	31	42	7
Five in home	80	3.5	1	9	40	35	15
Six in home	75	3.5	1	11	39	36	12
Seven or more home	54	3.2	4	15	41	35	4
Rent home	52	3.4	2	10	38	31	10
Own home	338	3.4	2	13	37	37	10
Male	133	3.3	4	14	35	38	8
Female	234	3.5	1	12	38	35	12
Age 18-24	9	3.0	11		67		11
Age 25-34	77	3.5	1	14	29	42	12
Age 35-44	136	3.4	2	10	43	33	10
Age 45-54	81	3.5		11	36	41	10
Age 55-64	39	3.3	3	15	36	38	8
Age 65 or older	43	3.2	5	19	33	28	12
Income < \$39,999	24	3.0	8	17	42	21	8
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.5		13	33	45	7
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.6		12	33	36	15
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.3	4	15	34	36	9
Income > \$140,000	52	3.5	2	10	40	31	15

7. Do you think the city should provide additional 'social networking' communication sites -
ie. Twitter, Facebook, etc.

1. Yes

2. No

	n=	1	2
Overall	403	37%	59%
North	116	42	53
West	74	28	68
East	126	37	60
South	77	36	62
< 5 years resident	155	46	50
5-10 years resident	147	38	60
> 10 years resident	90	19	77
One in home	9	11	89
Two in home	68	18	75
Three in home	36	28	72
Four in home	67	45	54
Five in home	80	45	54
Six in home	75	44	52
Seven or more home	54	41	57
Rent home	52	44	48
Own home	338	36	62
Male	133	35	64
Female	234	41	56
Age 18-24	9	56	33
Age 25-34	77	52	47
Age 35-44	136	45	53
Age 45-54	81	31	65
Age 55-64	39	23	74
Age 65 or older	43	7	88
Income < \$39,999	24	42	54
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	35	63
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	41	52
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	37	62
Income > \$140,000	52	35	65

8a. How would you rate the following services in Cedar Hills?

Law enforcement

1-Poorest to 5-Best

Mean 2011 3.4

Mean 2009 3.6

Mean 2006 3.6

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.4	5%	11%	31%	31%	13%
North	116	3.4	3	12	28	32	11
West	74	3.4	8	8	27	42	8
East	126	3.3	5	13	34	22	14
South	77	3.5	5	8	31	34	17
< 5 years resident	155	3.6	3	7	29	29	16
5-10 years resident	147	3.2	5	18	35	27	10
> 10 years resident	90	3.5	9	6	27	42	12
One in home	9	3.9		11	11	56	22
Two in home	68	3.4	1	13	28	32	9
Three in home	36	3.2	11	3	39	22	11
Four in home	67	3.4	6	9	33	31	13
Five in home	80	3.5	6	10	24	31	20
Six in home	75	3.4	4	12	33	32	13
Seven or more home	54	3.3	4	17	31	33	7
Rent home	52	3.5	2	12	25	21	17
Own home	338	3.4	5	11	31	33	12
Male	133	3.5	4	11	28	40	13
Female	234	3.3	6	11	32	27	13
Age 18-24	9	3.7	11		33	22	33
Age 25-34	77	3.4	4	12	30	25	17
Age 35-44	136	3.4	3	12	33	35	11
Age 45-54	81	3.4	10	9	26	35	14
Age 55-64	39	3.3	5	15	28	33	10
Age 65 or older	43	3.3	5	9	35	28	9
Income < \$39,999	24	3.5	4	4	38	33	13
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.5	4	9	29	39	11
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.4	3	9	37	27	13
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.4	5	13	26	38	12
Income > \$140,000	52	3.3	8	15	33	17	21

8b. How would you rate the following services in Cedar Hills?

Fire and ambulance protection

1-Poorest to 5-Best

Mean 2011 3.3

Mean 2009 3.9

Mean 2006 3.8

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.3	9%	11%	28%	31%	12%
North	116	3.5	4	10	24	38	11
West	74	3.0	16	11	28	32	7
East	126	3.2	9	14	30	22	13
South	77	3.4	13	5	29	34	16
< 5 years resident	155	3.3	6	11	28	29	11
5-10 years resident	147	3.2	11	12	31	28	14
> 10 years resident	90	3.3	13	9	26	38	12
One in home	9	3.8	11		11	56	22
Two in home	68	3.2	7	16	24	31	9
Three in home	36	3.2	11	8	33	22	14
Four in home	67	3.3	10	10	28	30	13
Five in home	80	3.4	13	8	21	33	18
Six in home	75	3.4	8	11	29	31	15
Seven or more home	54	3.1	9	9	41	33	2
Rent home	52	3.5	6	8	27	21	17
Own home	338	3.3	10	11	29	33	12
Male	133	3.3	6	14	28	34	11
Female	234	3.2	12	9	28	29	12
Age 18-24	9	3.9	11		22	22	44
Age 25-34	77	3.3	8	10	29	30	10
Age 35-44	136	3.3	8	9	32	34	11
Age 45-54	81	3.2	12	14	27	28	12
Age 55-64	39	3.3	5	18	26	31	13
Age 65 or older	43	3.0	19	9	26	26	9
Income < \$39,999	24	3.0	21	8	21	29	13
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.1	12	11	31	32	8
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.4	5	14	30	30	13
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.3	13	7	26	35	13
Income > \$140,000	52	3.3	6	13	37	21	15

8c. How would you rate the following services in Cedar Hills?

Garbage collection / disposal

1-Poorest to 5-Best

Mean 2011 4.1

Mean 2009 4.2

Mean 2006 4.1

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	4.1	2%	3%	13%	40%	37%
North	116	4.1	1	3	17	36	39
West	74	4.2		4	9	46	39
East	126	4.1	3	2	10	40	37
South	77	4.0	5	4	12	42	34
< 5 years resident	155	4.1	1	4	15	41	33
5-10 years resident	147	4.2	3	2	11	39	42
> 10 years resident	90	4.1	3	3	12	40	41
One in home	9	4.4			11	33	56
Two in home	68	4.1	6	1	10	37	40
Three in home	36	4.0	6	3	8	39	33
Four in home	67	4.2		1	19	39	39
Five in home	80	4.2	1	3	9	43	41
Six in home	75	4.1		4	15	44	36
Seven or more home	54	4.0	4	6	15	39	35
Rent home	52	4.1		8	13	37	35
Own home	338	4.1	2	2	13	41	39
Male	133	3.9	4	3	17	44	29
Female	234	4.2	1	3	10	39	43
Age 18-24	9	4.4				56	44
Age 25-34	77	4.2	1	3	12	40	43
Age 35-44	136	4.2	1	1	16	43	38
Age 45-54	81	3.9	1	9	15	43	27
Age 55-64	39	4.1	3	3	13	36	38
Age 65 or older	43	4.0	12	2	7	23	49
Income < \$39,999	24	4.1	4	8	4	29	46
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.9	5	5	16	40	31
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	4.2	1	2	13	41	41
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	4.2	2		15	37	39
Income > \$140,000	52	4.1	2	8	12	38	40

8d. How would you rate the following services in Cedar Hills?

Street construction & maintenance

1-Poorest to 5-Best

Mean 2011 3.2

Mean 2009 3.2

Mean 2006 3.3

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.2	7%	14%	36%	28%	9%
North	116	3.4	5	9	38	31	11
West	74	3.3	5	15	32	35	8
East	126	3.1	10	13	32	27	9
South	77	2.9	6	23	43	17	6
< 5 years resident	155	3.4	5	7	39	32	8
5-10 years resident	147	3.1	7	17	34	27	10
> 10 years resident	90	3.0	11	21	37	21	9
One in home	9	3.4		11	44	33	11
Two in home	68	3.0	10	19	28	26	9
Three in home	36	3.4	6	11	25	33	14
Four in home	67	3.1	9	13	43	25	6
Five in home	80	3.2	8	11	38	25	10
Six in home	75	3.1	8	11	43	27	7
Seven or more home	54	3.3	2	17	39	31	9
Rent home	52	3.4	4	8	40	25	12
Own home	338	3.2	8	15	36	28	9
Male	133	3.1	9	14	39	23	9
Female	234	3.2	6	13	36	31	8
Age 18-24	9	3.3	11	11	22	44	11
Age 25-34	77	3.3	5	13	39	29	10
Age 35-44	136	3.2	7	12	43	28	9
Age 45-54	81	3.2	4	20	33	30	6
Age 55-64	39	3.0	10	18	28	23	8
Age 65 or older	43	3.1	12	9	37	21	12
Income < \$39,999	24	3.1	17	13	29	21	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.2	4	15	40	31	5
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.2	5	11	43	32	5
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.1	5	19	38	21	10
Income > \$140,000	52	3.2	13	10	37	21	17

8e. How would you rate the following services in Cedar Hills?

Snow removal

1-Poorest to 5-Best

Mean 2011 3.1

Mean 2009 3.2

Mean 2006 3.0

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.1	9%	19%	31%	31%	8%
North	116	3.1	6	16	39	30	6
West	74	3.1	7	23	32	31	5
East	126	3.1	12	18	21	34	11
South	77	3.0	10	21	31	25	9
< 5 years resident	155	3.2	5	19	29	34	10
5-10 years resident	147	3.1	12	16	30	33	7
> 10 years resident	90	3.0	10	21	36	24	8
One in home	9	3.3		22	22	56	
Two in home	68	3.2	10	13	34	25	12
Three in home	36	3.5	6	6	25	53	6
Four in home	67	2.8	12	28	28	25	4
Five in home	80	3.1	13	19	21	35	11
Six in home	75	3.0	11	19	40	23	7
Seven or more home	54	3.3		19	37	35	7
Rent home	52	3.3	6	15	31	27	15
Own home	338	3.1	9	19	30	32	7
Male	133	3.0	11	19	33	30	6
Female	234	3.2	9	17	31	32	9
Age 18-24	9	2.3	33	11	44	11	
Age 25-34	77	3.3	5	18	31	30	14
Age 35-44	136	3.1	7	20	34	33	6
Age 45-54	81	3.1	9	20	28	33	6
Age 55-64	39	3.1	13	13	26	38	5
Age 65 or older	43	3.0	16	14	28	23	12
Income < \$39,999	24	2.8	21	13	33	21	8
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.1	8	24	28	32	7
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.2	8	17	30	36	6
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.2	9	18	30	28	12
Income > \$140,000	52	3.2	8	19	33	29	12

8f. How would you rate the following services in Cedar Hills?

Parks

1-Poorest to 5-Best

Mean 2011 3.9

Mean 2009 3.8

Mean 2006 3.6

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.9	4%	5%	15%	45%	26%
North	116	3.9	4	3	15	47	25
West	74	4.2		4	8	55	31
East	126	3.8	5	9	14	40	25
South	77	3.8	5	4	23	40	25
< 5 years resident	155	3.9	3	6	15	46	23
5-10 years resident	147	3.9	4	6	16	44	27
> 10 years resident	90	3.9	6	2	16	46	29
One in home	9	3.8	11		11	56	22
Two in home	68	3.7	7	6	13	43	22
Three in home	36	3.9	6	3	14	39	28
Four in home	67	3.8		9	24	46	19
Five in home	80	4.0	4	5	13	41	31
Six in home	75	4.0	3	4	13	52	27
Seven or more home	54	3.9	4	6	17	43	31
Rent home	52	3.8	4	4	19	42	21
Own home	338	3.9	4	6	14	46	27
Male	133	3.8	5	4	16	52	20
Female	234	3.9	4	5	15	43	29
Age 18-24	9	3.8		11	11	67	11
Age 25-34	77	3.9	4	5	18	43	27
Age 35-44	136	4.1	1	4	15	50	30
Age 45-54	81	3.8	4	9	17	41	23
Age 55-64	39	3.9	8	3	8	51	23
Age 65 or older	43	3.5	12	5	19	35	19
Income < \$39,999	24	3.6	13	8	4	46	21
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.8	4	5	15	48	23
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.9	3	7	13	49	26
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	4.0	2	2	19	44	28
Income > \$140,000	52	3.8	6	8	17	38	27

8g. How would you rate the following services in Cedar Hills?

Animal Control

1-Poorest to 5-Best

Mean 2011 3.4

Mean 2009 3.4

Mean 2006 3.2

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.4	3%	10%	34%	30%	13%
North	116	3.4	3	8	39	27	13
West	74	3.6		8	34	32	18
East	126	3.4	5	9	32	31	11
South	77	3.4	4	16	31	31	13
< 5 years resident	155	3.6	1	6	32	37	10
5-10 years resident	147	3.4	6	10	35	24	17
> 10 years resident	90	3.4	1	16	36	30	12
One in home	9	3.4		11	33	56	
Two in home	68	3.2	7	10	32	28	9
Three in home	36	3.6		11	33	31	17
Four in home	67	3.4	1	15	33	31	12
Five in home	80	3.7	6	1	31	26	23
Six in home	75	3.5		8	41	32	9
Seven or more home	54	3.5	2	13	31	33	13
Rent home	52	3.6	2	6	29	40	10
Own home	338	3.5	3	10	35	30	14
Male	133	3.5	3	10	33	37	11
Female	234	3.5	3	8	34	29	15
Age 18-24	9	4.0			22	56	22
Age 25-34	77	3.6	4	6	27	32	19
Age 35-44	136	3.4	3	9	38	31	13
Age 45-54	81	3.5		10	41	27	12
Age 55-64	39	3.3	5	21	18	36	10
Age 65 or older	43	3.3	7	7	33	28	9
Income < \$39,999	24	3.6	8	4	17	42	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.5	1	5	40	31	11
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.5	3	9	32	35	14
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.5	4	10	35	27	18
Income > \$140,000	52	3.4	4	10	35	33	12

8h. How would you rate the following services in Cedar Hills?

Sidewalk Maintenance

1-Poorest to 5-Best

Mean 2011 3.3

Mean 2009 3.4

Mean 2006 3.3

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.3	7%	9%	37%	32%	9%
North	116	3.5	4	6	33	38	9
West	74	3.4	5	11	35	32	14
East	126	3.1	12	9	39	27	7
South	77	3.3	4	13	40	31	9
< 5 years resident	155	3.4	5	7	35	40	6
5-10 years resident	147	3.3	9	10	35	30	12
> 10 years resident	90	3.2	8	11	43	24	10
One in home	9	3.6			44	56	
Two in home	68	3.0	13	7	40	19	9
Three in home	36	3.4	8	6	33	39	8
Four in home	67	3.1	9	16	31	33	4
Five in home	80	3.5	9	4	29	35	16
Six in home	75	3.4		12	49	28	9
Seven or more home	54	3.4	4	9	35	44	7
Rent home	52	3.7		8	29	40	13
Own home	338	3.3	8	9	38	31	9
Male	133	3.1	10	11	38	31	7
Female	234	3.4	6	8	34	35	11
Age 18-24	9	3.6	11		33	33	22
Age 25-34	77	3.5	5	8	30	39	13
Age 35-44	136	3.4	5	7	36	40	9
Age 45-54	81	3.3	2	15	43	25	10
Age 55-64	39	3.0	18	8	31	31	8
Age 65 or older	43	3.0	12	5	44	21	5
Income < \$39,999	24	3.5	8	4	33	33	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.4	5	9	36	33	11
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.4	6	5	34	40	8
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.2	6	14	39	27	10
Income > \$140,000	52	3.4	4	12	37	33	12

8i. How would you rate the following services in Cedar Hills?

Storm drainage

1-Poorest to 5-Best

Mean 2011 3.6

Mean 2009 3.7

Mean 2006 3.4

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.6	3%	6%	30%	39%	15%
North	116	3.7	3	4	26	42	16
West	74	3.7	1	7	26	42	19
East	126	3.5	4	5	33	39	12
South	77	3.4	4	9	36	30	14
< 5 years resident	155	3.7	1	5	30	41	12
5-10 years resident	147	3.6	5	7	29	36	18
> 10 years resident	90	3.6	3	7	31	40	13
One in home	9	3.9			33	44	22
Two in home	68	3.6	4	7	25	35	18
Three in home	36	3.7	3	3	28	42	17
Four in home	67	3.6	1	4	31	46	7
Five in home	80	3.6	5	9	19	41	19
Six in home	75	3.5	4	5	40	35	11
Seven or more home	54	3.7		6	35	37	17
Rent home	52	3.6		6	31	38	12
Own home	338	3.6	4	6	29	40	16
Male	133	3.6	5	5	30	43	12
Female	234	3.7	3	6	28	38	17
Age 18-24	9	3.9			22	67	11
Age 25-34	77	3.8	4	3	27	35	22
Age 35-44	136	3.6	4	7	30	42	13
Age 45-54	81	3.6		6	35	41	10
Age 55-64	39	3.7	5	3	23	46	13
Age 65 or older	43	3.6	5	9	28	26	21
Income < \$39,999	24	3.7	4	8	29	29	25
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.6	1	7	32	37	13
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.7	4	4	25	41	18
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.6	3	6	31	41	12
Income > \$140,000	52	3.6	4	6	33	37	19

8j. How would you rate the following services in Cedar Hills?

Street lighting

1-Poorest to 5-Best

Mean 2011 3.4

Mean 2009 3.4

Mean 2006 3.3

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.4	5%	13%	30%	33%	13%
North	116	3.3	4	17	30	28	14
West	74	3.6	4	8	24	45	16
East	126	3.3	10	12	28	31	13
South	77	3.4	3	14	35	32	12
< 5 years resident	155	3.4	6	12	31	33	13
5-10 years resident	147	3.4	5	14	31	27	17
> 10 years resident	90	3.4	6	13	29	39	10
One in home	9	3.3		11	56	22	11
Two in home	68	3.2	10	12	29	24	15
Three in home	36	3.5	6	11	22	42	11
Four in home	67	3.2	7	16	31	34	6
Five in home	80	3.5	6	13	25	34	18
Six in home	75	3.4	3	17	32	31	15
Seven or more home	54	3.6	2	7	35	39	17
Rent home	52	3.4	4	13	29	31	15
Own home	338	3.4	6	13	30	33	14
Male	133	3.2	6	16	32	36	7
Female	234	3.5	6	11	29	32	18
Age 18-24	9	3.2	22	11	22	11	33
Age 25-34	77	3.5	5	13	30	30	19
Age 35-44	136	3.5	5	11	29	37	15
Age 45-54	81	3.3	2	17	30	40	6
Age 55-64	39	3.4	5	10	36	31	13
Age 65 or older	43	3.1	12	14	33	19	12
Income < \$39,999	24	3.7	4	8	33	21	29
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.3	7	12	35	27	16
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.3	7	13	28	35	11
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.4	4	13	28	39	12
Income > \$140,000	52	3.4	4	19	29	27	17

8k. How would you rate the following services in Cedar Hills?

Recreation services

1-Poorest to 5-Best

Mean 2011 3.0

Mean 2009 2.9

Mean 2006 3.1

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.0	11%	20%	27%	26%	8%
North	116	3.0	8	25	27	22	9
West	74	3.0	15	19	23	30	11
East	126	3.0	11	15	29	28	4
South	77	3.0	13	21	22	30	9
< 5 years resident	155	3.1	12	13	29	30	6
5-10 years resident	147	2.8	16	22	25	20	8
> 10 years resident	90	3.2	6	23	26	31	11
One in home	9	3.6		11	22	44	11
Two in home	68	3.2	6	12	31	26	7
Three in home	36	3.3	3	19	17	47	3
Four in home	67	2.6	18	24	31	16	3
Five in home	80	3.1	16	18	23	23	16
Six in home	75	2.8	12	31	25	23	7
Seven or more home	54	3.1	13	9	31	33	7
Rent home	52	3.0	12	13	23	29	6
Own home	338	3.0	12	20	28	26	8
Male	133	3.1	7	18	33	32	5
Female	234	2.9	14	20	24	24	9
Age 18-24	9	2.6		44	33	11	
Age 25-34	77	3.0	19	14	19	29	10
Age 35-44	136	2.9	13	24	29	23	9
Age 45-54	81	3.0	11	17	32	25	7
Age 55-64	39	3.4	3	18	18	46	8
Age 65 or older	43	3.1	9	7	33	28	2
Income < \$39,999	24	3.0	8	17	25	33	
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.2	8	19	28	31	9
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.0	13	16	28	29	6
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.8	16	22	29	20	6
Income > \$140,000	52	3.1	12	25	25	19	17

81. How would you rate the following services in Cedar Hills?

Zoning/Bldg Dept

1-Poorest to 5-Best

Mean 2011 3.3

Mean 2009 3.2

Mean 2006 3.1

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.3	2%	9%	40%	28%	7%
North	116	3.4	1	8	43	23	8
West	74	3.5	3	3	45	28	11
East	126	3.2	5	14	29	29	6
South	77	3.4		6	44	35	4
< 5 years resident	155	3.4	2	6	37	30	5
5-10 years resident	147	3.3	2	12	41	26	9
> 10 years resident	90	3.4	2	8	43	29	8
One in home	9	3.6		11	11	56	
Two in home	68	3.3		13	34	32	4
Three in home	36	3.6		11	25	39	11
Four in home	67	3.1	3	9	52	21	1
Five in home	80	3.5	6	4	30	30	16
Six in home	75	3.3	1	8	52	24	5
Seven or more home	54	3.3		9	43	26	4
Rent home	52	3.5		6	31	29	6
Own home	338	3.3	2	9	41	29	7
Male	133	3.4	1	8	42	34	6
Female	234	3.3	3	9	37	26	8
Age 18-24	9	3.4			56	33	
Age 25-34	77	3.3	3	12	36	27	6
Age 35-44	136	3.2	3	10	45	24	7
Age 45-54	81	3.5	1	2	40	35	9
Age 55-64	39	3.4	3	13	26	41	8
Age 65 or older	43	3.3		7	42	21	5
Income < \$39,999	24	3.2	4	8	42	17	8
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.4		8	44	31	4
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.4	3	9	37	29	8
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.3	2	7	44	28	7
Income > \$140,000	52	3.3	2	13	42	23	12

8m. How would you rate the following services in Cedar Hills?

City Council/Mayor

1-Poorest to 5-Best

Mean 2011 3.3

Mean 2009 3.3

Mean 2006 3.1

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.3	3%	9%	40%	29%	7%
North	116	3.5	1	9	37	31	9
West	74	3.1	8	5	54	22	3
East	126	3.3	2	12	38	27	6
South	77	3.4	1	12	36	35	8
< 5 years resident	155	3.5	1	5	37	33	8
5-10 years resident	147	3.2	3	14	44	23	8
> 10 years resident	90	3.2	7	9	42	32	3
One in home	9	3.2	11		44	44	
Two in home	68	3.3	4	12	29	29	7
Three in home	36	3.3	3	8	44	28	6
Four in home	67	3.2	3	10	42	31	3
Five in home	80	3.4	4	8	34	36	8
Six in home	75	3.3	1	9	51	23	11
Seven or more home	54	3.3		11	44	24	7
Rent home	52	3.5		8	29	37	6
Own home	338	3.3	3	10	42	28	7
Male	133	3.2	4	11	42	28	7
Female	234	3.4	3	9	38	31	7
Age 18-24	9	3.3		11	44	33	
Age 25-34	77	3.4	3	8	32	38	6
Age 35-44	136	3.4	1	10	46	26	10
Age 45-54	81	3.3	4	9	46	27	6
Age 55-64	39	3.3	3	13	36	33	5
Age 65 or older	43	3.1	9	9	30	28	5
Income < \$39,999	24	3.2	8	8	25	38	
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.3	3	11	45	25	8
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.4	2	9	35	34	9
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.3	1	14	40	33	3
Income > \$140,000	52	3.4	6	4	48	21	15

8n. How would you rate the following services in Cedar Hills?

Utility/Billing Dept

1-Poorest to 5-Best

Mean 2011 3.6

Mean 2009 3.6

Mean 2006 3.5

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.6	3%	6%	33%	35%	15%
North	116	3.5	1	9	37	28	16
West	74	3.7	4	5	24	46	16
East	126	3.5	6	6	33	33	13
South	77	3.7	3	4	35	38	18
< 5 years resident	155	3.6	3	5	33	37	14
5-10 years resident	147	3.5	4	9	35	30	16
> 10 years resident	90	3.6	3	4	33	40	17
One in home	9	3.2		11	67	11	11
Two in home	68	3.5	3	10	32	29	19
Three in home	36	3.4	8	8	36	22	19
Four in home	67	3.3	6	4	42	31	7
Five in home	80	3.8	4	3	21	43	20
Six in home	75	3.6	1	5	37	40	13
Seven or more home	54	3.7		7	28	44	17
Rent home	52	3.6	4	8	23	40	12
Own home	338	3.6	3	6	35	35	16
Male	133	3.5	5	8	35	35	15
Female	234	3.7	3	5	29	38	17
Age 18-24	9	3.1		11	56	22	
Age 25-34	77	3.5	5	9	26	38	16
Age 35-44	136	3.7	2	4	31	41	17
Age 45-54	81	3.6	1	6	36	40	12
Age 55-64	39	3.5	5	8	36	28	18
Age 65 or older	43	3.5	7	5	40	19	21
Income < \$39,999	24	3.5	8	17	13	33	21
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.5	3	7	41	33	12
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.6	4	5	32	35	18
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.6	1	9	30	38	16
Income > \$140,000	52	3.7	4	2	37	33	21

80. How would you rate the following services in Cedar Hills?

City Mgmt Staff

1-Poorest to 5-Best

Mean 2011 3.6

Mean 2009 3.6

Mean 2006 3.4

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.6	2%	6%	33%	36%	12%
North	116	3.6	2	4	37	30	13
West	74	3.6	3	4	30	45	9
East	126	3.5	3	8	33	31	12
South	77	3.6	1	8	29	43	13
< 5 years resident	155	3.6	1	5	32	37	11
5-10 years resident	147	3.5	3	7	39	29	14
> 10 years resident	90	3.6	3	7	27	44	11
One in home	9	3.4	11		33	33	11
Two in home	68	3.6	1	7	25	37	12
Three in home	36	3.5	6	3	42	28	14
Four in home	67	3.5	3	1	43	36	6
Five in home	80	3.7	4	6	19	41	19
Six in home	75	3.5		5	49	28	12
Seven or more home	54	3.6		13	22	43	9
Rent home	52	3.6		8	25	37	8
Own home	338	3.5	3	6	34	36	13
Male	133	3.5	3	8	31	43	10
Female	234	3.6	2	6	32	33	14
Age 18-24	9	3.3		11	44	33	
Age 25-34	77	3.6	3	5	31	35	12
Age 35-44	136	3.6	1	4	40	34	12
Age 45-54	81	3.7	2	6	27	43	15
Age 55-64	39	3.6	3	8	26	44	10
Age 65 or older	43	3.4	7	9	28	26	14
Income < \$39,999	24	3.5	4	13	17	25	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.5	1	7	44	31	11
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.6	2	3	34	36	14
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.5	3	7	30	38	11
Income > \$140,000	52	3.6	2	10	35	31	19

8p. How would you rate the following services in Cedar Hills?

Enforcement of Nuisance Ordinance

1-Poorest to 5-Best

Mean 2011 3.4

Mean 2009 3.4

Mean 2006 3.2

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.4	3%	8%	35%	30%	7%
North	116	3.4	2	9	32	28	9
West	74	3.4	4	5	34	38	7
East	126	3.3	5	7	32	33	6
South	77	3.2	3	14	45	18	9
< 5 years resident	155	3.4	1	8	30	33	6
5-10 years resident	147	3.3	5	7	41	27	9
> 10 years resident	90	3.3	4	12	34	30	8
One in home	9	3.3			67	33	
Two in home	68	3.1	4	16	31	25	4
Three in home	36	3.3	6	6	31	39	3
Four in home	67	3.1	4	12	42	16	7
Five in home	80	3.7	4	4	23	41	14
Six in home	75	3.4	1	4	45	28	8
Seven or more home	54	3.4	2	9	33	33	6
Rent home	52	3.6		8	21	38	6
Own home	338	3.3	4	8	37	29	8
Male	133	3.3	5	8	38	32	7
Female	234	3.4	3	7	33	30	8
Age 18-24	9	3.9			22	44	11
Age 25-34	77	3.5	5	5	27	34	13
Age 35-44	136	3.4	2	5	39	32	7
Age 45-54	81	3.2	4	12	37	26	6
Age 55-64	39	3.1	5	18	28	31	5
Age 65 or older	43	3.2	2	7	40	26	2
Income < \$39,999	24	3.3	4	17	29	25	13
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.4		7	43	29	8
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.4	3	8	33	34	5
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.3	5	6	33	31	7
Income > \$140,000	52	3.4	4	8	38	27	13

8q. How would you rate the following services in Cedar Hills?

Pressurized Irrigation

1-Poorest to 5-Best

Mean 2011 3.7

Mean 2009 3.5

Mean 2006 3.2

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.7	4%	5%	24%	40%	16%
North	116	3.7	2	3	25	44	13
West	74	3.9	3	3	18	49	23
East	126	3.5	7	8	22	33	13
South	77	3.6	3	6	30	38	17
< 5 years resident	155	3.7	4	4	20	41	16
5-10 years resident	147	3.6	3	8	27	39	15
> 10 years resident	90	3.7	4	3	24	46	16
One in home	9	3.5		11	22	56	
Two in home	68	3.4	10	3	19	43	9
Three in home	36	3.7	3	8	22	33	22
Four in home	67	3.6	1	6	24	43	10
Five in home	80	3.9	3	4	19	40	21
Six in home	75	3.6	1	7	32	41	15
Seven or more home	54	3.8	6	4	22	43	22
Rent home	52	3.7	2	6	21	38	15
Own home	338	3.7	4	5	23	42	16
Male	133	3.6	4	4	26	47	11
Female	234	3.7	4	6	21	38	18
Age 18-24	9	3.9			22	44	11
Age 25-34	77	3.6	3	6	25	40	14
Age 35-44	136	3.7	4	4	28	43	17
Age 45-54	81	3.7	4	6	21	42	20
Age 55-64	39	3.7	5	3	18	51	10
Age 65 or older	43	3.4	7	9	19	30	12
Income < \$39,999	24	3.8	4	8	13	38	21
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.6	3	7	29	37	15
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.6	5	3	25	41	13
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.7	4	4	19	47	17
Income > \$140,000	52	3.6	2	8	29	38	15

9a. Would you oppose or favor improvement in the following?

Police

1-Strongly oppose to 5-Strongly favor

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.3	7%	13%	33%	22%	17%
North	116	3.4	4	10	38	21	16
West	74	3.3	5	19	32	19	18
East	126	3.4	6	13	29	23	19
South	77	3.3	12	9	31	27	16
< 5 years resident	155	3.4	4	14	34	17	19
5-10 years resident	147	3.4	8	11	31	27	17
> 10 years resident	90	3.3	10	11	33	26	17
One in home	9	3.3		22	44	11	22
Two in home	68	3.4	3	13	35	21	16
Three in home	36	3.3	11	14	28	14	22
Four in home	67	3.5	7	3	39	22	22
Five in home	80	3.2	8	15	30	28	10
Six in home	75	3.2	9	13	35	23	16
Seven or more home	54	3.4	6	15	26	26	20
Rent home	52	3.5	4	17	19	19	21
Own home	338	3.3	7	12	35	23	17
Male	133	3.2	7	14	41	18	14
Female	234	3.4	7	12	28	25	19
Age 18-24	9	2.9	22	11	33		22
Age 25-34	77	3.4	4	10	39	22	14
Age 35-44	136	3.4	7	12	35	24	18
Age 45-54	81	3.4	9	12	28	27	19
Age 55-64	39	3.4	5	10	33	21	18
Age 65 or older	43	3.2	7	21	28	16	19
Income < \$39,999	24	3.4	4	17	25	29	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.1	8	19	33	20	12
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.4	4	14	36	22	18
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.3	9	6	35	28	14
Income > \$140,000	52	3.4	12	8	29	19	27

9b. Would you oppose or favor improvement in the following?

Fire Service

1-Strongly oppose to 5-Strongly favor

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.4	6%	12%	33%	20%	20%
North	116	3.4	3	11	42	16	17
West	74	3.4	5	16	26	26	20
East	126	3.4	6	13	29	19	23
South	77	3.3	12	9	32	19	21
< 5 years resident	155	3.5	3	10	34	18	22
5-10 years resident	147	3.3	10	13	33	18	20
> 10 years resident	90	3.4	7	12	33	26	19
One in home	9	3.3		22	44	11	22
Two in home	68	3.3	6	15	35	16	16
Three in home	36	3.3	11	14	28	14	22
Four in home	67	3.6	4	4	37	21	25
Five in home	80	3.4	8	11	29	29	15
Six in home	75	3.3	9	12	37	17	19
Seven or more home	54	3.6	2	15	28	20	28
Rent home	52	3.5	2	15	23	21	21
Own home	338	3.4	7	12	34	20	20
Male	133	3.3	5	14	40	18	17
Female	234	3.4	8	11	29	21	23
Age 18-24	9	3.4	11	11	22	22	22
Age 25-34	77	3.4	4	9	36	23	16
Age 35-44	136	3.5	5	10	35	21	24
Age 45-54	81	3.4	9	12	32	20	22
Age 55-64	39	3.5	3	8	38	21	18
Age 65 or older	43	3.0	12	26	23	12	19
Income < \$39,999	24	3.0	13	21	25	17	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.1	8	16	39	13	16
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.6	3	13	30	22	26
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.4	6	5	37	27	16
Income > \$140,000	52	3.4	8	13	35	13	25

9c. Would you oppose or favor improvement in the following?

Ambulance Service

1-Strongly oppose to 5-Strongly favor

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.4	5%	13%	35%	19%	18%
North	116	3.3	3	11	44	16	15
West	74	3.3	3	18	36	16	18
East	126	3.5	5	13	30	21	21
South	77	3.3	10	9	29	22	19
< 5 years resident	155	3.5	3	9	38	18	17
5-10 years resident	147	3.3	7	15	33	16	21
> 10 years resident	90	3.3	7	12	34	24	16
One in home	9	3.4		22	44		33
Two in home	68	3.3	4	15	31	21	16
Three in home	36	3.3	14	8	31	11	25
Four in home	67	3.5	3	4	45	21	18
Five in home	80	3.3	4	15	30	28	13
Six in home	75	3.2	8	12	41	15	16
Seven or more home	54	3.5	4	15	30	17	26
Rent home	52	3.4	2	15	29	21	13
Own home	338	3.4	6	12	36	19	19
Male	133	3.3	5	14	42	19	14
Female	234	3.4	6	12	30	18	22
Age 18-24	9	3.3	11	11	33	11	22
Age 25-34	77	3.4	4	8	43	21	13
Age 35-44	136	3.4	4	11	35	21	20
Age 45-54	81	3.4	6	12	35	17	22
Age 55-64	39	3.4	3	10	38	21	15
Age 65 or older	43	3.1	9	26	21	12	21
Income < \$39,999	24	3.4	8	21	17	13	29
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.1	7	16	43	13	12
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.5	3	12	36	19	23
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.4	6	6	35	24	17
Income > \$140,000	52	3.3	8	12	38	15	21

9d. Would you oppose or favor improvement in the following?

Animal Control

1-Strongly oppose to 5-Strongly favor

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.0	9%	18%	40%	16%	8%
North	116	3.0	7	19	40	16	9
West	74	2.8	8	23	43	12	5
East	126	3.1	6	17	42	16	10
South	77	2.9	17	12	38	18	8
< 5 years resident	155	3.0	4	21	41	16	6
5-10 years resident	147	3.0	12	16	39	14	12
> 10 years resident	90	2.8	14	14	42	19	4
One in home	9	3.2		22	44	22	11
Two in home	68	3.0	4	16	43	22	3
Three in home	36	3.3	8	8	42	11	19
Four in home	67	3.1	7	16	33	24	10
Five in home	80	2.8	14	18	39	15	8
Six in home	75	2.9	9	23	43	9	9
Seven or more home	54	2.7	13	20	44	13	2
Rent home	52	3.1	4	21	27	23	8
Own home	338	2.9	10	17	42	15	8
Male	133	3.0	8	19	43	17	8
Female	234	2.9	10	18	38	15	9
Age 18-24	9	3.1	22		33	11	22
Age 25-34	77	2.9	8	21	43	9	10
Age 35-44	136	2.9	10	20	40	19	5
Age 45-54	81	3.0	11	14	43	14	11
Age 55-64	39	3.3	3	15	31	31	8
Age 65 or older	43	2.8	9	21	40	16	5
Income < \$39,999	24	3.3	4	21	33	13	21
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	2.9	8	20	40	15	8
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.0	7	20	40	19	8
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.8	15	16	40	15	5
Income > \$140,000	52	2.9	12	13	48	19	6

9e. Would you oppose or favor improvement in the following?

Street Maintenance

1-Strongly oppose to 5-Strongly favor

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.4	6%	10%	34%	25%	15%
North	116	3.3	5	13	33	26	12
West	74	3.4	5	9	36	26	16
East	126	3.4	5	10	34	25	16
South	77	3.3	8	9	36	23	16
< 5 years resident	155	3.4	1	10	38	26	12
5-10 years resident	147	3.3	8	12	31	24	16
> 10 years resident	90	3.3	10	9	33	28	16
One in home	9	3.5			44	44	
Two in home	68	3.2	7	12	34	22	12
Three in home	36	3.3	3	14	39	22	14
Four in home	67	3.6	3	7	30	34	19
Five in home	80	3.4	9	9	28	30	15
Six in home	75	3.3	7	11	41	19	16
Seven or more home	54	3.3	6	13	33	24	15
Rent home	52	3.3		13	35	27	8
Own home	338	3.4	7	10	34	26	16
Male	133	3.3	7	12	38	23	14
Female	234	3.5	5	9	32	28	16
Age 18-24	9	3.9			33	33	22
Age 25-34	77	3.4	4	12	36	22	14
Age 35-44	136	3.4	6	9	36	26	17
Age 45-54	81	3.4	6	11	33	23	20
Age 55-64	39	3.4	5	10	21	44	8
Age 65 or older	43	2.9	12	14	42	16	5
Income < \$39,999	24	3.3	8	13	33	21	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.3	3	12	37	31	8
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.3	5	14	32	24	16
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.3	9	9	33	27	15
Income > \$140,000	52	3.4	8	8	37	27	19

9f. Would you oppose or favor improvement in the following?

Street Lighting

1-Strongly oppose to 5-Strongly favor

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.2	8%	14%	36%	20%	15%
North	116	3.2	7	12	38	21	12
West	74	3.3	8	14	36	19	18
East	126	3.3	6	15	34	20	17
South	77	3.0	12	14	35	18	12
< 5 years resident	155	3.4	5	12	33	24	17
5-10 years resident	147	3.2	8	16	37	17	15
> 10 years resident	90	3.0	13	12	38	18	12
One in home	9	3.3			78	11	11
Two in home	68	3.1	9	12	41	15	13
Three in home	36	3.5	6	11	31	25	19
Four in home	67	3.5	3	10	36	22	19
Five in home	80	3.2	8	16	30	24	13
Six in home	75	3.0	13	17	37	15	13
Seven or more home	54	3.2	9	15	30	22	17
Rent home	52	3.3	4	17	31	17	15
Own home	338	3.2	9	13	36	20	15
Male	133	3.2	9	17	35	19	15
Female	234	3.3	7	13	35	21	16
Age 18-24	9	3.0	11	22	22	22	11
Age 25-34	77	3.4	4	12	40	18	18
Age 35-44	136	3.2	9	14	35	24	13
Age 45-54	81	3.3	7	16	30	17	22
Age 55-64	39	3.1	8	10	38	26	5
Age 65 or older	43	2.9	14	14	40	9	14
Income < \$39,999	24	3.3	4	21	29	21	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.3	8	12	37	19	17
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.3	4	17	38	19	16
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.2	9	14	35	22	12
Income > \$140,000	52	3.3	10	12	35	19	21

9g. Would you oppose or favor improvement in the following?

Sidewalks

1-Strongly oppose to 5-Strongly favor

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.2	7%	13%	41%	21%	11%
North	116	3.2	3	16	45	16	10
West	74	3.1	8	12	42	26	8
East	126	3.2	7	13	36	23	13
South	77	3.2	9	8	43	19	13
< 5 years resident	155	3.3	2	14	39	25	12
5-10 years resident	147	3.1	10	12	43	18	12
> 10 years resident	90	3.1	11	10	40	23	10
One in home	9	3.1		11	67	22	
Two in home	68	3.1	7	13	38	21	9
Three in home	36	3.2	6	19	33	19	14
Four in home	67	3.5	3	7	39	27	18
Five in home	80	3.1	11	10	40	18	11
Six in home	75	3.0	9	16	41	23	7
Seven or more home	54	3.3	4	13	44	22	13
Rent home	52	3.2		21	33	23	8
Own home	338	3.2	8	11	42	22	12
Male	133	3.2	7	13	41	26	10
Female	234	3.2	7	13	39	21	12
Age 18-24	9	2.9		33	44		11
Age 25-34	77	3.2	4	14	44	17	13
Age 35-44	136	3.2	7	10	45	26	8
Age 45-54	81	3.3	7	14	36	19	19
Age 55-64	39	3.3	8	5	38	33	5
Age 65 or older	43	2.9	14	16	35	19	7
Income < \$39,999	24	3.0	8	25	29	17	13
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.1	7	16	40	21	9
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.2	5	14	44	24	9
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.2	9	7	39	24	11
Income > \$140,000	52	3.3	8	6	48	17	15

9h. Would you oppose or favor improvement in the following?

Park Facilities

1-Strongly oppose to 5-Strongly favor

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.3	8%	12%	34%	23%	15%
North	116	3.1	9	12	39	18	12
West	74	3.2	11	14	31	23	16
East	126	3.4	5	13	29	27	17
South	77	3.2	10	8	38	23	13
< 5 years resident	155	3.5	4	8	33	30	16
5-10 years resident	147	3.1	10	15	37	16	16
> 10 years resident	90	3.0	14	11	34	22	11
One in home	9	3.0	11		67	22	
Two in home	68	3.0	10	18	35	16	9
Three in home	36	3.3	8	8	36	22	17
Four in home	67	3.5	1	9	33	34	13
Five in home	80	3.3	13	9	33	16	21
Six in home	75	3.2	9	15	35	20	17
Seven or more home	54	3.3	9	11	30	31	13
Rent home	52	3.6	4	12	21	31	19
Own home	338	3.2	9	12	36	22	14
Male	133	3.2	10	15	32	26	12
Female	234	3.4	7	10	35	22	18
Age 18-24	9	3.3		11	44	33	
Age 25-34	77	3.5	1	13	29	32	17
Age 35-44	136	3.2	10	11	38	21	15
Age 45-54	81	3.4	11	9	28	21	25
Age 55-64	39	2.9	10	10	41	26	
Age 65 or older	43	2.8	14	16	37	12	9
Income < \$39,999	24	3.2	13	13	21	25	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.1	7	17	36	23	9
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.3	7	12	34	28	12
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.3	12	10	31	22	18
Income > \$140,000	52	3.5	8	8	37	17	27

9i. Would you oppose or favor improvement in the following?

Trail Facilities

1-Strongly oppose to 5-Strongly favor

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.2	9%	14%	31%	23%	15%
North	116	3.1	12	11	34	25	9
West	74	3.2	12	18	24	22	20
East	126	3.5	5	14	25	27	20
South	77	3.1	10	12	40	17	13
< 5 years resident	155	3.4	5	12	29	29	15
5-10 years resident	147	3.1	11	17	30	19	16
> 10 years resident	90	3.0	16	10	39	20	11
One in home	9	3.0	11		67	22	
Two in home	68	3.0	10	16	35	16	10
Three in home	36	3.2	6	17	33	22	14
Four in home	67	3.4	4	12	30	33	13
Five in home	80	3.3	13	11	26	23	19
Six in home	75	3.2	11	15	32	20	17
Seven or more home	54	3.2	13	13	26	28	17
Rent home	52	3.5	4	15	23	25	19
Own home	338	3.2	11	13	32	24	14
Male	133	3.2	10	14	26	34	11
Female	234	3.3	9	13	33	19	19
Age 18-24	9	3.3		11	44	33	
Age 25-34	77	3.5	3	13	30	27	19
Age 35-44	136	3.2	13	13	31	25	15
Age 45-54	81	3.5	10	10	26	22	26
Age 55-64	39	2.9	15	10	36	28	
Age 65 or older	43	2.7	12	23	37	9	5
Income < \$39,999	24	3.0	13	17	25	17	13
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.0	9	19	33	24	7
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.2	8	15	36	25	12
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.4	11	12	22	28	20
Income > \$140,000	52	3.5	10	8	33	19	27

9j. Would you oppose or favor improvement in the following?

Community Recreation

1-Strongly oppose to 5-Strongly favor

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.7	9%	8%	20%	20%	33%
North	116	3.7	7	8	24	16	37
West	74	3.5	16	11	14	15	38
East	126	3.8	8	8	14	24	36
South	77	3.5	9	8	29	26	22
< 5 years resident	155	3.8	5	9	18	20	37
5-10 years resident	147	3.6	14	6	22	18	34
> 10 years resident	90	3.5	11	10	22	23	27
One in home	9	3.4		11	56		22
Two in home	68	3.0	16	13	28	13	16
Three in home	36	3.5	6	14	25	19	25
Four in home	67	3.8	9	4	19	19	40
Five in home	80	3.8	11	6	16	20	40
Six in home	75	4.0	8	3	13	29	43
Seven or more home	54	3.7	7	11	17	22	37
Rent home	52	3.7	10	6	15	25	31
Own home	338	3.7	10	9	21	20	35
Male	133	3.5	10	11	24	19	31
Female	234	3.8	8	6	17	22	38
Age 18-24	9	3.6	11		22	33	22
Age 25-34	77	4.1	4	4	16	21	48
Age 35-44	136	3.8	10	5	17	24	40
Age 45-54	81	3.6	10	12	17	20	35
Age 55-64	39	2.9	10	15	38	21	5
Age 65 or older	43	2.9	19	12	30	7	16
Income < \$39,999	24	3.2	17	8	25	4	25
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.6	8	12	20	19	33
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.8	6	5	25	23	35
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.8	7	7	18	22	37
Income > \$140,000	52	3.8	12	2	17	25	40

9k. Would you oppose or favor improvement in the following?

Community Pool

1-Strongly oppose to 5-Strongly favor

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.5	15%	9%	15%	13%	38%
North	116	3.7	12	10	16	10	44
West	74	3.3	26	8	12	11	36
East	126	3.7	11	10	13	14	37
South	77	3.5	17	5	19	19	31
< 5 years resident	155	3.7	9	8	18	14	38
5-10 years resident	147	3.5	19	8	13	13	41
> 10 years resident	90	3.3	22	9	14	11	36
One in home	9	3.1	22		33	11	22
Two in home	68	2.8	22	18	15	9	19
Three in home	36	3.1	17	17	22	8	25
Four in home	67	3.8	15	3	19	7	49
Five in home	80	3.6	13	9	15	19	38
Six in home	75	4.0	13	3	9	20	51
Seven or more home	54	3.8	13	9	9	11	48
Rent home	52	3.7	6	12	21	15	33
Own home	338	3.5	17	8	14	13	40
Male	133	3.3	17	16	15	14	32
Female	234	3.8	14	5	15	13	44
Age 18-24	9	3.4		22	22	33	11
Age 25-34	77	4.2	5	3	18	13	55
Age 35-44	136	3.8	15	7	11	15	48
Age 45-54	81	3.4	20	10	15	11	37
Age 55-64	39	2.7	28	15	18	18	13
Age 65 or older	43	2.7	19	16	19	5	14
Income < \$39,999	24	3.2	13	13	21	4	25
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.4	11	16	19	13	31
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.7	15	6	17	14	43
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.7	15	6	14	13	45
Income > \$140,000	52	3.8	17	4	8	19	48

91. Would you oppose or favor improvement in the following?

Children's Library

1-Strongly oppose to 5-Strongly favor

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.6	13%	8%	17%	13%	40%
North	116	3.6	13	10	18	11	39
West	74	3.4	23	5	12	9	41
East	126	3.9	10	10	13	13	47
South	77	3.6	10	5	26	21	32
< 5 years resident	155	3.8	8	9	21	12	42
5-10 years resident	147	3.6	18	7	12	13	43
> 10 years resident	90	3.5	17	7	20	13	37
One in home	9	3.0	22	11	22	11	22
Two in home	68	3.1	16	12	24	10	22
Three in home	36	3.4	19	11	11	17	33
Four in home	67	3.7	12	7	19	12	45
Five in home	80	3.7	13	8	19	14	41
Six in home	75	3.9	12	5	13	13	53
Seven or more home	54	4.0	11	6	11	9	54
Rent home	52	3.6	10	12	17	10	38
Own home	338	3.6	14	7	17	13	42
Male	133	3.4	14	13	20	17	32
Female	234	3.8	13	6	15	10	47
Age 18-24	9	3.1	22	11	11	22	22
Age 25-34	77	4.2	8	1	14	12	60
Age 35-44	136	3.8	13	7	18	11	46
Age 45-54	81	3.5	17	11	16	12	40
Age 55-64	39	3.2	15	13	23	23	18
Age 65 or older	43	3.0	19	12	19	7	21
Income < \$39,999	24	3.3	21	4	13	8	29
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.5	13	12	23	9	36
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.7	14	7	18	10	46
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.7	10	13	16	16	39
Income > \$140,000	52	3.9	15	2	15	13	52

9m. Would you oppose or favor improvement in the following?

Other

1-Strongly oppose to 5-Strongly favor

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.6	2%	1%	5%	1%	7%
North	116	3.4	2	3	4	1	6
West	74	4.0	3		3		11
East	126	3.4	1	2	6	1	5
South	77	3.5	4		6	1	8
< 5 years resident	155	3.6	1	1	6	1	6
5-10 years resident	147	3.3	3	1	4	1	5
> 10 years resident	90	3.8	2	1	4	1	9
One in home	9	3.0			11		
Two in home	68	3.6	1	1	9	1	7
Three in home	36	3.2	3	3	6		6
Four in home	67	3.1	3		3	1	3
Five in home	80	3.7	1	1	3	1	5
Six in home	75	3.5	4	1	5		9
Seven or more home	54	4.2		2	4		11
Rent home	52	3.4	2	2	6	2	6
Own home	338	3.6	2	1	5	1	7
Male	133	3.6	1	2	7	2	7
Female	234	3.6	3	1	3		7
Age 18-24	9	3.0	11		11		11
Age 25-34	77	4.8				1	6
Age 35-44	136	3.7	1	1	4	1	6
Age 45-54	81	3.5	5	1	5		11
Age 55-64	39	3.4		3	10		5
Age 65 or older	43	3.1	2	5	9	2	5
Income < \$39,999	24	3.5	4		4		8
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	4.0	3		3	1	11
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.5	1	2	6		6
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.6	1	1	7	1	6
Income > \$140,000	52	2.7	6	4	4	2	4

10a. Are you willing to increase taxes for the construction and/or increase in operations and maintenance of these facilities and services?

Police

1-No, 2-Maybe, 3-Yes

	n=	Mean	1	2	3
Overall	403	1.8	44%	26%	23%
North	116	1.7	49	21	23
West	74	1.7	43	36	18
East	126	1.8	42	27	25
South	77	1.8	42	25	23
< 5 years resident	155	1.8	45	25	23
5-10 years resident	147	1.8	43	29	24
> 10 years resident	90	1.8	47	23	24
One in home	9	1.8	33	44	11
Two in home	68	1.6	51	24	16
Three in home	36	1.7	44	31	17
Four in home	67	1.9	40	30	27
Five in home	80	1.7	51	18	24
Six in home	75	1.9	37	31	29
Seven or more home	54	1.8	41	26	26
Rent home	52	1.7	40	33	12
Own home	338	1.8	45	25	25
Male	133	1.6	54	26	17
Female	234	1.9	38	28	28
Age 18-24	9	1.4	78		22
Age 25-34	77	1.7	45	38	13
Age 35-44	136	1.9	42	21	34
Age 45-54	81	1.9	40	26	28
Age 55-64	39	1.6	49	28	10
Age 65 or older	43	1.6	49	28	14
Income < \$39,999	24	1.7	46	33	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	1.7	44	33	16
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	1.7	46	25	23
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	1.8	43	23	28
Income > \$140,000	52	1.9	42	23	33

10b. Are you willing to increase taxes for the construction and/or increase in operations and maintenance of these facilities and services?

Fire service

1-No, 2-Maybe, 3-Yes

	n=	Mean	1	2	3
Overall	403	1.9	40%	27%	28%
North	116	1.8	43	22	28
West	74	1.9	36	35	26
East	126	1.9	39	28	28
South	77	1.9	39	23	29
< 5 years resident	155	1.9	37	27	28
5-10 years resident	147	1.9	39	29	28
> 10 years resident	90	1.9	43	22	30
One in home	9	2.1	22	33	33
Two in home	68	1.7	49	19	25
Three in home	36	1.8	42	28	22
Four in home	67	1.9	36	31	30
Five in home	80	1.8	44	20	29
Six in home	75	2.0	31	35	32
Seven or more home	54	1.9	37	30	30
Rent home	52	1.8	35	31	21
Own home	338	1.9	40	27	30
Male	133	1.8	45	29	24
Female	234	2.0	35	28	32
Age 18-24	9	1.8	56	11	33
Age 25-34	77	1.8	38	43	16
Age 35-44	136	2.0	38	21	38
Age 45-54	81	2.0	35	28	32
Age 55-64	39	1.7	44	26	18
Age 65 or older	43	1.8	49	19	26
Income < \$39,999	24	1.9	42	25	29
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	1.7	44	36	15
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.0	37	20	37
Income \$100K-\$139,999	94	1.9	36	28	30
Income > \$140,000	52	1.9	40	25	33

10c. Are you willing to increase taxes for the construction and/or increase in operations and maintenance of these facilities and services?

Ambulance Service

1-No, 2-Maybe, 3-Yes

	n=	Mean	1	2	3
Overall	403	1.8	45%	25%	23%
North	116	1.7	47	25	22
West	74	1.7	49	34	15
East	126	1.8	46	24	25
South	77	1.9	40	21	27
< 5 years resident	155	1.8	45	24	23
5-10 years resident	147	1.9	41	29	27
> 10 years resident	90	1.6	54	22	18
One in home	9	1.8	33	44	11
Two in home	68	1.6	54	18	21
Three in home	36	1.7	50	22	19
Four in home	67	1.8	42	31	22
Five in home	80	1.8	48	20	25
Six in home	75	1.9	37	31	28
Seven or more home	54	1.8	43	30	22
Rent home	52	1.7	40	31	13
Own home	338	1.8	46	25	25
Male	133	1.6	55	26	17
Female	234	1.9	39	28	26
Age 18-24	9	1.7	56	22	22
Age 25-34	77	1.7	45	35	16
Age 35-44	136	1.9	43	23	31
Age 45-54	81	1.8	42	23	27
Age 55-64	39	1.6	46	28	13
Age 65 or older	43	1.6	58	19	16
Income < \$39,999	24	1.7	46	29	21
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	1.6	51	28	15
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	1.8	47	22	25
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	1.9	39	28	27
Income > \$140,000	52	1.8	46	23	29

10d. Are you willing to increase taxes for the construction and/or increase in operations and maintenance of these facilities and services?

Animal Control

1-No, 2-Maybe, 3-Yes

	n=	Mean	1	2	3
Overall	403	1.3	72%	14%	7%
North	116	1.3	74	13	7
West	74	1.2	81	15	1
East	126	1.4	67	16	9
South	77	1.3	70	10	9
< 5 years resident	155	1.4	66	16	8
5-10 years resident	147	1.3	71	16	9
> 10 years resident	90	1.2	83	8	3
One in home	9	1.1	78	11	
Two in home	68	1.4	69	12	12
Three in home	36	1.4	67	14	11
Four in home	67	1.3	69	22	4
Five in home	80	1.3	74	10	9
Six in home	75	1.3	71	17	7
Seven or more home	54	1.2	81	9	4
Rent home	52	1.3	65	15	4
Own home	338	1.3	73	14	8
Male	133	1.3	76	16	5
Female	234	1.3	71	14	9
Age 18-24	9	1.2	78	22	
Age 25-34	77	1.4	69	17	9
Age 35-44	136	1.3	75	13	9
Age 45-54	81	1.3	70	16	5
Age 55-64	39	1.2	74	8	5
Age 65 or older	43	1.3	72	14	9
Income < \$39,999	24	1.3	79	4	13
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	1.2	75	15	4
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	1.3	74	13	8
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	1.3	71	12	10
Income > \$140,000	52	1.5	63	25	10

10e. Are you willing to increase taxes for the construction and/or increase in operations and maintenance of these facilities and services?

Street Maintenance

1-No, 2-Maybe, 3-Yes

	n=	Mean	1	2	3
Overall	403	1.7	48%	25%	20%
North	116	1.6	53	27	14
West	74	1.7	47	31	19
East	126	1.8	41	27	22
South	77	1.7	48	16	25
< 5 years resident	155	1.7	43	28	19
5-10 years resident	147	1.7	48	28	18
> 10 years resident	90	1.7	53	18	23
One in home	9	1.6	33	44	
Two in home	68	1.6	53	19	19
Three in home	36	1.5	56	22	14
Four in home	67	1.8	39	36	21
Five in home	80	1.8	43	25	25
Six in home	75	1.7	47	27	21
Seven or more home	54	1.6	54	20	19
Rent home	52	1.5	48	29	8
Own home	338	1.7	47	25	22
Male	133	1.7	48	27	22
Female	234	1.7	48	26	19
Age 18-24	9	1.3	67	33	
Age 25-34	77	1.6	49	31	14
Age 35-44	136	1.8	45	23	28
Age 45-54	81	1.8	44	26	22
Age 55-64	39	1.5	51	21	13
Age 65 or older	43	1.5	53	26	12
Income < \$39,999	24	1.5	54	33	8
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	1.6	53	29	12
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	1.7	50	19	24
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	1.7	46	26	19
Income > \$140,000	52	2.0	37	29	33

10f. Are you willing to increase taxes for the construction and/or increase in operations and maintenance of these facilities and services?

Street Lighting

1-No, 2-Maybe, 3-Yes

	n=	Mean	1	2	3
Overall	403	1.6	59%	19%	16%
North	116	1.5	59	24	10
West	74	1.5	64	22	12
East	126	1.6	56	18	19
South	77	1.6	58	9	22
< 5 years resident	155	1.6	52	21	19
5-10 years resident	147	1.5	64	20	12
> 10 years resident	90	1.6	60	14	21
One in home	9	1.1	78	11	
Two in home	68	1.5	62	15	16
Three in home	36	1.6	53	22	17
Four in home	67	1.8	45	30	21
Five in home	80	1.5	61	18	16
Six in home	75	1.6	57	21	17
Seven or more home	54	1.4	67	13	13
Rent home	52	1.5	54	23	8
Own home	338	1.6	59	19	18
Male	133	1.6	59	18	20
Female	234	1.5	59	21	15
Age 18-24	9	1.1	89	11	
Age 25-34	77	1.6	56	25	18
Age 35-44	136	1.6	59	18	18
Age 45-54	81	1.6	54	23	15
Age 55-64	39	1.5	62	15	13
Age 65 or older	43	1.5	60	12	19
Income < \$39,999	24	1.3	75	13	8
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	1.6	57	19	20
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	1.6	55	23	17
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	1.5	62	17	14
Income > \$140,000	52	1.8	52	21	27

10g. Are you willing to increase taxes for the construction and/or increase in operations and maintenance of these facilities and services?

Sidewalks

1-No, 2-Maybe, 3-Yes

	n=	Mean	1	2	3
Overall	403	1.5	59%	20%	14%
North	116	1.4	64	22	9
West	74	1.5	58	26	14
East	126	1.6	55	21	17
South	77	1.5	60	12	16
< 5 years resident	155	1.6	53	23	16
5-10 years resident	147	1.5	63	21	12
> 10 years resident	90	1.5	62	16	16
One in home	9	1.3	56	22	
Two in home	68	1.5	62	16	13
Three in home	36	1.6	53	25	14
Four in home	67	1.7	51	25	19
Five in home	80	1.5	60	16	18
Six in home	75	1.5	61	21	13
Seven or more home	54	1.5	61	22	11
Rent home	52	1.4	60	17	8
Own home	338	1.5	59	21	16
Male	133	1.6	59	22	17
Female	234	1.5	59	21	14
Age 18-24	9	1.4	67	22	11
Age 25-34	77	1.5	62	19	16
Age 35-44	136	1.5	61	21	15
Age 45-54	81	1.6	52	26	15
Age 55-64	39	1.5	59	15	13
Age 65 or older	43	1.5	58	19	12
Income < \$39,999	24	1.5	63	17	13
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	1.4	64	21	9
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	1.5	59	18	17
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	1.6	54	23	15
Income > \$140,000	52	1.7	56	21	23

10h. Are you willing to increase taxes for the construction and/or increase in operations and maintenance of these facilities and services?

Park facilities

1-No, 2-Maybe, 3-Yes

	n=	Mean	1	2	3
Overall	403	1.7	51%	20%	22%
North	116	1.6	57	21	16
West	74	1.6	55	23	19
East	126	1.8	47	20	27
South	77	1.8	48	16	26
< 5 years resident	155	1.8	46	19	26
5-10 years resident	147	1.7	50	23	23
> 10 years resident	90	1.5	62	16	17
One in home	9	1.6	44	33	11
Two in home	68	1.5	63	13	16
Three in home	36	1.7	50	22	19
Four in home	67	1.7	45	31	19
Five in home	80	1.7	53	14	28
Six in home	75	1.8	43	24	28
Seven or more home	54	1.7	54	15	26
Rent home	52	1.8	38	27	19
Own home	338	1.7	53	19	23
Male	133	1.7	53	21	23
Female	234	1.7	50	20	24
Age 18-24	9	1.9	33	44	22
Age 25-34	77	2.0	39	22	35
Age 35-44	136	1.7	55	19	22
Age 45-54	81	1.7	49	20	23
Age 55-64	39	1.5	56	18	13
Age 65 or older	43	1.4	67	16	9
Income < \$39,999	24	1.6	58	17	21
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	1.7	53	17	23
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	1.7	51	22	22
Income \$100K-\$139,999	94	1.7	50	19	24
Income > \$140,000	52	2.0	37	23	40

10i. Are you willing to increase taxes for the construction and/or increase in operations and maintenance of these facilities and services?

Trail facilities

1-No, 2-Maybe, 3-Yes

	n=	Mean	1	2	3
Overall	403	1.7	53%	19%	22%
North	116	1.6	53	20	19
West	74	1.6	58	24	15
East	126	1.8	49	16	29
South	77	1.7	51	17	21
< 5 years resident	155	1.7	50	17	25
5-10 years resident	147	1.7	51	22	22
> 10 years resident	90	1.5	62	16	16
One in home	9	1.6	44	33	11
Two in home	68	1.6	60	7	24
Three in home	36	1.6	56	19	17
Four in home	67	1.7	45	33	18
Five in home	80	1.7	54	14	25
Six in home	75	1.8	47	21	27
Seven or more home	54	1.6	57	17	22
Rent home	52	1.6	52	17	17
Own home	338	1.7	53	19	23
Male	133	1.7	53	17	26
Female	234	1.7	53	20	21
Age 18-24	9	1.7	56	22	22
Age 25-34	77	1.8	47	19	29
Age 35-44	136	1.7	54	20	23
Age 45-54	81	1.8	47	20	26
Age 55-64	39	1.5	59	15	13
Age 65 or older	43	1.4	67	12	12
Income < \$39,999	24	1.6	58	17	21
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	1.6	59	15	20
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	1.7	54	19	22
Income \$100K-\$139,999	94	1.7	50	19	23
Income > \$140,000	52	2.0	38	19	40

10j. Are you willing to increase taxes for the construction and/or increase in operations and maintenance of these facilities and services?

Community Recreation

1-No, 2-Maybe, 3-Yes

	n=	Mean	1	2	3
Overall	403	2.0	35%	20%	39%
North	116	2.0	37	23	34
West	74	2.0	38	22	38
East	126	2.1	32	17	45
South	77	2.0	34	21	34
< 5 years resident	155	2.1	32	18	43
5-10 years resident	147	2.1	34	22	40
> 10 years resident	90	1.9	42	22	29
One in home	9	1.3	67		11
Two in home	68	1.7	56	7	26
Three in home	36	1.9	42	22	31
Four in home	67	2.1	30	27	39
Five in home	80	2.2	28	21	45
Six in home	75	2.3	24	24	48
Seven or more home	54	2.2	26	28	46
Rent home	52	1.9	38	19	31
Own home	338	2.1	34	21	41
Male	133	1.9	44	21	32
Female	234	2.2	27	22	45
Age 18-24	9	1.9	44	22	33
Age 25-34	77	2.3	25	22	49
Age 35-44	136	2.3	24	25	50
Age 45-54	81	2.0	33	23	37
Age 55-64	39	1.3	72	8	8
Age 65 or older	43	1.6	58	9	21
Income < \$39,999	24	1.8	50	13	29
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	2.0	39	17	37
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.0	37	20	38
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.2	26	22	46
Income > \$140,000	52	2.3	29	12	60

10k. Are you willing to increase taxes for the construction and/or increase in operations and maintenance of these facilities and services?

Community Pool

1-No, 2-Maybe, 3-Yes

	n=	Mean	1	2	3
Overall	403	2.0	36%	20%	38%
North	116	2.0	40	20	35
West	74	2.1	35	23	41
East	126	2.1	33	18	40
South	77	2.0	36	22	32
< 5 years resident	155	2.1	33	21	39
5-10 years resident	147	2.1	32	22	41
> 10 years resident	90	1.8	48	17	31
One in home	9	1.7	44	11	22
Two in home	68	1.6	59	9	24
Three in home	36	1.8	47	19	28
Four in home	67	2.1	33	25	39
Five in home	80	2.1	31	24	39
Six in home	75	2.3	21	24	49
Seven or more home	54	2.2	26	22	50
Rent home	52	2.0	38	15	35
Own home	338	2.0	36	21	39
Male	133	1.8	48	16	33
Female	234	2.2	27	24	43
Age 18-24	9	1.7	56	22	22
Age 25-34	77	2.2	22	30	43
Age 35-44	136	2.2	25	24	49
Age 45-54	81	2.0	41	15	40
Age 55-64	39	1.4	67	10	13
Age 65 or older	43	1.6	58	12	19
Income < \$39,999	24	1.7	50	17	25
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	1.9	44	19	31
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.1	32	24	39
Income \$100K-\$139,999	94	2.2	30	17	46
Income > \$140,000	52	2.3	29	12	60

101. Are you willing to increase taxes for the construction and/or increase in operations and maintenance of these facilities and services?

Children's Library

1-No, 2-Maybe, 3-Yes

	n=	Mean	1	2	3
Overall	403	2.0	36%	18%	40%
North	116	1.9	43	18	33
West	74	2.0	35	27	36
East	126	2.2	32	13	48
South	77	2.1	35	18	40
< 5 years resident	155	2.2	31	15	47
5-10 years resident	147	2.1	34	18	44
> 10 years resident	90	1.7	49	23	24
One in home	9	1.5	67		22
Two in home	68	1.6	54	12	22
Three in home	36	1.9	44	19	33
Four in home	67	2.0	37	21	40
Five in home	80	2.1	31	18	44
Six in home	75	2.3	24	19	55
Seven or more home	54	2.3	22	24	50
Rent home	52	2.1	29	19	40
Own home	338	2.0	37	17	41
Male	133	1.9	46	20	32
Female	234	2.2	29	18	47
Age 18-24	9	1.8	44	33	22
Age 25-34	77	2.4	21	16	61
Age 35-44	136	2.2	26	23	46
Age 45-54	81	1.8	49	16	32
Age 55-64	39	1.6	56	10	23
Age 65 or older	43	1.6	56	14	21
Income < \$39,999	24	1.9	42	21	29
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	2.0	39	20	37
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.1	36	15	43
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.1	34	17	44
Income > \$140,000	52	2.3	27	12	58

10m. Are you willing to increase taxes for the construction and/or increase in operations and maintenance of these facilities and services?

Other

1-No, 2-Maybe, 3-Yes

	n=	Mean	1	2	3
Overall	403	1.4	15%	3%	2%
North	116	1.2	17	3	1
West	74	1.7	12	4	5
East	126	1.4	13	2	2
South	77	1.4	14	1	3
< 5 years resident	155	1.4	15	3	3
5-10 years resident	147	1.3	16	3	1
> 10 years resident	90	1.5	13	2	3
One in home	9	3.0			11
Two in home	68	1.2	22		3
Three in home	36	1.3	19	3	3
Four in home	67	1.5	9	4	1
Five in home	80	1.4	18	1	4
Six in home	75	1.5	9	7	1
Seven or more home	54	1.4	11	2	2
Rent home	52	1.4	19	6	2
Own home	338	1.4	14	2	3
Male	133	1.5	19	3	5
Female	234	1.3	12	3	1
Age 18-24	9	1.3	22	11	
Age 25-34	77	1.6	8	3	3
Age 35-44	136	1.4	11	1	2
Age 45-54	81	1.4	17	4	2
Age 55-64	39	1.1	26	3	
Age 65 or older	43	1.5	23	2	7
Income < \$39,999	24	1.2	38	8	
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	1.6	13	4	4
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	1.2	16	1	1
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	1.6	11	3	4
Income > \$140,000	52	1.6	10	2	4

11a. How interested are you in the following recreational programs and facilities:

Baseball

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

Mean 2011 2.6

Mean 2009 2.9

Mean 2006 3.1

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	2.6	35%	9%	16%	11%	16%
North	116	2.6	38	9	12	9	20
West	74	2.1	46	11	26	4	7
East	126	2.7	30	10	17	12	16
South	77	2.8	31	6	12	17	18
< 5 years resident	155	2.8	28	11	19	12	17
5-10 years resident	147	2.5	37	12	15	7	18
> 10 years resident	90	2.4	44	3	12	16	12
One in home	9	2.3	33		22		11
Two in home	68	1.9	50	6	6	6	7
Three in home	36	2.5	33	11	22	8	11
Four in home	67	2.6	34	10	16	18	13
Five in home	80	2.6	39	4	16	13	16
Six in home	75	3.0	24	15	20	13	23
Seven or more home	54	2.7	33	13	17	7	24
Rent home	52	2.9	25	12	15	15	19
Own home	338	2.5	38	9	16	10	16
Male	133	2.5	35	13	15	12	14
Female	234	2.6	35	8	17	12	16
Age 18-24	9	1.8	44	33	22		
Age 25-34	77	3.1	18	9	27	18	18
Age 35-44	136	2.9	29	10	18	13	24
Age 45-54	81	2.1	52	7	10	9	12
Age 55-64	39	2.1	44	10	10	5	10
Age 65 or older	43	1.6	49	9	5	2	5
Income < \$39,999	24	2.3	33	13	8	13	8
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	2.4	40	11	16	7	15
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.8	31	6	18	15	19
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.7	32	10	18	11	18
Income > \$140,000	52	2.6	42	4	21	15	15

11b. How interested are you in the following recreational programs and facilities:

Softball

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

Mean 2011 2.3

Mean 2009 2.6

Mean 2006 2.7

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	2.3	38%	12%	15%	11%	10%
North	116	2.3	42	9	12	9	14
West	74	2.0	49	11	20	8	4
East	126	2.5	30	15	18	12	9
South	77	2.4	36	10	10	14	10
< 5 years resident	155	2.7	26	14	19	14	12
5-10 years resident	147	2.2	43	12	14	9	11
> 10 years resident	90	2.0	51	8	11	10	6
One in home	9	1.7	44		22		
Two in home	68	1.8	49	6	6	4	7
Three in home	36	2.0	42	14	14	11	3
Four in home	67	2.4	36	15	16	18	6
Five in home	80	2.5	38	9	19	11	13
Six in home	75	2.7	31	11	23	13	17
Seven or more home	54	2.3	39	19	11	11	9
Rent home	52	2.7	25	17	13	15	13
Own home	338	2.3	41	10	16	11	9
Male	133	2.3	40	14	14	11	9
Female	234	2.4	37	10	18	13	10
Age 18-24	9	2.1	33	44	11		11
Age 25-34	77	2.8	22	12	23	26	8
Age 35-44	136	2.5	38	11	19	10	13
Age 45-54	81	2.1	49	11	11	7	12
Age 55-64	39	1.8	46	10	8	5	5
Age 65 or older	43	1.6	44	9	5	5	2
Income < \$39,999	24	2.1	38	21		8	8
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	2.3	40	12	17	9	9
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.5	34	10	18	13	12
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.5	36	9	17	13	12
Income > \$140,000	52	2.3	44	10	21	13	10

11c. How interested are you in the following recreational programs and facilities:

Soccer

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

Mean 2011 3.1

Mean 2009 3.3

Mean 2006 3.6

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.1	25%	8%	14%	15%	27%
North	116	3.1	28	9	13	13	29
West	74	3.0	27	8	20	15	24
East	126	3.2	21	9	14	17	26
South	77	3.0	27	8	10	16	25
< 5 years resident	155	3.3	19	8	15	17	29
5-10 years resident	147	3.1	26	8	15	14	29
> 10 years resident	90	2.8	36	6	13	16	20
One in home	9	1.3	56		11		
Two in home	68	2.1	43	9	4	6	12
Three in home	36	2.5	33	14	17	14	11
Four in home	67	3.1	27	6	19	21	24
Five in home	80	3.4	21	6	16	16	33
Six in home	75	3.6	17	4	20	17	39
Seven or more home	54	3.8	9	11	9	20	43
Rent home	52	3.3	15	12	21	10	29
Own home	338	3.1	27	7	13	16	27
Male	133	2.9	26	11	21	13	20
Female	234	3.3	24	6	12	18	31
Age 18-24	9	2.4	33	11	44		11
Age 25-34	77	3.8	10	10	13	18	43
Age 35-44	136	3.6	16	3	18	24	37
Age 45-54	81	2.7	36	10	17	9	21
Age 55-64	39	2.1	38	15	8	8	8
Age 65 or older	43	1.6	47	9	2	5	2
Income < \$39,999	24	2.2	38	13	8	4	13
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	2.9	31	12	9	13	24
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.1	25	7	14	18	25
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.4	20	5	16	20	29
Income > \$140,000	52	3.6	17	4	23	13	42

11d. How interested are you in the following recreational programs and facilities:

Basketball

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

Mean 2011 3.2
 Mean 2009 3.2
 Mean 2006 3.4

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.2	22%	8%	15%	17%	28%
North	116	3.2	24	9	14	16	31
West	74	3.0	27	8	19	19	23
East	126	3.2	21	8	13	16	26
South	77	3.4	18	5	17	18	29
< 5 years resident	155	3.4	19	6	17	18	28
5-10 years resident	147	3.3	23	10	12	16	33
> 10 years resident	90	2.9	29	8	17	16	20
One in home	9	2.5	33		11	11	11
Two in home	68	2.0	47	10	3	3	12
Three in home	36	2.7	31	11	17	19	11
Four in home	67	3.4	15	4	27	24	27
Five in home	80	3.6	16	5	11	20	38
Six in home	75	3.5	16	9	21	17	35
Seven or more home	54	3.8	15	7	9	20	44
Rent home	52	3.4	17	12	13	12	33
Own home	338	3.2	24	7	15	18	28
Male	133	2.9	28	15	12	16	21
Female	234	3.5	19	4	17	19	32
Age 18-24	9	2.9	22	11	44		22
Age 25-34	77	3.9	8	5	19	22	40
Age 35-44	136	3.7	14	5	16	22	39
Age 45-54	81	2.8	32	9	15	16	21
Age 55-64	39	2.1	41	18	5	8	10
Age 65 or older	43	1.7	47	12	2	5	5
Income < \$39,999	24	2.6	29	13	17	4	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	2.8	33	9	11	15	23
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.2	25	4	17	17	28
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.5	16	6	13	22	32
Income > \$140,000	52	3.5	12	12	23	19	35

11e. How interested are you in the following recreational programs and facilities:

Lacrosse

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	2.1	43%	13%	16%	6%	9%
North	116	2.3	41	12	15	7	12
West	74	2.1	51	5	20	7	8
East	126	1.9	44	16	15	4	6
South	77	2.2	39	16	13	6	9
< 5 years resident	155	2.1	39	18	17	5	6
5-10 years resident	147	2.2	44	12	17	5	10
> 10 years resident	90	2.0	53	7	11	6	11
One in home	9	1.7	44		22		
Two in home	68	1.4	51	15	3		1
Three in home	36	1.7	50	19	11	3	3
Four in home	67	2.3	42	15	22	1	13
Five in home	80	2.2	45	11	14	6	13
Six in home	75	2.3	43	12	23	8	11
Seven or more home	54	2.4	39	7	19	15	9
Rent home	52	2.2	33	23	17	6	6
Own home	338	2.1	46	11	15	6	9
Male	133	2.0	46	15	14	5	8
Female	234	2.2	42	12	18	6	10
Age 18-24	9	2.2	33	33	22		11
Age 25-34	77	2.3	34	21	25	5	8
Age 35-44	136	2.3	42	10	19	7	13
Age 45-54	81	2.0	54	7	12	9	9
Age 55-64	39	1.6	46	21	5	3	3
Age 65 or older	43	1.4	51	9	2		2
Income < \$39,999	24	2.4	33	8	17	4	13
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	1.7	53	16	13	1	3
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.1	39	17	20	7	4
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.4	40	9	15	9	16
Income > \$140,000	52	2.2	48	10	23	6	12

11f. How interested are you in the following recreational programs and facilities:

Volleyball

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

Mean 2011 2.4

Mean 2009 2.7

Mean 2006 2.5

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	2.4	35%	14%	17%	9%	11%
North	116	2.5	34	13	14	10	15
West	74	2.3	41	14	19	11	8
East	126	2.4	32	15	21	6	10
South	77	2.4	36	16	14	9	12
< 5 years resident	155	2.7	26	15	23	8	14
5-10 years resident	147	2.4	39	13	14	10	12
> 10 years resident	90	2.0	47	16	10	8	7
One in home	9	2.0	44		11		11
Two in home	68	1.7	47	12	7	1	6
Three in home	36	2.1	36	17	25	3	6
Four in home	67	2.3	37	18	19	10	9
Five in home	80	2.5	36	14	13	10	15
Six in home	75	2.7	35	9	17	12	20
Seven or more home	54	2.7	22	19	24	17	11
Rent home	52	2.8	21	19	17	10	17
Own home	338	2.3	38	13	16	9	11
Male	133	2.2	36	20	17	7	9
Female	234	2.5	35	12	17	12	13
Age 18-24	9	1.9	33	44	22		
Age 25-34	77	2.8	29	10	25	12	17
Age 35-44	136	2.6	30	15	19	12	15
Age 45-54	81	2.3	43	11	14	10	14
Age 55-64	39	1.6	44	21	10	3	
Age 65 or older	43	1.5	49	12	2	2	2
Income < \$39,999	24	2.6	25	17	17	4	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	2.1	43	13	12	9	8
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.6	30	13	19	15	11
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.4	37	16	15	7	14
Income > \$140,000	52	2.6	38	12	23	6	21

11g. How interested are you in the following recreational programs and facilities:

Football

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

Mean 2011 2.7

Mean 2009 2.9

Mean 2006 3.2

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	2.7	31%	10%	14%	10%	20%
North	116	2.8	30	14	11	10	23
West	74	2.6	36	9	14	14	18
East	126	2.7	32	6	17	9	20
South	77	2.6	30	13	14	10	16
< 5 years resident	155	2.9	23	10	20	12	20
5-10 years resident	147	2.7	34	10	14	10	22
> 10 years resident	90	2.4	43	11	6	10	17
One in home	9	2.0	44		11		11
Two in home	68	1.8	49	7	4	1	9
Three in home	36	2.6	36	11	14	3	22
Four in home	67	2.8	28	12	21	16	16
Five in home	80	2.9	31	8	14	10	24
Six in home	75	3.0	25	12	16	16	25
Seven or more home	54	3.1	24	11	15	15	28
Rent home	52	3.2	17	12	15	12	27
Own home	338	2.7	35	9	14	11	19
Male	133	2.7	32	11	14	14	17
Female	234	2.8	31	9	15	10	22
Age 18-24	9	2.4	33	33	11		22
Age 25-34	77	3.5	13	6	25	17	31
Age 35-44	136	3.0	25	11	18	13	24
Age 45-54	81	2.3	48	9	7	9	17
Age 55-64	39	2.1	41	15	8	5	10
Age 65 or older	43	1.5	51	5	5	2	2
Income < \$39,999	24	2.3	38	17		4	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	2.5	39	7	13	11	15
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.9	27	7	17	16	20
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.9	29	11	14	13	22
Income > \$140,000	52	3.0	29	12	23	6	31

11h. How interested are you in the following recreational programs and facilities:

Skateboarding

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

Mean 2011 2.0

Mean 2009 2.1

Mean 2006 2.1

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	2.0	46%	13%	16%	4%	7%
North	116	2.1	43	14	17	5	8
West	74	1.6	59	12	14	3	3
East	126	2.1	40	13	21	2	8
South	77	1.8	52	13	6	1	9
< 5 years resident	155	2.1	38	17	20	4	5
5-10 years resident	147	2.1	49	11	13	5	10
> 10 years resident	90	1.6	60	8	11	1	6
One in home	9	1.8	33	11	22		
Two in home	68	1.5	51	12	4		3
Three in home	36	2.1	42	11	22	6	6
Four in home	67	2.0	48	10	19	7	6
Five in home	80	2.0	49	11	16	6	6
Six in home	75	2.0	48	17	16	4	9
Seven or more home	54	2.2	43	15	17		15
Rent home	52	2.3	29	21	23		12
Own home	338	1.9	50	12	15	4	6
Male	133	1.8	47	18	16	2	5
Female	234	2.1	45	11	17	5	9
Age 18-24	9	2.7	33	22	11	11	22
Age 25-34	77	2.2	39	13	26	8	4
Age 35-44	136	2.2	43	15	17	5	13
Age 45-54	81	1.6	60	11	14	1	4
Age 55-64	39	1.7	46	15	10		5
Age 65 or older	43	1.4	53	5	5		2
Income < \$39,999	24	2.4	33	13	13		17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	1.9	53	12	9	4	8
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.1	41	14	21	5	7
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.0	49	10	18	3	7
Income > \$140,000	52	2.1	40	23	19	6	8

11i. How interested are you in the following recreational programs and facilities:

Skiing

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

Mean 2011 2.7

Mean 2009 2.9

Mean 2006 2.5

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	2.7	33%	12%	14%	10%	19%
North	116	2.8	29	16	13	6	25
West	74	2.3	45	9	18	8	14
East	126	2.7	33	10	12	14	17
South	77	2.8	26	14	14	10	19
< 5 years resident	155	2.7	27	14	18	13	15
5-10 years resident	147	2.9	32	12	9	12	26
> 10 years resident	90	2.3	44	10	14	6	14
One in home	9	2.3	33	11		11	11
Two in home	68	1.9	47	10	6		10
Three in home	36	2.8	31	11	14	17	17
Four in home	67	2.4	36	18	19	9	12
Five in home	80	2.9	31	9	13	14	25
Six in home	75	3.1	28	9	12	19	27
Seven or more home	54	2.9	24	13	26	7	22
Rent home	52	3.1	17	13	21	12	23
Own home	338	2.6	36	12	13	11	18
Male	133	2.5	35	17	16	7	16
Female	234	2.8	31	9	15	14	21
Age 18-24	9	2.9	11	22	44	11	11
Age 25-34	77	2.9	22	17	19	9	22
Age 35-44	136	3.1	28	9	15	15	27
Age 45-54	81	2.4	46	10	12	10	16
Age 55-64	39	2.2	36	23	5	5	13
Age 65 or older	43	1.7	49	5	7	2	5
Income < \$39,999	24	2.8	29	8	8	8	21
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	2.2	44	12	13	8	12
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.7	29	18	13	13	18
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.9	32	7	18	7	26
Income > \$140,000	52	3.2	23	12	19	12	33

11j. How interested are you in the following recreational programs and facilities:

Swimming

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.6	19%	5%	10%	18%	39%
North	116	3.6	21	7	4	18	45
West	74	3.4	24	5	15	9	43
East	126	3.6	16	6	13	20	36
South	77	3.5	18	4	9	25	31
< 5 years resident	155	3.9	13	5	10	19	45
5-10 years resident	147	3.6	19	6	10	18	41
> 10 years resident	90	3.1	30	4	11	17	29
One in home	9	3.1	22		22	33	11
Two in home	68	2.4	41	10	4	9	16
Three in home	36	3.0	28	11	8	19	25
Four in home	67	3.8	16	1	15	21	43
Five in home	80	4.0	9	4	13	19	50
Six in home	75	4.0	12	4	7	24	51
Seven or more home	54	3.9	15	4	9	15	54
Rent home	52	3.7	13	6	10	23	38
Own home	338	3.6	20	5	10	17	40
Male	133	3.1	26	10	11	19	26
Female	234	3.9	14	3	10	19	49
Age 18-24	9	3.3	11	11	22	44	11
Age 25-34	77	4.1	10	4	8	19	55
Age 35-44	136	4.0	12	1	12	22	51
Age 45-54	81	3.5	23	5	11	14	42
Age 55-64	39	2.6	28	21	8	18	13
Age 65 or older	43	1.9	47	7	7	7	7
Income < \$39,999	24	3.1	21	13	13	13	25
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.3	27	5	9	16	35
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.7	17	6	7	24	41
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.9	15	1	10	21	46
Income > \$140,000	52	4.0	10	8	13	15	54

11k. How interested are you in the following recreational programs and facilities:

Tennis

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

Mean 2011 2.9

Mean 2009 3.0

Mean 2006 3.0

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	2.9	25%	12%	16%	14%	21%
North	116	3.0	24	13	15	15	22
West	74	2.9	26	15	19	12	23
East	126	2.8	27	11	16	12	20
South	77	3.1	21	9	14	17	21
< 5 years resident	155	3.2	19	12	17	14	25
5-10 years resident	147	2.9	25	12	14	16	20
> 10 years resident	90	2.7	33	8	18	10	18
One in home	9	2.6	33				22
Two in home	68	2.3	38	9	9	4	13
Three in home	36	2.5	31	22	11	14	11
Four in home	67	2.9	28	7	24	15	18
Five in home	80	3.2	18	15	16	14	28
Six in home	75	3.3	20	8	15	27	27
Seven or more home	54	3.2	17	13	22	9	30
Rent home	52	3.1	21	12	12	19	21
Own home	338	2.9	25	12	17	13	22
Male	133	2.8	27	14	20	9	20
Female	234	3.1	23	11	15	17	23
Age 18-24	9	2.8	33	11	11	33	11
Age 25-34	77	3.2	14	17	25	16	22
Age 35-44	136	3.3	19	7	21	17	30
Age 45-54	81	2.9	32	9	10	16	25
Age 55-64	39	1.9	28	31	10	3	3
Age 65 or older	43	1.9	47	7	2		12
Income < \$39,999	24	2.3	33	17	4	13	8
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	2.9	25	12	13	20	17
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.0	25	10	19	8	26
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.9	26	14	13	15	19
Income > \$140,000	52	3.4	17	10	23	17	33

111. How interested are you in the following recreational programs and facilities:

Golf

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	2.7	33%	10%	17%	11%	18%
North	116	2.8	29	13	16	14	19
West	74	2.1	49	11	15	9	9
East	126	2.6	34	6	20	9	17
South	77	3.0	23	10	16	12	23
< 5 years resident	155	2.8	28	12	17	10	22
5-10 years resident	147	2.6	38	8	12	13	18
> 10 years resident	90	2.5	36	8	22	9	13
One in home	9	2.5	22	11	22		11
Two in home	68	2.5	38	9	6	9	18
Three in home	36	2.5	33	17	14	8	14
Four in home	67	2.8	33	7	22	9	22
Five in home	80	2.7	39	5	13	14	20
Six in home	75	2.8	28	15	20	15	17
Seven or more home	54	2.7	30	9	28	7	19
Rent home	52	2.7	27	17	13	13	15
Own home	338	2.7	35	9	17	10	19
Male	133	2.7	32	13	18	9	19
Female	234	2.7	34	8	17	12	18
Age 18-24	9	1.8	44	33	22		
Age 25-34	77	3.0	27	10	18	9	30
Age 35-44	136	2.8	31	7	24	13	18
Age 45-54	81	2.5	40	10	16	11	16
Age 55-64	39	2.5	31	18	8	13	13
Age 65 or older	43	2.1	42	7	5	5	12
Income < \$39,999	24	2.1	38	13	13	4	8
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	2.3	44	11	11	8	15
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.6	32	11	20	10	17
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.8	31	10	17	13	20
Income > \$140,000	52	3.2	25	8	21	19	27

11m. How interested are you in the following recreational programs and facilities:

Other

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

Mean 2011 3.0

Mean 2009 3.8

Mean 2006 3.0

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.0	5%	3%	1%	1%	6%
North	116	3.4	3	5	1		9
West	74	3.7	4		1		9
East	126	2.9	6	3	2	2	5
South	77	1.9	6	3	1		1
< 5 years resident	155	3.1	5	3	2	1	7
5-10 years resident	147	3.2	4	3	1	1	6
> 10 years resident	90	2.7	6	2	1		4
One in home	9	4.3			11		22
Two in home	68	2.4	13	4		1	7
Three in home	36	2.7	3	8	3	3	3
Four in home	67	3.5	3	1	4		7
Five in home	80	2.9	4	1	1		4
Six in home	75	3.3	3	3			5
Seven or more home	54	3.3	4	2		2	6
Rent home	52	2.2	8	8			4
Own home	338	3.2	4	2	2	1	7
Male	133	3.0	4	5	4	2	5
Female	234	3.1	5	2			7
Age 18-24	9	2.8		33			11
Age 25-34	77	3.8	3	1	3		10
Age 35-44	136	3.7	1	1	1		4
Age 45-54	81	2.5	7	1	1	1	4
Age 55-64	39	2.3	13	8	3	3	5
Age 65 or older	43	2.7	9	5	2	2	7
Income < \$39,999	24	1.8	4	13			
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.7	4	5	1	1	15
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.4	9	1	2	1	4
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.7	4	2	1	1	3
Income > \$140,000	52	4.3			4		8

12. Do you think that the City should provide adult recreation programs such as football, softball, basketball, or volleyball?

1. Yes

2. No

	n=	1	2
Overall	403	35%	60%
North	116	38	57
West	74	20	78
East	126	38	57
South	77	35	57
< 5 years resident	155	43	53
5-10 years resident	147	37	59
> 10 years resident	90	19	77
One in home	9	11	78
Two in home	68	25	68
Three in home	36	31	69
Four in home	67	46	51
Five in home	80	31	68
Six in home	75	39	56
Seven or more home	54	43	56
Rent home	52	58	31
Own home	338	32	66
Male	133	31	67
Female	234	40	57
Age 18-24	9	56	44
Age 25-34	77	60	38
Age 35-44	136	34	64
Age 45-54	81	36	59
Age 55-64	39	13	85
Age 65 or older	43	14	79
Income < \$39,999	24	50	42
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	39	59
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	35	60
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	34	65
Income > \$140,000	52	42	56

13. If adult programs were provided, would you participate?

1. Yes

2. No

	n=	1	2
Overall	403	29%	65%
North	116	29	63
West	74	16	81
East	126	33	61
South	77	31	65
< 5 years resident	155	37	57
5-10 years resident	147	30	65
> 10 years resident	90	17	81
One in home	9	11	89
Two in home	68	22	75
Three in home	36	28	69
Four in home	67	37	58
Five in home	80	26	71
Six in home	75	32	60
Seven or more home	54	35	59
Rent home	52	44	44
Own home	338	28	69
Male	133	29	69
Female	234	32	64
Age 18-24	9	44	56
Age 25-34	77	52	43
Age 35-44	136	29	67
Age 45-54	81	30	65
Age 55-64	39	15	82
Age 65 or older	43	5	93
Income < \$39,999	24	33	63
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	35	61
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	31	64
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	28	69
Income > \$140,000	52	35	60

14. Should the City of Cedar Hills build an Aquatic Center?

1. Yes

2. No

	n=	1	2
Overall	403	61%	35%
North	116	66	33
West	74	62	35
East	126	61	37
South	77	55	38
< 5 years resident	155	66	33
5-10 years resident	147	67	31
> 10 years resident	90	47	47
One in home	9	44	56
Two in home	68	31	63
Three in home	36	47	53
Four in home	67	78	22
Five in home	80	70	26
Six in home	75	72	24
Seven or more home	54	70	28
Rent home	52	75	17
Own home	338	60	38
Male	133	50	50
Female	234	71	25
Age 18-24	9	56	44
Age 25-34	77	81	18
Age 35-44	136	71	25
Age 45-54	81	62	37
Age 55-64	39	36	62
Age 65 or older	43	23	72
Income < \$39,999	24	50	46
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	56	41
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	66	30
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	68	30
Income > \$140,000	52	73	27

15. Costs for building a new aquatic center and some of the costs for operating the center may need to be funded through property taxes. Knowing that, would you vote in support of paying some increase (\$5-\$10 per month) in property taxes to build and operate a recreation center?

1. Yes

2. No

	n=	1	2
Overall	403	57%	38%
North	116	60	36
West	74	55	43
East	126	59	37
South	77	52	40
< 5 years resident	155	62	34
5-10 years resident	147	63	33
> 10 years resident	90	43	54
One in home	9	56	44
Two in home	68	31	62
Three in home	36	39	61
Four in home	67	69	28
Five in home	80	61	36
Six in home	75	69	24
Seven or more home	54	72	26
Rent home	52	67	21
Own home	338	57	41
Male	133	47	52
Female	234	65	30
Age 18-24	9	33	67
Age 25-34	77	73	23
Age 35-44	136	72	25
Age 45-54	81	53	44
Age 55-64	39	33	64
Age 65 or older	43	23	65
Income < \$39,999	24	38	50
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	47	49
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	62	33
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	65	32
Income > \$140,000	52	75	25

16. Should the City of Cedar Hills build a Recreation Center?

1. Yes

2. No

	n=	1	2
Overall	403	65%	31%
North	116	70	28
West	74	62	34
East	126	62	33
South	77	64	29
< 5 years resident	155	72	25
5-10 years resident	147	65	31
> 10 years resident	90	51	43
One in home	9	44	44
Two in home	68	41	53
Three in home	36	50	47
Four in home	67	69	28
Five in home	80	71	26
Six in home	75	79	17
Seven or more home	54	74	22
Rent home	52	75	19
Own home	338	63	33
Male	133	59	40
Female	234	70	25
Age 18-24	9	67	33
Age 25-34	77	78	17
Age 35-44	136	75	21
Age 45-54	81	68	31
Age 55-64	39	26	69
Age 65 or older	43	42	51
Income < \$39,999	24	67	21
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	56	40
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	66	31
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	71	27
Income > \$140,000	52	77	21

17. Costs for building a new recreation center and some of the costs for operating the center may need to be funded through property taxes. Knowing that, would you vote in support of paying some increase (\$5-\$10 per month) in property taxes to build and operate a recreation center?

1. Yes

2. No

	n=	1	2
Overall	403	58%	35%
North	116	62	31
West	74	57	39
East	126	57	39
South	77	55	34
< 5 years resident	155	65	29
5-10 years resident	147	60	35
> 10 years resident	90	46	48
One in home	9	44	44
Two in home	68	35	54
Three in home	36	44	53
Four in home	67	61	34
Five in home	80	63	34
Six in home	75	72	23
Seven or more home	54	72	22
Rent home	52	65	25
Own home	338	57	38
Male	133	53	44
Female	234	63	29
Age 18-24	9	56	44
Age 25-34	77	70	22
Age 35-44	136	71	26
Age 45-54	81	58	38
Age 55-64	39	21	72
Age 65 or older	43	37	49
Income < \$39,999	24	42	38
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	49	41
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	60	35
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	66	31
Income > \$140,000	52	73	27

18. If you could only choose one center, would you build an aquatic center or a recreation center or neither?

1. Aquatic Center
2. Recreation Center
3. Build Both
4. Neither

	n=	1	2	3	4
Overall	403	23%	28%	25%	21%
North	116	22	34	25	18
West	74	22	20	30	27
East	126	27	23	24	21
South	77	19	36	21	22
< 5 years resident	155	22	28	30	17
5-10 years resident	147	25	27	27	20
> 10 years resident	90	21	27	17	33
One in home	9	11	33		44
Two in home	68	19	29	12	40
Three in home	36	14	28	17	36
Four in home	67	24	30	30	15
Five in home	80	36	28	16	18
Six in home	75	24	25	39	11
Seven or more home	54	13	24	44	17
Rent home	52	25	27	35	12
Own home	338	22	28	24	23
Male	133	22	30	17	29
Female	234	24	28	30	15
Age 18-24	9	22	33	22	22
Age 25-34	77	25	27	35	10
Age 35-44	136	26	25	33	15
Age 45-54	81	17	38	22	22
Age 55-64	39	21	18	10	46
Age 65 or older	43	19	30	7	40
Income < \$39,999	24	13	29	25	21
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	21	32	23	21
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	25	30	25	20
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	22	30	26	19
Income > \$140,000	52	27	17	38	17

19a. How interested are you in the following Cedar Hills Family Festival Days activities?

Parade

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

Mean 2011 3.1

Mean 2009 3.2

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.1	23%	11%	22%	13%	25%
North	116	3.0	23	16	22	8	26
West	74	3.7	14	5	19	16	43
East	126	2.7	30	11	21	16	15
South	77	3.1	21	8	25	16	21
< 5 years resident	155	3.1	20	11	25	15	25
5-10 years resident	147	2.9	27	12	22	10	23
> 10 years resident	90	3.3	21	8	18	16	30
One in home	9	2.3	33	22	11	22	
Two in home	68	2.3	44	12	12	12	13
Three in home	36	2.8	25	14	19	6	22
Four in home	67	3.2	19	9	27	15	28
Five in home	80	3.3	19	9	20	20	26
Six in home	75	3.4	12	16	25	11	32
Seven or more home	54	3.4	17	6	28	11	35
Rent home	52	3.2	13	12	27	17	21
Own home	338	3.1	24	11	21	13	26
Male	133	2.7	29	14	25	14	15
Female	234	3.4	17	10	21	13	33
Age 18-24	9	2.7	33		44	11	11
Age 25-34	77	3.7	9	12	19	18	38
Age 35-44	136	3.3	18	13	23	10	32
Age 45-54	81	2.9	28	10	23	12	22
Age 55-64	39	2.7	26	13	26	18	10
Age 65 or older	43	2.1	49	9	12	9	9
Income < \$39,999	24	3.1	29		21	8	29
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.1	23	12	21	15	25
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.2	22	10	21	19	25
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.1	22	12	22	10	28
Income > \$140,000	52	3.1	23	15	19	12	31

19b. How interested are you in the following Cedar Hills Family Festival Days activities?

Junior Kids - Big blow up toys

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

Mean 2011 2.7

Mean 2009 2.8

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	2.7	31%	13%	18%	16%	14%
North	116	2.6	34	14	13	16	14
West	74	2.9	23	19	15	19	20
East	126	2.5	36	9	24	14	10
South	77	2.7	26	16	17	16	14
< 5 years resident	155	2.9	27	11	18	20	16
5-10 years resident	147	2.5	37	14	19	12	14
> 10 years resident	90	2.6	28	18	16	17	12
One in home	9	1.9	56	22	11		11
Two in home	68	2.0	44	13	15	7	6
Three in home	36	2.7	33	3	19	19	11
Four in home	67	2.9	28	9	19	22	18
Five in home	80	2.7	29	14	21	11	16
Six in home	75	2.8	24	23	15	21	15
Seven or more home	54	3.0	24	13	17	22	20
Rent home	52	3.3	15	12	10	31	21
Own home	338	2.6	33	14	19	14	13
Male	133	2.4	38	17	17	12	11
Female	234	2.9	27	11	16	20	18
Age 18-24	9	2.1	56		22	22	
Age 25-34	77	3.2	18	6	23	26	18
Age 35-44	136	2.9	26	18	13	19	20
Age 45-54	81	2.3	40	16	19	10	10
Age 55-64	39	2.5	31	13	21	15	8
Age 65 or older	43	1.9	51	9	12	5	7
Income < \$39,999	24	2.9	33		13	25	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	2.8	29	8	21	21	13
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.8	27	12	18	19	17
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.5	34	15	17	15	12
Income > \$140,000	52	2.6	31	21	19	12	15

19c. How interested are you in the following Cedar Hills Family Festival Days activities?

Dinner and Movie

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

Mean 2011 2.8

Mean 2009 2.9

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	2.8	27%	12%	21%	18%	13%
North	116	3.1	22	8	18	23	20
West	74	2.6	27	19	23	16	9
East	126	2.6	31	13	25	17	10
South	77	2.6	31	13	16	18	10
< 5 years resident	155	3.0	22	8	27	25	13
5-10 years resident	147	2.6	32	14	20	14	15
> 10 years resident	90	2.4	32	18	14	13	10
One in home	9	2.6	44	11	11	11	22
Two in home	68	2.0	44	21	10	7	6
Three in home	36	2.7	28	3	25	19	8
Four in home	67	3.1	19	4	39	13	21
Five in home	80	2.8	25	14	21	23	11
Six in home	75	2.8	24	21	15	23	12
Seven or more home	54	3.1	26	4	20	28	19
Rent home	52	3.5	12	6	21	29	23
Own home	338	2.6	30	13	21	17	12
Male	133	2.6	35	14	15	18	14
Female	234	2.9	23	11	24	20	15
Age 18-24	9	2.6	33	11	22		22
Age 25-34	77	3.0	18	10	26	29	9
Age 35-44	136	3.1	23	9	22	23	19
Age 45-54	81	2.7	32	11	22	14	16
Age 55-64	39	2.1	38	23	18	10	3
Age 65 or older	43	1.9	49	14	12	5	7
Income < \$39,999	24	2.8	29	8	21	17	17
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	2.9	25	11	21	23	15
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.8	26	9	23	19	15
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.5	36	14	18	15	11
Income > \$140,000	52	2.8	23	19	21	15	17

19d. How interested are you in the following Cedar Hills Family Festival Days activities?

Cedar Hills Night with Orem Owlz

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

Mean 2011 2.1

Mean 2009 2.4

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	2.1	42%	16%	17%	9%	6%
North	116	2.3	38	17	16	9	9
West	74	1.9	50	19	15	7	5
East	126	2.0	47	11	21	9	4
South	77	2.2	36	18	16	10	5
< 5 years resident	155	2.2	37	19	19	9	5
5-10 years resident	147	2.1	46	14	17	8	9
> 10 years resident	90	2.0	46	16	14	10	3
One in home	9	2.0	56	22		11	11
Two in home	68	1.9	50	9	13	7	4
Three in home	36	2.3	36	11	22	6	8
Four in home	67	2.1	39	19	25	4	6
Five in home	80	2.1	43	18	13	15	4
Six in home	75	2.2	43	19	12	12	9
Seven or more home	54	2.1	43	19	22	6	6
Rent home	52	2.6	23	23	15	19	8
Own home	338	2.0	46	15	17	7	6
Male	133	2.1	43	17	20	11	5
Female	234	2.1	42	16	15	9	7
Age 18-24	9	2.3	44	11	22	11	11
Age 25-34	77	2.1	40	19	18	6	5
Age 35-44	136	2.2	42	18	17	8	10
Age 45-54	81	2.1	43	16	16	14	4
Age 55-64	39	1.9	49	13	21	10	
Age 65 or older	43	1.9	51	7	7	7	7
Income < \$39,999	24	2.4	38	4	21	13	8
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	2.1	45	12	16	11	7
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.2	39	16	19	12	5
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	1.8	50	18	15	5	3
Income > \$140,000	52	2.3	35	29	13	10	12

19e. How interested are you in the following Cedar Hills Family Festival Days activities?

Golf Tournament

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

Mean 2011 2.1

Mean 2009 2.3

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	2.1	47%	16%	14%	9%	7%
North	116	2.1	47	10	18	9	8
West	74	1.8	55	23	4	9	4
East	126	2.1	47	16	17	7	7
South	77	2.2	39	18	12	13	6
< 5 years resident	155	2.2	45	14	17	10	8
5-10 years resident	147	2.1	50	16	12	10	8
> 10 years resident	90	1.9	49	19	10	9	3
One in home	9	2.1	44	22	22		11
Two in home	68	2.1	49	10	7	12	9
Three in home	36	2.1	42	11	19	3	8
Four in home	67	2.3	37	21	18	13	7
Five in home	80	1.9	50	18	15	6	5
Six in home	75	2.0	49	19	11	12	5
Seven or more home	54	1.9	59	11	9	9	7
Rent home	52	2.2	42	15	17	6	12
Own home	338	2.0	48	16	13	10	6
Male	133	2.1	47	17	16	11	6
Female	234	2.0	47	16	12	9	7
Age 18-24	9	1.4	78		22		
Age 25-34	77	2.3	40	16	21	8	12
Age 35-44	136	2.0	49	19	11	9	8
Age 45-54	81	2.0	52	14	10	14	5
Age 55-64	39	2.0	46	18	15	10	3
Age 65 or older	43	1.8	51	7	9	7	5
Income < \$39,999	24	1.8	54	4	17	4	4
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	1.8	59	8	13	9	4
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.1	41	21	14	9	7
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.0	52	14	13	9	9
Income > \$140,000	52	2.4	35	29	10	15	12

19f. How interested are you in the following Cedar Hills Family Festival Days activities?

5K and Mile Fun Run

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

Mean 2011 3.1

Mean 2009 2.8

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.1	20%	10%	22%	21%	19%
North	116	3.2	22	6	18	22	25
West	74	3.1	15	15	27	26	15
East	126	2.9	25	10	25	18	16
South	77	3.2	14	12	18	19	21
< 5 years resident	155	3.1	19	12	23	24	16
5-10 years resident	147	3.2	22	8	20	20	25
> 10 years resident	90	3.0	21	11	23	16	18
One in home	9	1.9	56	11	22	11	
Two in home	68	2.5	28	9	26	12	7
Three in home	36	3.2	17	14	14	19	22
Four in home	67	3.1	18	18	18	19	24
Five in home	80	3.0	21	11	24	18	20
Six in home	75	3.4	17	8	19	27	27
Seven or more home	54	3.5	13	4	22	33	24
Rent home	52	2.9	21	13	25	17	15
Own home	338	3.1	20	10	21	21	21
Male	133	2.9	22	14	21	24	14
Female	234	3.2	19	9	21	20	24
Age 18-24	9	2.0	56	11	11	22	
Age 25-34	77	3.3	13	17	21	17	29
Age 35-44	136	3.4	16	7	18	30	24
Age 45-54	81	3.3	15	12	23	21	22
Age 55-64	39	2.4	31	13	31	15	3
Age 65 or older	43	2.0	42	7	21	2	5
Income < \$39,999	24	2.4	38	4	25	4	13
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.0	23	12	19	20	19
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.0	19	15	25	18	18
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.2	23	6	16	22	27
Income > \$140,000	52	3.5	8	12	27	35	19

19g. How interested are you in the following Cedar Hills Family Festival Days activities?

Kids Games

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

Mean 2011 3.0

Mean 2009 3.2

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	3.0	22%	10%	22%	20%	17%
North	116	2.9	26	10	23	17	16
West	74	3.3	19	11	18	23	26
East	126	2.9	21	10	29	21	10
South	77	3.1	21	10	12	22	21
< 5 years resident	155	3.1	18	12	20	24	18
5-10 years resident	147	2.9	25	10	26	16	16
> 10 years resident	90	3.0	23	9	18	21	19
One in home	9	2.1	44	22	22		11
Two in home	68	2.3	37	13	15	15	7
Three in home	36	2.7	22	11	28	11	11
Four in home	67	3.3	19	7	21	25	22
Five in home	80	3.1	18	11	26	15	21
Six in home	75	3.3	17	7	25	23	24
Seven or more home	54	3.3	15	13	11	37	19
Rent home	52	3.3	17	12	13	23	23
Own home	338	3.0	23	10	22	20	17
Male	133	2.8	24	13	27	21	11
Female	234	3.2	21	8	19	20	23
Age 18-24	9	2.0	44	22	22	11	
Age 25-34	77	3.7	9	4	22	25	32
Age 35-44	136	3.1	18	11	21	28	16
Age 45-54	81	2.8	26	11	25	15	15
Age 55-64	39	2.6	26	21	23	13	10
Age 65 or older	43	2.1	47	7	12	9	9
Income < \$39,999	24	2.9	33	4	13	8	25
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.1	24	5	20	24	20
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.1	19	13	21	24	17
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.9	24	13	17	21	16
Income > \$140,000	52	3.1	19	10	27	23	19

19h. How interested are you in the following Cedar Hills Family Festival Days activities?

Fireworks

1-Least interested to 5-Very interested

Mean 2011 4.4

Mean 2009 2.4

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	4.4	6%	1%	8%	17%	64%
North	116	4.4	4	2	9	16	66
West	74	4.7	4		1	12	82
East	126	4.2	9	2	9	22	56
South	77	4.4	5	1	9	16	60
< 5 years resident	155	4.3	5	1	11	21	60
5-10 years resident	147	4.3	7	2	5	16	66
> 10 years resident	90	4.5	6	1	7	13	69
One in home	9	3.7	22	11		11	56
Two in home	68	3.9	12	1	15	19	46
Three in home	36	4.0	11		14	25	47
Four in home	67	4.6	4		4	16	72
Five in home	80	4.5	5		4	23	68
Six in home	75	4.5	3	1	8	16	71
Seven or more home	54	4.6	2	4	6	7	80
Rent home	52	4.4	4		12	23	60
Own home	338	4.4	7	1	7	17	65
Male	133	4.1	9	2	13	23	51
Female	234	4.6	4	1	4	15	72
Age 18-24	9	3.8	11		22	33	33
Age 25-34	77	4.7	3		3	18	77
Age 35-44	136	4.5	4	2	6	13	73
Age 45-54	81	4.5	4		6	23	63
Age 55-64	39	4.1	10	3	13	18	54
Age 65 or older	43	3.4	21	2	19	14	35
Income < \$39,999	24	3.8	21		4	25	50
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	4.3	4		15	19	57
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	4.5	5		5	17	72
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	4.3	7	3	7	12	68
Income > \$140,000	52	4.6	2	4	2	21	71

21. How would you rate how the City has developed the north side of the commercial area with the Walmart, Chase Bank and McDonalds developments?

1-Done very poorly to 5-Done great

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	4.2	1%	3%	11%	41%	40%
North	116	4.1	1	4	15	41	38
West	74	4.2	3	1	8	45	43
East	126	4.3		2	10	41	43
South	77	4.1	3	5	12	38	38
< 5 years resident	155	4.3		3	11	42	43
5-10 years resident	147	4.1	2	3	12	45	37
> 10 years resident	90	4.2	2	3	12	31	46
One in home	9	4.1			11	44	22
Two in home	68	4.1	3	6	10	37	41
Three in home	36	4.4			14	33	50
Four in home	67	4.1	3	4	12	37	42
Five in home	80	4.1		5	13	45	36
Six in home	75	4.3	1	3	9	41	44
Seven or more home	54	4.3			11	46	41
Rent home	52	4.3		4	8	42	46
Own home	338	4.2	1	3	12	40	40
Male	133	4.0	2	5	11	49	30
Female	234	4.3	1	2	10	37	48
Age 18-24	9	4.0			44	11	44
Age 25-34	77	4.3		3	9	43	45
Age 35-44	136	4.2		2	12	45	40
Age 45-54	81	4.0	4	5	14	37	38
Age 55-64	39	4.0	3	10	5	46	31
Age 65 or older	43	4.4	2		7	33	49
Income < \$39,999	24	4.0	4	8	13	25	46
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	4.3	1	1	12	40	44
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	4.2	1	5	10	39	44
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	4.3	1		11	43	43
Income > \$140,000	52	4.2		4	13	42	40

22a. List the top three types of commercial development you favor on the south side of the commercial sector (approximately 30 acres).

Gas station/Convenience store

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	73%	44%	16%	12%
North	116	72	39	21	13
West	74	77	51	12	14
East	126	72	39	15	18
South	77	70	56	12	3
< 5 years resident	155	73	46	15	12
5-10 years resident	147	76	43	18	14
> 10 years resident	90	70	44	13	12
One in home	9	33	22	11	
Two in home	68	62	29	10	22
Three in home	36	78	44	19	14
Four in home	67	75	46	19	9
Five in home	80	79	53	14	13
Six in home	75	80	52	19	9
Seven or more home	54	72	44	15	13
Rent home	52	71	40	21	10
Own home	338	74	45	15	13
Male	133	80	44	20	16
Female	234	72	46	14	12
Age 18-24	9	78	33	33	11
Age 25-34	77	79	48	14	17
Age 35-44	136	77	49	18	10
Age 45-54	81	77	47	19	11
Age 55-64	39	69	46	10	13
Age 65 or older	43	51	23	9	19
Income < \$39,999	24	71	42	17	13
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	64	43	9	12
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	78	49	16	14
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	80	48	19	13
Income > \$140,000	52	79	46	19	13

22b. List the top three types of commercial development you favor on the south side of the commercial sector (approximately 30 acres).

Mixed use housing - Gateway style with retail on bottom and housing on top of the retail

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	25%	6%	8%	11%
North	116	22	6	8	8
West	74	20	3	7	11
East	126	27	7	8	12
South	77	30	6	10	13
< 5 years resident	155	22	6	7	8
5-10 years resident	147	25	5	11	9
> 10 years resident	90	28	6	7	16
One in home	9	11		11	
Two in home	68	29	9	9	12
Three in home	36	28	14	11	3
Four in home	67	21	3	9	9
Five in home	80	26	4	8	15
Six in home	75	17	4	7	7
Seven or more home	54	32	7	9	15
Rent home	52	33	17	8	8
Own home	338	23	4	9	11
Male	133	26	8	8	11
Female	234	23	4	10	9
Age 18-24	9	33	11	11	11
Age 25-34	77	21	4	10	6
Age 35-44	136	23	6	6	11
Age 45-54	81	26	9	11	6
Age 55-64	39	33	3	10	21
Age 65 or older	43	30	7	7	16
Income < \$39,999	24	33	8	13	13
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	29	7	11	12
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	26	9	8	9
Income \$100K-\$139,999	94	19	3	9	7
Income > \$140,000	52	23	8	2	13

22c. List the top three types of commercial development you favor on the south side of the commercial sector (approximately 30 acres).

Restaurants

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	81%	33%	37%	11%
North	116	82	40	33	9
West	74	76	27	42	7
East	126	90	37	35	17
South	77	74	23	42	9
< 5 years resident	155	81	31	42	8
5-10 years resident	147	88	38	35	16
> 10 years resident	90	73	30	31	12
One in home	9	56	44	11	
Two in home	68	79	38	34	7
Three in home	36	83	22	42	19
Four in home	67	81	39	31	10
Five in home	80	86	30	43	14
Six in home	75	83	37	33	12
Seven or more home	54	85	28	46	11
Rent home	52	75	25	35	15
Own home	338	83	35	38	11
Male	133	82	36	35	11
Female	234	82	32	37	13
Age 18-24	9	67	33	11	22
Age 25-34	77	87	31	40	16
Age 35-44	136	85	35	40	10
Age 45-54	81	80	30	37	14
Age 55-64	39	80	28	36	15
Age 65 or older	43	74	47	26	2
Income < \$39,999	24	58	25	21	13
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	79	29	41	8
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	87	30	43	14
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	87	37	35	15
Income > \$140,000	52	85	31	46	8

22d. List the top three types of commercial development you favor on the south side of the commercial sector (approximately 30 acres).

Strip Mall retail

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	51%	7%	18%	26%
North	116	50	9	19	22
West	74	58	7	22	30
East	126	54	10	21	23
South	77	43	1	10	31
< 5 years resident	155	50	7	17	26
5-10 years resident	147	57	7	20	29
> 10 years resident	90	46	7	19	20
One in home	9	56	22	22	11
Two in home	68	43	9	18	16
Three in home	36	56	6	19	31
Four in home	67	55	7	25	22
Five in home	80	51	5	20	26
Six in home	75	51	3	16	32
Seven or more home	54	54	9	13	31
Rent home	52	48	8	17	23
Own home	338	52	7	19	26
Male	133	46	5	17	23
Female	234	54	8	21	26
Age 18-24	9	56		22	33
Age 25-34	77	65	12	26	27
Age 35-44	136	49	4	17	28
Age 45-54	81	46	5	12	28
Age 55-64	39	46	5	26	15
Age 65 or older	43	49	14	16	19
Income < \$39,999	24	63	8	21	33
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	47	8	15	24
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	54	7	21	26
Income \$100K-\$139,999	94	53	3	23	27
Income > \$140,000	52	50	10	13	27

22e. List the top three types of commercial development you favor on the south side of the commercial sector (approximately 30 acres).

Big Box development

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	12%	2%	3%	7%
North	116	10	1	2	7
West	74	8	1	3	4
East	126	18	2	6	10
South	77	10	3	3	5
< 5 years resident	155	12	1	3	7
5-10 years resident	147	12	2	3	7
> 10 years resident	90	14	2	4	8
One in home	9	33			33
Two in home	68	15	1	6	7
Three in home	36	14	6		8
Four in home	67	12		4	7
Five in home	80	9	1	1	6
Six in home	75	13	1	7	5
Seven or more home	54	11	4	2	6
Rent home	52	8		2	6
Own home	338	13	2	4	7
Male	133	20	3	5	12
Female	234	9	1	3	5
Age 18-24	9				
Age 25-34	77	12	1	4	6
Age 35-44	136	9	1	2	5
Age 45-54	81	12	2	2	7
Age 55-64	39	26	3	8	15
Age 65 or older	43	19	2	7	9
Income < \$39,999	24	13	4	4	4
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	8	1	4	3
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	11	1	4	6
Income \$100K-\$139,999	94	16	4	3	9
Income > \$140,000	52	14		2	12

22f. List the top three types of commercial development you favor on the south side of the commercial sector (approximately 30 acres).

Professional office

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	20%	3%	5%	12%
North	116	22		6	16
West	74	32	8	8	16
East	126	10		2	7
South	77	22	5	6	10
< 5 years resident	155	17	1	3	12
5-10 years resident	147	15	3	3	9
> 10 years resident	90	32	4	13	14
One in home	9	33		11	22
Two in home	68	25	7	6	12
Three in home	36	14	6	6	3
Four in home	67	21	1	1	18
Five in home	80	19	1	8	10
Six in home	75	23	1	7	15
Seven or more home	54	11	2	4	6
Rent home	52	12		4	8
Own home	338	21	3	6	12
Male	133	24	2	7	16
Female	234	17	3	4	10
Age 18-24	9				
Age 25-34	77	13	1	1	10
Age 35-44	136	21	1	6	15
Age 45-54	81	20	2	9	9
Age 55-64	39	18	3	8	8
Age 65 or older	43	33	9	5	19
Income < \$39,999	24				
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	24	5	3	16
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	17	2	3	12
Income \$100K-\$139,999	94	18	3	5	10
Income > \$140,000	52	23		8	15

22g. List the top three types of commercial development you favor on the south side of the commercial sector (approximately 30 acres).

Single Family residential

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	10%	3%	4%	3%
North	116	11	2	5	4
West	74	8	3	3	3
East	126	7	2	3	2
South	77	16	9	6	
< 5 years resident	155	11	2	6	3
5-10 years resident	147	10	5	3	2
> 10 years resident	90	11	3	4	3
One in home	9				
Two in home	68	22	7	7	7
Three in home	36	8	8		
Four in home	67	2			1
Five in home	80	11	3	6	3
Six in home	75	12	3	7	3
Seven or more home	54	6	2	2	2
Rent home	52	12	4	4	4
Own home	338	10	3	4	3
Male	133	11	4	5	3
Female	234	10	3	4	3
Age 18-24	9	33		22	11
Age 25-34	77	7	1	1	4
Age 35-44	136	10	2	5	3
Age 45-54	81	7	1	5	1
Age 55-64	39	13	13		
Age 65 or older	43	19	7	7	5
Income < \$39,999	24	25	8	13	4
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	19	4	7	8
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	4	1	2	1
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	6	3	2	1
Income > \$140,000	52	10	2	6	2

22h. List the top three types of commercial development you favor on the south side of the commercial sector (approximately 30 acres).

No more development

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	14%	4%	2%	8%
North	116	17	8	3	7
West	74	14	1	4	8
East	126	9	3		6
South	77	21	5	3	13
< 5 years resident	155	16	6	2	8
5-10 years resident	147	13	2	2	9
> 10 years resident	90	16	6	2	8
One in home	9	11	11		
Two in home	68	19	9	1	9
Three in home	36	17		3	14
Four in home	67	19	3	4	12
Five in home	80	10	5	3	3
Six in home	75	16	1	1	13
Seven or more home	54	7	6		2
Rent home	52	15	6		10
Own home	338	14	4	2	8
Male	133	14	5	1	8
Female	234	15	3	3	9
Age 18-24	9	56	33		22
Age 25-34	77	13	3	1	9
Age 35-44	136	13	3	1	8
Age 45-54	81	19	7	4	7
Age 55-64	39	13	3	3	8
Age 65 or older	43	14	5	2	7
Income < \$39,999	24	17	13		4
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	23	8	4	11
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	11	2	1	8
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	14	3	2	9
Income > \$140,000	52	12	4	4	4

22i. List the top three types of commercial development you favor on the south side of the commercial sector (approximately 30 acres).

Other

	n=	Top3	1st	2nd	3rd
Overall	403	4%	1%	%	2%
North	116	4	1	1	3
West	74	4	1		3
East	126	1	1		
South	77	8		1	6
< 5 years resident	155	6	1	1	4
5-10 years resident	147	2	1		1
> 10 years resident	90	4	1	1	2
One in home	9				
Two in home	68	4	1		3
Three in home	36	3			3
Four in home	67				
Five in home	80	5	3	1	1
Six in home	75	5	1		4
Seven or more home	54	7		2	6
Rent home	52	10	4		6
Own home	338	3	1	1	2
Male	133	2	1		2
Female	234	6	1	1	3
Age 18-24	9	11			11
Age 25-34	77	1			1
Age 35-44	136	7	1	1	4
Age 45-54	81	4	1		2
Age 55-64	39				
Age 65 or older	43	2	2		
Income < \$39,999	24	8			8
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	4	1		3
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	4	1		3
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3			3
Income > \$140,000	52	4	4		

23. How prepared (meaning expenditure of funding) should the City of Cedar Hills be for a natural emergency such as an earthquake or landslide?

1. Not at all
2. A little
3. Somewhat
4. Very

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4
Overall	403	3.4	2%	9%	34%	52%
North	116	3.4	2	9	34	53
West	74	3.4		8	42	47
East	126	3.4	2	8	35	52
South	77	3.4	4	10	31	53
< 5 years resident	155	3.4	1	10	35	54
5-10 years resident	147	3.4	3	9	33	55
> 10 years resident	90	3.4	1	7	41	50
One in home	9	3.6			44	56
Two in home	68	3.4	4	7	32	53
Three in home	36	3.4		14	28	58
Four in home	67	3.4	1	3	45	51
Five in home	80	3.5	1	9	34	56
Six in home	75	3.3		17	32	51
Seven or more home	54	3.4	4	6	41	48
Rent home	52	3.5	2	6	35	56
Own home	338	3.4	2	10	36	52
Male	133	3.2	4	15	35	47
Female	234	3.5		5	35	58
Age 18-24	9	3.6		22		78
Age 25-34	77	3.5		6	38	56
Age 35-44	136	3.4	1	12	35	51
Age 45-54	81	3.4	2	5	38	53
Age 55-64	39	3.3	5	8	41	46
Age 65 or older	43	3.3	5	14	23	56
Income < \$39,999	24	3.3	4	8	38	46
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3.5	3	5	33	59
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	3.3	1	13	40	45
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.4	2	11	33	54
Income > \$140,000	52	3.5		8	38	54

24. How prepared are you for a natural emergency such as an earthquake or landslide?

1. Not at all
2. A little
3. Somewhat
4. Very

Mean 2011 3.0

Mean 2009 2.8

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4
Overall	403	3.0	2%	17%	61%	17%
North	116	3.0	2	18	59	19
West	74	3.0		11	72	15
East	126	2.9	2	24	54	17
South	77	2.9	5	12	69	14
< 5 years resident	155	2.8	3	27	55	14
5-10 years resident	147	3.0	1	13	67	19
> 10 years resident	90	3.1	2	8	67	23
One in home	9	2.9	11	11	56	22
Two in home	68	3.0	6	9	66	19
Three in home	36	3.0		22	58	19
Four in home	67	2.9	3	10	76	10
Five in home	80	2.9		28	53	19
Six in home	75	2.9	1	20	63	16
Seven or more home	54	3.0	2	19	56	24
Rent home	52	2.6	10	27	50	12
Own home	338	3.0	1	16	64	19
Male	133	3.1	1	11	66	22
Female	234	2.8	3	22	61	14
Age 18-24	9	2.7	11	22	56	11
Age 25-34	77	2.8	4	26	56	14
Age 35-44	136	2.9		20	66	14
Age 45-54	81	3.0	4	16	59	20
Age 55-64	39	3.3		5	62	33
Age 65 or older	43	3.0	5	9	67	19
Income < \$39,999	24	2.6	17	17	58	8
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	2.9		21	68	11
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.9	2	19	64	16
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	3.1	1	9	69	20
Income > \$140,000	52	2.9		31	46	23

25. What are your overall expectations of the City after a major natural or man-made disaster?

1. Help when able
2. Help within 72 hours
3. Help within 24-48 hours
4. Immediate help from emergency personnel

	n=	1	2	3	4
Overall	403	10%	15%	34%	35%
North	116	10	22	36	27
West	74	14	16	35	31
East	126	8	13	34	42
South	77	10	12	34	40
< 5 years resident	155	10	18	36	34
5-10 years resident	147	10	14	35	41
> 10 years resident	90	12	16	34	33
One in home	9	11		33	56
Two in home	68	10	12	31	41
Three in home	36	19	14	33	33
Four in home	67	4	21	39	33
Five in home	80	10	18	34	36
Six in home	75	15	13	41	28
Seven or more home	54	6	19	35	41
Rent home	52	10	8	38	40
Own home	338	10	17	35	35
Male	133	10	20	38	29
Female	234	10	13	35	40
Age 18-24	9	33	11	22	33
Age 25-34	77	8	8	45	36
Age 35-44	136	7	21	37	34
Age 45-54	81	16	17	28	35
Age 55-64	39	5	15	38	38
Age 65 or older	43	16	7	28	47
Income < \$39,999	24	21		42	33
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	12	12	35	40
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	7	13	38	39
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	10	21	37	32
Income > \$140,000	52	12	17	29	37

26. Would you support or oppose Cedar Hills building a new City Hall/Community center?

1. Definitely oppose
2. Probably oppose
3. Probably support
4. Definitely support

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4
Overall	403	2.3	24%	29%	30%	12%
North	116	2.4	21	26	30	15
West	74	2.0	32	35	23	5
East	126	2.5	19	28	34	14
South	77	2.2	29	30	26	10
< 5 years resident	155	2.5	16	30	34	15
5-10 years resident	147	2.3	26	26	31	13
> 10 years resident	90	2.0	34	33	23	6
One in home	9	2.4	22	22	44	11
Two in home	68	2.1	32	31	21	10
Three in home	36	2.1	31	31	22	8
Four in home	67	2.5	19	22	40	13
Five in home	80	2.3	26	28	31	14
Six in home	75	2.4	13	39	32	11
Seven or more home	54	2.4	26	26	31	15
Rent home	52	2.8	12	21	33	23
Own home	338	2.3	26	30	31	10
Male	133	2.2	29	29	26	13
Female	234	2.4	18	30	35	12
Age 18-24	9	2.3	22	33	33	11
Age 25-34	77	2.4	13	34	40	6
Age 35-44	136	2.4	21	29	34	15
Age 45-54	81	2.3	27	23	26	16
Age 55-64	39	1.9	38	33	23	5
Age 65 or older	43	2.1	35	26	21	14
Income < \$39,999	24	2.4	29	21	21	25
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	2.2	25	32	28	9
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.4	23	26	39	9
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.3	24	29	28	15
Income > \$140,000	52	2.5	15	29	37	15

27. When the existing City building is converted to a Public Safety (police/fire) building would you be more likely to support building a new City Hall/community center?

1. Much less likely
2. Somewhat less likely
3. Somewhat more likely
4. Much more likely

	n=	Mean	1	2	3	4
Overall	403	2.8	13%	11%	48%	19%
North	116	2.9	9	9	50	19
West	74	2.5	23	8	54	8
East	126	3.0	10	11	43	30
South	77	2.6	16	18	49	13
< 5 years resident	155	3.0	7	11	53	21
5-10 years resident	147	2.8	16	10	48	23
> 10 years resident	90	2.5	19	16	48	11
One in home	9	2.6	22	11	56	11
Two in home	68	2.5	24	13	46	15
Three in home	36	2.7	17	11	47	19
Four in home	67	2.9	9	13	52	19
Five in home	80	2.9	13	14	44	25
Six in home	75	2.9	8	8	61	17
Seven or more home	54	2.9	13	7	46	22
Rent home	52	3.0	6	6	50	21
Own home	338	2.8	14	12	50	20
Male	133	2.8	15	14	47	22
Female	234	2.9	12	10	53	19
Age 18-24	9	2.3	33		67	
Age 25-34	77	3.0	5	13	58	19
Age 35-44	136	2.9	9	10	55	21
Age 45-54	81	2.8	16	10	37	27
Age 55-64	39	2.6	18	15	46	13
Age 65 or older	43	2.5	30	12	37	19
Income < \$39,999	24	2.3	29	17	38	13
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	2.7	20	5	53	15
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2.8	9	17	49	18
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2.9	13	7	54	21
Income > \$140,000	52	3.1	6	12	48	33

28. Would you be willing to bond (pay property taxes) \$5 extra per month to build a City Hall/Community Center?

1. Yes

2. No

	n=	1	2
Overall	403	36%	55%
North	116	38	50
West	74	30	64
East	126	46	44
South	77	25	71
< 5 years resident	155	43	49
5-10 years resident	147	37	57
> 10 years resident	90	27	67
One in home	9	56	44
Two in home	68	35	56
Three in home	36	31	64
Four in home	67	40	51
Five in home	80	36	60
Six in home	75	31	61
Seven or more home	54	46	46
Rent home	52	44	42
Own home	338	36	58
Male	133	38	56
Female	234	37	55
Age 18-24	9		100
Age 25-34	77	36	55
Age 35-44	136	39	56
Age 45-54	81	41	52
Age 55-64	39	26	64
Age 65 or older	43	47	47
Income < \$39,999	24	25	63
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	39	48
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	38	57
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	35	64
Income > \$140,000	52	58	38

29. During your residency, has the City improved, remained the same, or become worse in providing services

1. Worse
2. Same
3. Improved
4. Don't Know

	n=	1	2	3	4
Overall	403	4%	54%	32%	6%
North	116		59	32	5
West	74	7	57	34	
East	126	6	52	28	10
South	77	5	48	38	6
< 5 years resident	155		65	19	12
5-10 years resident	147	6	54	38	1
> 10 years resident	90	8	40	48	3
One in home	9	11	56	33	
Two in home	68	4	57	31	4
Three in home	36	3	61	31	6
Four in home	67	3	55	34	4
Five in home	80	4	60	29	6
Six in home	75	3	52	33	9
Seven or more home	54	7	44	41	7
Rent home	52		52	23	15
Own home	338	5	56	34	5
Male	133	2	59	33	5
Female	234	5	53	34	7
Age 18-24	9		44	33	22
Age 25-34	77	1	64	26	9
Age 35-44	136	4	55	33	7
Age 45-54	81	9	46	40	2
Age 55-64	39	3	64	28	
Age 65 or older	43	2	49	42	7
Income < \$39,999	24		46	42	8
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3	67	25	5
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	1	50	36	8
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	6	53	36	3
Income > \$140,000	52	8	54	29	10

31. How many years have you lived in Cedar Hills?

1. Less than 5 years
2. 5-10 years
3. More than 10 years

	n=	1	2	3
Overall	403	38%	36%	22%
North	116	46	34	16
West	74	18	39	42
East	126	52	40	6
South	77	25	32	43
< 5 years resident	155	100		
5-10 years resident	147		100	
> 10 years resident	90			100
One in home	9	33	33	33
Two in home	68	34	37	29
Three in home	36	42	42	17
Four in home	67	45	31	24
Five in home	80	40	36	23
Six in home	75	36	47	17
Seven or more home	54	43	31	26
Rent home	52	81	12	8
Own home	338	33	41	25
Male	133	43	32	24
Female	234	38	41	21
Age 18-24	9	78		22
Age 25-34	77	61	36	3
Age 35-44	136	37	41	21
Age 45-54	81	35	28	37
Age 55-64	39	26	41	31
Age 65 or older	43	26	47	28
Income < \$39,999	24	42	33	25
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	51	28	21
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	41	37	21
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	30	47	22
Income > \$140,000	52	40	38	21

32. Do you own or rent your home?

1. Rent

2. Own

	n=	1	2
Overall	403	13%	84%
North	116	19	77
West	74	1	97
East	126	13	85
South	77	14	83
< 5 years resident	155	27	72
5-10 years resident	147	4	95
> 10 years resident	90	4	94
One in home	9		100
Two in home	68	10	87
Three in home	36	8	92
Four in home	67	16	84
Five in home	80	13	88
Six in home	75	19	81
Seven or more home	54	13	87
Rent home	52	100	
Own home	338		100
Male	133	11	89
Female	234	15	85
Age 18-24	9	56	44
Age 25-34	77	19	81
Age 35-44	136	13	88
Age 45-54	81	12	86
Age 55-64	39	8	92
Age 65 or older	43	2	93
Income < \$39,999	24	33	67
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	17	81
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	15	84
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	9	91
Income > \$140,000	52	8	92

33. Family members in your household?

	n=	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1. One								
2. Two								
3. Three								
4. Four								
5. Five								
6. Six								
7. Seven or more								
Overall	403	2%	17%	9%	17%	20%	19%	13%
North	116	4	15	8	20	16	22	11
West	74		9	11	14	22	20	23
East	126	2	21	14	14	22	13	10
South	77	1	22		17	19	23	16
< 5 years resident	155	2	15	10	19	21	17	15
5-10 years resident	147	2	17	10	14	20	24	12
> 10 years resident	90	3	22	7	18	20	14	16
One in home	9	100						
Two in home	68		100					
Three in home	36			100				
Four in home	67				100			
Five in home	80					100		
Six in home	75						100	
Seven or more home	54							100
Rent home	52		13	6	21	19	27	13
Own home	338	3	17	10	17	21	18	14
Male	133	3	28	12	16	16	13	12
Female	234	2	9	8	19	24	24	15
Age 18-24	9		22	11	44	11	11	
Age 25-34	77		9	6	29	26	25	5
Age 35-44	136	1	1	3	14	23	32	27
Age 45-54	81		10	17	20	25	14	14
Age 55-64	39	5	44	18	10	13		5
Age 65 or older	43	14	72	9		5		
Income < \$39,999	24	8	21	13	13	13	21	13
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	1	29	9	19	15	17	9
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2	19	12	17	22	18	10
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	2	6	9	18	23	23	18
Income > \$140,000	52	2	12		15	33	23	15

34. Age

1. Age 18-24
2. Age 25-34
3. Age 35-44
4. Age 45-54
5. Age 55-64
6. Age 65 or older

	n=	1	2	3	4	5	6
Overall	403	2%	19%	34%	20%	10%	11%
North	116	4	21	35	19	12	6
West	74		11	49	24	7	7
East	126	2	25	26	15	10	17
South	77	1	13	32	27	9	12
< 5 years resident	155	5	30	32	18	6	7
5-10 years resident	147		19	38	16	11	14
> 10 years resident	90	2	2	32	33	13	13
One in home	9			11		22	67
Two in home	68	3	10	1	12	25	46
Three in home	36	3	14	11	39	19	11
Four in home	67	6	33	28	24	6	
Five in home	80	1	25	39	25	6	3
Six in home	75	1	25	57	15		
Seven or more home	54		7	69	20	4	
Rent home	52	10	29	33	19	6	2
Own home	338	1	18	35	21	11	12
Male	133	3	11	27	24	19	17
Female	234	2	26	40	19	4	7
Age 18-24	9	100					
Age 25-34	77		100				
Age 35-44	136			100			
Age 45-54	81				100		
Age 55-64	39					100	
Age 65 or older	43						100
Income < \$39,999	24	17	17	21	8	8	29
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	3	32	20	17	7	21
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	2	21	33	18	14	12
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94		13	49	23	12	1
Income > \$140,000	52		23	42	27	4	4

35. Gender

1. Male

2. Female

	n=	1	2
Overall	403	33%	58%
North	116	38	55
West	74	26	69
East	126	37	55
South	77	27	58
< 5 years resident	155	37	58
5-10 years resident	147	29	65
> 10 years resident	90	36	54
One in home	9	44	56
Two in home	68	54	31
Three in home	36	44	53
Four in home	67	31	66
Five in home	80	26	70
Six in home	75	23	73
Seven or more home	54	30	63
Rent home	52	29	65
Own home	338	35	59
Male	133	100	
Female	234		100
Age 18-24	9	44	56
Age 25-34	77	18	81
Age 35-44	136	26	69
Age 45-54	81	40	54
Age 55-64	39	64	23
Age 65 or older	43	51	40
Income < \$39,999	24	25	75
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75	29	65
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102	31	62
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94	38	56
Income > \$140,000	52	44	52

36. Household income

- 1. Less than \$39,999
- 2. \$40,000 to \$69,999
- 3. \$70,000 to \$99,999
- 4. \$100,000 to 139,999
- 5. \$140,000 or more

	n=	1	2	3	4	5
Overall	403	6%	19%	25%	23%	13%
North	116	6	22	27	22	14
West	74	4	20	22	30	9
East	126	6	15	25	21	17
South	77	9	19	25	25	9
< 5 years resident	155	6	25	27	18	14
5-10 years resident	147	5	14	26	30	14
> 10 years resident	90	7	18	23	23	12
One in home	9	22	11	22	22	11
Two in home	68	7	32	28	9	9
Three in home	36	8	19	33	22	
Four in home	67	4	21	25	25	12
Five in home	80	4	14	28	28	21
Six in home	75	7	17	24	29	16
Seven or more home	54	6	13	19	31	15
Rent home	52	15	25	29	15	8
Own home	338	5	18	25	25	14
Male	133	5	17	24	27	17
Female	234	8	21	27	23	12
Age 18-24	9	44	22	22		
Age 25-34	77	5	31	27	16	16
Age 35-44	136	4	11	25	34	16
Age 45-54	81	2	16	22	27	17
Age 55-64	39	5	13	36	28	5
Age 65 or older	43	16	37	28	2	5
Income < \$39,999	24	100				
Income \$40K-\$69,999	75		100			
Income \$70K-\$99,999	102			100		
Income \$100K-\$139,99	94				100	
Income > \$140,000	52					100

Question 2 - If you were Mayor for a day, what would be the top issue/item that you would address to make the City a better place to live, work, play?

Expanding recreation and/or community facilities (20% of comments)

City rec. center
Recreation options-tennis court (indoor), volleyball (indoor) and library
Build baseball field and host tournaments
Building a rec/pool center
Rec center
City rec center
Recreation center
I would like to see increased recreation options-not too many people golf
A rec center
Recreation center
Community center/library
Put in a recreation facility
Recreation activities-rec center, swimming pool
Rec center-working with school district to make sure boundaries were fair
Rec center
More amenities like rec center, library, etc.
Build a rec center or raise funds to build one and library
#1 public rec center
Build aquatic/rec center!
Community center with pool, weights, etc.
Recreation facility
Putting in a community pool J
Work towards fitness/aquatic center
Recreation activities
We definitely need a community recreation center AND a library
New rec center
A recreation center (with pool and exercise equipment and classes) and a really nice park and library
Rec center
Rec center with pool, library
Add some sort of family recreational facility and a library
Rec center with indoor pool
We need city services like a rec center and library-not a golf course!
Recreation facility-kids athletics
Rec center/swimming pool-somewhere for family and friend activities
Swimming pool

Racquet ball court in new rec center
Have a community pool
More parks and recreation-library
A rec center for the community
More recreation for youth through city
A recreation center and our own high school
Recreation facilities-our kids will be grown up and gone before Cedar Hills is able to offer anything beyond parks and minimal sports programs
Recreation for kids, keep them busy!
More family recreation options in city and maintaining of property
Recreation center and draw more business to the city
Obtain a facility for the arts
More family oriented activities-pool, library, etc.
Rec/exercise/etc. buildings and programs. Possible library, would love a city
Christmas Tree-as a community like it every Christmas season (at Mesquite park?)
Recreation swim and tennis facility, not huge but somewhat simple, build with expansion in mind.
Rec center
A big park with softball fields and soccer fields
Rec center
More attention to landscape detail-common and park areas. Free library passes! If
I could go in reverse I would remove the golf course and put in a community rec center with pool or library-something the Cedar Hills citizens would use.
Building city rec center and pool! Improve the police patrol

City services, streets, safety concerns (19% of comments)

Place a traffic light on 700 North in AF that joins at Canyon RD (if that in Cedar Hills)
Fire/police dept. Do we have our own? Should we get our own or demand better service from the cities we pay to do our city?
Less American Fork traffic cops
Slower traffic on Redwood Dr.
Fix problems with AF police, who have caused serious problem in our neighborhood
Revenue to city
Eliminate debt
Bottleneck of traffic at Cedar Ridge morning and afternoon
Spend more money on roads
Removing speed bumps
Clearing the street in the winter
Because of where I live and the troubles with so many houses settling I would address the concerns of fixing the roads and doing anything else possible to improve this neighborhood.

A bigger tax base, fire station manned with paramedics
Our own police dept.
Driving safety-people drive too fast through neighborhoods
Driving safety at night with so many deer crossing the roads. Reduce the speed possible-drivers are not slowing down which is a safety issue
Improve snow removal
Cars parking on street, dangerous for kids
Speed bumps coming down the hill from the park/wasp control at park in summer
Better security of parks at night-kids driving around
Have the plows start early in the morning and on Sundays so that those who have to leave work early in the morning are safe
Side walks all the way down canyon road
I would like our own fire and police officers stationed in the city of Cedar Hills
More parks/widen Harvey Blvd. (east end)
Safety and security
Our own police department and zip code and rec center
Reduce crime more
Speeding on Dorchester Dr Maybe a speed bump
Work with authorities to lower the speed limit on Canyon Road (no one follows the lower speed limit for deer crossing). At least have police monitor north end of Canyon Road better and ticket people for speeding.
Control the roaming dogs!!!
Emergency help/police, fire and ambulance
Repair roads
Slow traffic on upper Oak Rd.
Put up more speed limit signs in neighborhoods
Finish up the construction on the roads
Address speeding on Dorchester Dr. Maybe add speed bumps
Infrastructure
Clean all along the creek
It would be nice to have our own Post Office
Get a working fire station
Make sure the citizens were safe
Animal (dog) control-there is a lot of dogs that roam our area (Canyon Heights Dr.) who are not leashed. When I walk my dog (on leash) we get barked at all the time.
I would widen Canyon Road and add streetlights. Runners, cyclists, and deer- combined with the weather and darkness-make for a very dangerous road.
Spend money to make the city more beautiful
Less strict neighborhood rules, less conservative environment

Too many homes being built where contracts aren't up to code. More neighborhood parks where children can play off the street. Enforce off street parking. Improve street lighting after dark

Ticketing cars in the winter that park on the street overnight - it's hazardous because the plows can't do their job as well. Also cutting back on the cost of Cedar Hills Days

Change utility billing office policy of not extending due date to first business day if it falls on weekend or holiday

Safety and better roads

Emergency response time

Staff Cedar Hills with our own fire and emergency staff-Highland is too far away for emergency response when every second counts!

Street maintenance, home construction quality

More community services

Dogs and cats roaming free

Revenues/taxes (19% of comments)

Improving tax base

I would look over the budget, see where we are spending money unnecessarily then redirect the money to the services we need like manning fire station

Less spending-balanced budget

Put a stop to the rec center-who pays for all of the upkeep?=higher taxes

Stay out of debt-don't band for expensive projects

Lower taxes by increasing city revenue and ban fireworks east of Canyon Rd.

Lower the outrageous utility expense-sewer is double what Highland is for example

Taxes

Reduce taxes and reduce gov't involvement

Lower utility bills

Lower taxes

Balance budget/controlling expenses to keep taxes low

Lower water, sewer and garbage bills. They're much higher than Lehi

More opportunities for a tax base to lower our taxes

Lower taxes and allow more business to come in

Discuss budget issue and fire issues

Reduce property taxes

Lower taxes

Lower taxes

City debt

Get our finances under control

Balanced budget-safety issues

Get out of debt and balance budget

Lower taxes

Budget

We need a bigger tax base. Also do something about the golf course.

Make utilities affordable. I barely use water and sewage and pay near to \$100/month

Planting more trees, lowering city bill

Lower utilities

Lower taxes to fund only base needs

Making sure the city is fiscally responsible and out of debt

Increase retail tax base

Eliminate municipal debt

Spending less

Allocation of money of residents

I would get rid of deb the city has and stop considering new non-essential services

Budgeting of city income

Areas in our budget to reduce spending and taxation

Cut taxes, reduce spending

Cut monthly payment to city

No more taxes

Increase commercial tax base

Lower taxes and reduce wasteful spending

Charge less for building permits

Address the debt

Fiscal responsibility

Property tax cut

Make it more affordable to run utilities

Lower taxes

Work to reduce water/sewer/garbage fees

Lower utility costs

Improve tax base

Property taxes and cost of sewage

Miscellaneous (14% of comments)

Using city funds in a way that benefits the greatest number of residents-families

Complete the Murdock canal trail as soon as possible

Going green

Rec center with Lone Peak HS

Schools/education-I would give teachers a raise for a day

Installing Utopia high speed internet in our community-I would support a tax increase for it

Make the city more family friendly

I review the goals of the city, see if they are in line with what the people want, and

determine what financially feasible and work towards those goals eliminating those things that hinder progress. At times I feel we have such a grand view of what we want Cedar Hills to be that we forget what is realistic and financially wise.

More civility, respect and acceptance of all political perspectives-promote over thy neighbor and start with the City Council

HS boundaries isolate teens that live on East side of Canyon road from other teens in Cedar Hills community. We are a small community-all of our kids should be able to go to school together

I would spend time talking to the residents

Make door-to-door solicitations ILLEGAL

Email notices

Revise utility billing policy-allow 5 day grace period

Allow incentives to be paid to utility companies (esp. internet providences) to provide better coverage to the WHOLE city

School overcrowding

Talk more with people

More activities

Cleanliness

I would encourage bussing kids to elementary school even if taxes go up; it would be worth it and much better use of fuel and energy/less pollution/safer for kids

Merge with a neighboring city

Activities for children to do to keep them off drugs

Senior center

Good schools

It would take more than a day

Meet with more local people

Newsletter to residents, monthly

Opening the trails in the foothills closed by forest service to ATVs

Visit residents in their homes, walk around

I would set up the infrastructure for Utopia fiber optic (see details at www.utopianet.org)

Walk on public relations

I would never run for that office! (Not even for a day)

Local recreation for kids and families

Mandatory recycling

Address the many residents having serious foundation issues

Planting larger trees, add fluoride to water, keep up yards on vacant homes and lots-pay for entire library fees

Upgrade park area with barbeques and more tables

Honesty

No more housing development

More trees in parks, inside basketball/track (mainly for winter purposes)

Golf (11% of comments)

How to create a more profitable golf course
Sell the golf course
Fix the mess with the golf course
Golf course-sell it-too expensive
Get rid of golf course-it pretty worthless
Manage debt better-i.e. Golf course
The golf course and it making no money
Rec center/golf course expansion (club house)
Fix the golf course (hold multiple corporate outings to offset the tax loss)
A nice clubhouse and facilities centered around the golf course
I would get rid of the golf course
Turn golf course into equestrian center
Contribute making improvement to trails and more focus on the beautiful golf course. It is a great course and will get even better with time but it needs to be taken care of.
Sell the golf course-build a public library-listen to residents
Get rid of the golf course
The golf course debt
Get rid of expanding golf course, city offices, cut all expenses
Finish the golf clubhouse with rec center and banquet rooms for weddings and other social events
Sell golf course
Sell golf course to private party
Remove city debt by selling part of golf course and stop increasing budget above inflation rate
Golf course
Cut expenditures (especially golf course and new city offices and rec center)
Sell the golf course
Band/golf course/rec facility (impact fees)
Cancel new building project and use impact funds to reduce our golf course debt.
Paying for an investment that is losing money is insane. Our property tax increase is a cancer!!
Sell golf course
Get rid of golf course
Keep the golf course open-no further residential there
Property allocation of funds/golf course
No more city golf course/lower city costs/lower taxes

Commercial Development (9% of comments)

Bringing in more business (i.e. gas station, different fast food, health food store, clothing, etc.) and making the July arrival a real one like Pleasant Grove or Orem.

Add more retail shopping

Expanding commercial opportunities

More business

Business friendly

More business=more revenue-less of my property taxes gone

I would look into getting a gas station

Construction

More restaurants not fast food

Increase commercial zone and turn golf course into commercial zone

I would increase efforts to bring in more commercial developments to increase the tax base, and then use that extra tax base to fund the special project-not increase property taxes.

Develop the area around Wal-Mart

Commercial development

Attract more business near Wal-Mart-build the tax base

Bring some small services like a small store or small business into a strip mall type situation (maybe old golf course land)

Commercial development

Pursue more retail establishments to bolster tax revenue. We oppose any addition debt for community improvement

Increase business revenues

Cedar Hills needs a gas station-A Maverick next to Wal-Mart and the utility bills are REALLY high in Cedar Hills

Continue to develop commercial zone

Expand the tax base

Development of the commercial area

More commercial development to increase tax base

Developing the commercial area with careful consideration and making sure only quality stores are allowed to be there.

More business to improve tax base

Library (7% of comments)

First look into getting a public library for the kids

Get a library

Library

We need a library!

A public library and recreation center

Library

A city library and an indoor/outdoor pool

A library, perhaps more benefits to being a resident

City library

We need a library and/or community center-a good one!

Have a library for children and the citizen. More youth programs-not just the expense golf course.

Library

Add a public library, plant lots more trees, expand green recycling

Implement more things like a library, recreation center, gas station

Library or bookmobile access

I would love a city library or more city rec. activities for kids (gymnastics, swim lessons).

I would make it a top priority to get a good library. I know we are reimbursed a portion, but we need to have a library system in Utah like Salt Lake County where you can borrow from the whole system and it is free.

Add a library

Building a library

City library and rec center with a pool.

Positive comments (1% of comments)

Doing pretty well-would like to see junky areas cleaned up

We already think it great!

Question 20 - Can you think of any other activities you would like to see included during this week-long event?

Miscellaneous comments (26% of comments)

Special deer hunt or bow hunting-deer are a nuisance
A dance, pet show, we like the dance show cases
"Biggest Loser" type of Contest (AF does it)
Show of your pet contests
Picnic, water fun fight
Please keep the fire truck spraying water for everyone to run under
Variety programs featuring local talent
Move date back to week of July 24-breakfast before parade
Take the money from Family Festival Days and put it into Rec center and aquatic center. And don't forget library!
City supported (blocking streets) block parties
Baby contest, the carnival, cheap night
Patriotic devotional
Talent shows, community breakfast, community service projects
Larger street fair
Something up the canyon?
Enjoyed the vendor booths-farmers market would be fun
Kite flying-wind permissible
Why was it moved to June-want it moved back to July 24th time of year
It used to be better-better attended and a better selection of things to do. We miss the breakfast.

Food (15% of comments)

Chili cook off
We love the pie-eating contest, bingo, vendors, etc.
More food options
Fire dept. pancake breakfast, flea market type sale: crafts and vendors. Parade may be better if combined with neighboring cities
Fireman's breakfast-great fundraiser
Cooking contests, cakewalk, etc.
A pancake breakfast by the LP Marching Band would be good. I feel you should look at Highland Days for ideas. Also you could do one big day instead of spreading it out! You need to change the dates. It wasn't attended very well in June.
Cook off competition

Crafts/Arts/Fair (13% of comments)

Boutiques, fundraising
Cultural activities, crafts, fair-like
Fair
A REAL carnival and craft fair
Quilt show and craft fair
Poetry, short story, art music talent evening
Carnival
Arts and crafts festival-open market
Painting contest

Sports (10% of comments)

Horseback Riding, fashion show, vendor tables
Bike ride (road bike)
Volleyball tournament
3 on 3 basketball tournament
If you had a pool you could do a triathlon
Tennis tournament, family style
Family golf week

Music (10% of comments)

Battle of the bands/talent night, games and activities for older kids
Singing or talent contests
Concerts, live music
Concerts or a play outside
Concerts

Positive comments (10% of comments)

All current activities are great. We are only involved with those of interest.
We like the parade/fireworks and free entertainment. (BMX bikes were great).
I really liked when the Family Festival Days coincided with Pioneer Day.
We like the swim night too.
No. Everything else is great.
Love the booths at the carnival.
No, it was great last year and we loved the fireworks.

Comments about Cost

No, but we would like to see more family friendly pricing for the Fun Pun (Pin?) by either lowering the cost or including it again in the family pass price.
The kids activities are too pricey for many families

Do "pay as you go" activities

Never sign up for kids games because it's too expensive-Kangaroo Zoo-much cheaper

No, but I would like to see the events to be more affordable

Community Service (6% of comments)

Service projects

Service project to help parks/trails kept nice

Improve your neighborhood day-trash, yard, gutters

How about doing something that GIVES back to the community-city project or humanitarian

Games (3% of comments)

Outdoor games-horseshoes, Frisbee, simple dance instruction (square dance, country line)

Fool night, skating night

Adult dance night

Question 30 - What do you feel is the most important issue facing Cedar Hills? How would you like to see this addressed?

Taxes/Fiscal Issues/Cost (24% of comments)

The cost of utilities keeps rising with no notification

No base for taxes-eventually the residents will be left holding the business. The city likes to spend money and then bill the residents. Need a little more fiscal responsibility-do we need an aquatic center when all the cities around us have one and water is the price of gold?

With the economy failing and being destroyed by our federal government the key to survival in the next few years is prudent expenditures of our funds or we will be on the edge of bankruptcy.

Taxes-lower taxes

Eliminate increasing drains of funds.

Budget-cut costs, cut services of recreation. I only need garbage picked up every other week and be charged half. I don't even need it that often usually.

Paying off existing debt-by not incurring further debt and living within our means. Using excess to pay that debt. Your survey is suggesting an aquatic center, recreation center and city hall. No debt payment only increased taxes. The answer is NO! How responsive are you?

Budget is too much for such a city with population less than 10k.

Reduce debt! Don't increase budget above inflation rate. Did you notice we are in recession, which includes deflation? Actually remove snow in timely manner.

Build only the required rec center and no more. Let residents pay for use and daily maintenance.

The continued propensity of mayor/council to spend money and increase property taxes. We are a small community with easy access to nearby facilities-we don't need (and can't afford) a new rec center, etc.... Stop unnecessary spending.

An administration that's interested in reducing our present ridiculous property tax. Is the city competing with neighboring cities? Why would you ask questions about increasing taxes when you've already over-taxed the residents?!

Living with in our current tax revenues and working towards lowering our property taxes

I think you really need to look at what you do. Raising taxes with the economy is terrible.

Many people out of jobs think what you can do to make it better is stop spending money on buildings that aren't needed.

Reducing taxes by increasing commercial development. Being more fiscally responsible.

Lower taxes! Don't increase them!

Reduce fees-find other providers if needed or manage costs better.

The city should quit spending money! We are capitalist not socialist.

Improving tax base to fund development without having significant increase in property taxes

Cap the expenses. Keep the golf course

Money issues-we are a small community-we cannot afford all of the above proposed building projects. The golf course is still a hidden cost to our city budget. Why do we

need a new city bldg.?! Money is tight everywhere. Someone has to pay the bills for the want list.

Getting out of debt, stop spending.

Still concerned about taxes rising and continuous bonding. I don't feel that we need all of the luxuries of bigger communities if we can't afford them.

The city bill seems extremely high compared to the other cities we've lived in.

Taxes keep going up yet the city population is staying about the same last two years. We are not represented today, how could that happen? It has been an issue for years and no one has solved the problem.

High utilities and cost of living

I think the most important in the entire nation is for people (individuals, governments, and businesses) to be financially sound. To be out of debt, quit spending what we don't have. Rather, saving up for future projects and emergencies.

Economic conditions are still too unstable to place more debt on the city or its residents. Long term it would prove to be an administrative financial mistake.

Budget-each item needs to be looked at individually each year and adjusted

The city should have NEVER gotten involved in non-essential services. Golf courses, rec centers, etc. have no business being talked about when our city is up to its eyeballs in debt already. We already pay the highest taxes in the country-

STOP thinking of ways to raise them more. Enough already!

Reduce costs by cutting services that are not suppose to be run by a city and could be run through the private sector and volunteers.

Stop spending the money. We don't more assets that cost the taxpayers money.

We need to get rid of those that are costing money like the golf course.

Limited tax base-commercial development

Debt-get rid of it!

We are spending too much money on things that are not important and we do not have money for important things. Spend money on animal control and speed traps, fixing sidewalks that do not need fixing while other that do need fixing are ignored! I would like to see an audit and have the money spent accounted for. If this is happening let everyone know by flyers to the house.

Keeping taxes low-no more buildings. Get golf course paid off. City needs to manage the resources it has and keep taxes down. The mayor does not need an office. It is a part time position-volunteer position.

Don't go into more debt, keep up the fine level of services

Sewer and utility rates are outrageous. This city has too many fees and the rates are considerably more than other cities yet you provide far less services

To keep cost under control and to generate additional tax revenue from commercial development

Balanced budget

No increase in taxes, please live within your budget

Stop spending money we don't have-get rid of our crook of a mayor

Cost of pressurized water is way too high! Building tax base with more business activity so

that we can lower our property taxes
Keeping taxes low and road maintenance high. Cut some parks (have enough), convert golf course land from residential to commercial (east side of 100 east!)
Our real estate for commercial development is limited so we need to pursue what is available and then be fiscally conservative as to not require tax increases.
Utility rates are much too high-find out why and do something to lower them.
The city needs to develop a tax base above and beyond residential property taxes.
I expect a city to cover the basic: police, fire, ambulance, utilities, and road maintenance services. I do not think the city is in the entertainment or recreation business.
Debt-pay off golf course or sell (if possible) and bring more commercial development to city.
Money in reserve to handle population growth.
Spending money wisely-better people making better decisions for the residents
Get rid of the deb first, build later

Miscellaneous comments (14% of comments)

Keeping a small town, bedroom communities feel with small government.
More residents should be encouraged to leave their outside lights on.
All residents should be treated the same regardless if they work for the city or not.
Recreation center would be great
We need a cemetery
Need more trees
Extract political views and the dissemination of incorrect information from those individuals- nuke them
Slow internet-get Utopia
Experienced leadership or consulting
Urban blight (i.e. foreclosures, depreciating values)
Growth and how to develop
Master plan the south commercial district. Work with USPS to replace older/rusty mailboxes. Make Cedar Hills drive sidewalks more handicap/stroller accessible.
Look into purchasing land from state between Murdock canal and Lone Peak.
Would be good location for rec center, aquatics... Partner with Highland?
Over development
Keep it safe, keep it clean, keep it beautiful, keep it fun
Integrity from the council. I was on the recreation committee and it seems they've thrown out all of our recommendations. We represent the community and you're elected to represent us, not your own interests.
Making sure that when homes are built that they are inspected correctly
Find a way to make these city improvements (partnerships, etc.) rather than relying on small amount of residents to pick up the cost. Wal-Mart and other business were supposed to HELP with cost! And communicate with us. Tell us where our money is going. Sure as heck don't want it going to golf clubhouse.

There should be a vote on anything that cost the citizens. I am not in favor of the recreation center. Let's show the federal govt. how it's done.

Do something with green belt on Oak RD such as a park or trail. Enforce weed ordinance

Growth in schools-I would like to see smaller class sizes. We plan on staying in Cedar Hills for a long time.

Crazy extreme political types need to calm down.

All I am faced with are the challenges that I have to deal with each day. They are definitely not the most important but they affect me. I was shocked to find that Cedar Hills Days charged for admission and I think it's overpriced. We took the family to PG Days because it was free. I feel that the streets could be lit better.

We also never see people being ticked for parking on the street overnight. Our street seems to always be crowded with cars that stay there.

There seems to be construction EVERYWHERE. Maybe focus on one or two projects at a time and once completed start a new one. I am also not very impressed with fire and police efforts; especially knowing we pay the other cities good money to provide those services.

Less traffic, cops. Better fire control.

Move city offices to building on Canyon Road then the Public Safety building can be for fire department. Don't waste money on a trailer. We don't need another building other than a rec/aquatic center

#1 get rid of the HOA in the Cedars we \$50 per month for??? #2 Rec center and pool #3 tax base from business

Stay a small community. No more large buildings. Light commercial will keep the small, nice upscale feeling. Community/aquatics center will generate community involvement and togetherness and offer jobs and improved health.

Build on the 30 acres. Build out the city!

It seems like city has a "negative" reputation as from news and media. We are always in the news for some weird event, person, or mishap!

No taxation without representation!

Commercial Development (13% of comments)

Property taxes are a little high, not enough business to share that burden or knock it down a little

Taxes-more business

Develop more commercially-more restaurants, retail, gas stations, etc.

Need more businesses to help pay taxes to the city to be used for more services

It's proximity to services and shopping needs to be addressed

Develop of commercial zone

Pay more=get same. You need more sales tax base (in a hurry) to fund projects.

Income

Need more income-I think you need more restaurants and a few retail stores to help out with taxes.

More stores and property taxes

Keep taxes as low as possible. Bring in more business to improve tax revenue base.

Tax structure by having more commercial base-more sales zone

Improving the tax base, appropriately using property across from Wal-Mart

Generate income, restore faith in mayor, LOWER PROPERTY TAXES

Commercial options

More shopping areas, taxes on businesses

Need more businesses for the base

Maintain low crime; develop good tax revenue through retail development of 30 acres. Keep property values up by supporting clean yards, streets, and the city in general.

Need a service station and convenience store.

Business development. We need to think of ways to attract more businesses. Also, I think we need a few more streetlights on the Canyon Road-It's so hard to see the deer in the winter.

More commercial development for increased tax funding. Not putting any more burdens on the residents with increasing property taxes.

More commercial development to minimize need to raise taxes and building for nice to do projects and expanding city services.

More taxable commercial business. Rezone more land for taxable commercial.

Need to raise funds from other than already high property taxes. Additional revenue needs to be raised from commercial properties and additional development.

Building of local gas station, local rec/aquatic center. Less funding to golf course

We need more commercial development.

Services for residents (fire, ambulance), proper commercial (limited) development, and rec center/aquatics/city building.

Building a tax base through high volume businesses. Pay off golf course. Look at recreation/pool center when tax base is there. Cedar Hills is a great place to live.

More is better at a sustained rate without raising taxes any further.

City Services/Safety (12% of comments)

Roads are in very poor shape-specifically Canyon Road

Cars parked on a corner at the street-is that legal??? No tickets for cops on the side of the road when there is snow?...

The fire station should be manned!

Public safety, bring full time 24/7 firefighters/medics (2-4) to Cedar Hills.

We know it's coming... Emergency preparedness-citizen group coupled with city council members working together to develop a plan, along with emergency personnel and a bond to set aside money for disaster relief.

Street noise, loud trains, etc.

How to handle growth and traffic

Not doing enough street maintenance. Streets need more light and there might just not be enough staff to accomplish this.

Our preparation for emergency, CERT training, teams established, supplies, education...

Too many roads being worked on at same time and not finishing soon enough

Maintenance of roads, snow removal, infrastructure

Need quicker response time from fire department

Not to beat a dead horse but Canyon Drive scares me. It's way too dark. There is no shoulder for runners and cyclists and it's hard to see deer. Please work with the state to widen/light it ASAP-before building a community center (which is also a good long-term idea).

Clear roads of snow and ice better, build a pool and offer more rec sports for kids!

Emergency response time-it takes Highland 8 minutes to respond to fire/other emergencies.

A house in Cedar Hills recently burned down and a lot can happen in 8 minutes as far as saving or losing a life. We need (CH) our own firefighters and EMTS. I have honestly considered moving to Highland to be closer to these services.

Property values going down-better maintenance on streets.

Speeding traffic-we have a lot of children in our area and we never see someone controlling the speeding cars.

Traffic-getting in and out of CH especially east/west to AF, Mt Ridge Jr High, etc....

Potholes and construction is a bother, library is a must.

Snow removal

Fire station

The trails are great but they could use some improvement. People are driving way too FAST, especially in the school zone.

Continued but controlled community services and commercial development

Snow removal, animal control, pot holes

Need more police patrol-feel very "left out" of police security. Keep up with water issues (pressure, summer water supply for irrigation, etc.)

Negative Comments (10% of comments)

Better budgeting so taxes don't have to be so much.

Get priorities straight

If there is any more construction of homes do it right the first time. There are huge boulders in my neighborhood that cannot be removed. Also, heating and cooling problems for the homes here. Of the 10+ families I've talked to, all of us agree, the heating and cooling systems in place are VERY poor. This is after we added insulation.

Cedar Hills has an entire community (East of Canyon Road) that should have never been built due to poor soil conditions. Now, a large number of them have severe foundation issues. I think the city needs to take some responsibility for that decision and provide assistance to those homeowners.

Backwards after entrance to tract look terrible. Residents should be encouraged to help maintain looks if their yard is facing entrance. Appeal is poor.

More honesty and openness from the council. I think we have some really good people in the council but I also feel some get too caught up in an idea and are unable to step back and take some time to think it through

For years I have seen divisiveness endanger the well being of our community. Anger,

confrontation, derision make a mockery of neighborliness, teamwork, and Christ-like charity. Our city values and our city council should promote a spirit of understanding and cooperation. This would be a great place to live if we continually focused on these qualities.

Very upset about the mountain trails being destroyed. People really enjoyed walking, hiking, riding bikes up there and now they can't. And why do we need people giving tickets on boats parked in front of residents' homes during the summer? Makes me angry!

We would like to see the city council listen better and respond to resident's concerns especially when it comes to issues like rezoning areas of land, as was done with the Public Works bldg. area.

City council does not listen

Neighborhood rules are ridiculous

Having lived in the Cedar Condos and experience the many problems people have had with their units, I feel stricter rules, regulations, building codes, etc. need to be required of the builders. Much of what is here WAS "passed on" without it really being "No to the present" building codes. Now many of us are paying huge money to fix things that would never have happened had codes been enforced. And no one in city hall cares!

Follow popular vote and actually represent what the citizens want

Home construction quality, street maintenance, road/driving safety (lights)...

A mayor and city council that listens to concerns

A couple of years ago the AF police created a huge problem in our neighborhood when they over-reacted with a group of teenage boys who were playing with dry ice. One boy took his own life. The police chief was hostile. Our community continues to grieve. Our ward bishop met with the CH mayor who wouldn't listen.

The same people screw up the city and still they and their friends are still there. They just change seats but they never get out of the office.

To hear the voice of the people and respond accordingly

Cleaning up messes from prior decisions and making CH more a family oriented community as well as bringing in more sales tax money through commercial development.

Future planning and what about the golf building? It's not a rec or exercise place.

Why did you spend money on St. Andrews? It's a ridiculous place for homes (no view!).

Why did you turn down the wedding reception plan? Why did you make the lots going down the hill by Mesquite Park? Who plans this stuff?!?!?

Recreation/Services/Community Center (9% of comments)

Aging population needs more recreation for adults and kids

Build facilities for senior citizens and library

Get the rec center built at the golf course

Aquatic center

Children recreation park

Recreation for families continue to ensure proper development and care of land

Skateboard park for kids to have and activity/community pool similar to Pleasant Grove

Improve/maintain our trail system. I am all for a community/rec center. But it needs to sustain growth. Do something different than other cities-like a nice indoor track and basketball courts. No weight lifting equipment as private sectors take care of this. Do not build or provide what private businesses can do more effectively.

City programs and facilities built for our families

Community center-I would like us to have one. We have to pay extra to use other community centers and we have last choice on times so our kids are forced to play late or early

We need more opportunities for the kids to recreate. That needs to be addressed. However, we need to deal with the embarrassing conditions of our golf facility. We need to make it more user-friendly to bring more opportunities to the city

I think we need a swimming pool, recreation center, and library. I also want to see the commercial area developed so the city can collect taxes and we have more places to shop.

Rec center needs to be built to use collected fees and existing funds. Also with the wind, could we make money with wind energy?

I just think as far as rec center pool activities, etc., Cedar Hills lack a lot. In fact, it feels like we are the city in surrounding areas that have none of that.

We definitely need a rec center with classes for all but we also need a library

Not enough recreational facilities. Put in some nice restaurants, movie theater, aquatics center, etc.

Get rid of golf course and build a rec center. Golf course is a financial burden and supports few people. Rec center would have wide attraction.

A facility that would bring us all together under one roof, a community arts center for art, orchestra, drama, dance, story telling, craft making-a place to create and re-create together. All we know is our neighbors who live close by.

Young families needing recreation places to be involved or there will be more problems with crime as children get older.

Golf Course issue (9% of comments)

Contention over the golf course. Keep the golf course operating as best as possible

Get rid of golf course

I would suggest converting the golf faraway above the highway to a Cedar Hills Cemetery and put the golf faraway below the hill.

Golf course-sell it, privatize it

Pay off the golf course debt before any additional taxes are assessed on residents

Finish golf facility to make it cost supportive by including a rec and community center, etc.

Sell-out golf course, as soon as possible sell-out

Get rid of the golf course-find a private buyer

Keep the golf course open

What to do with golf course and its expenses. Is there a way to be less involved as a city with the golf course (lease golf course to 3rd party)?

Protect the golf course and build homes to pay for it

Stop wasting money on the golf course. I would love to see a pool and community center and maybe a library but don't fully trust it will be done well or that it will turn out the way we are told it will (cost averages, etc.)

Sell or sell building lots to support golf course

Development of events center and golf course clubhouse

Dump golf course!

The golf course-we don't like paying higher taxes for something we don't use.

Sell it! Lower property taxes. What happened to the big horn sheep? Why can't we sled on city golf course hills?

Would be nice to have something constructive done with the section of golf course that was closed. Would be nice to not discourage sledding on the course in winter. Kids have a lot of fun with that. SWIMMING POOL (Olympic size for lap swimmers)

Golf course and other debt

Golf course-earning greater revenue by building a better reception center/pro shop with a very good restaurant. This could bring in golf tournaments and more receptions/parties.

Library (4% of comments)

Lack of a library! Other cities allow us to use their library for a high fee and it is important that our city support its own literacy.

We would like a public library.

A public library would be beneficial to our youth rather than a golf course that is seldom used and cannot support itself without grant loans and increase of taxes.

This money could serve the whole community instead of a select few. Increasing of taxes is not beneficial to anyone in these economic times.

No library-we have to pay to go to a library. We need good recreational facilities.

We use the Lindon Aquatic Center regularly.

You need library-not just a "children's library" but a quality library for all residents.

Public library, gas station, more retail, pool. Expanded golf club area is less of a priority for us.

I think you are showing through this survey that you have thought of the most important issues. The whole library/rec center/aquatic center is of the most importance to us. (But not just a children's library.)

Build a city library/rec center/aquatic center as parts of a single facility.

We need a library and a rec center-desperately! I do not care about the golf course.

Positive comments (4% of comments)

Better PR for the city-Most people, when we tell them that we live here are only familiar with the problems we have. We need more positive press! We have a great city. People should know this instead of the negative. There is always room for improvement but we are grateful to live in such a fabulous place!

Maintaining quality of life, this is a great place to live and I feel lucky to be here.

I am grateful for those who work hard to make things so nice. I really value parks and trails and those who keep them nice. I would love to see city residents do more to help out!

Continue the relaxed residential, suburban style of living.

I feel the city council has gotten a bad reputation. I am not saying it is warranted but I do believe many people do not trust them.

Continue to make it a great place for families-parks, trails, convenient facilities

We feel the city is doing well. The most important part is to control spending.

I love Cedar Hills. My one complaint is the roaming dogs. Some of them are really aggressive and I don't like to feel like I can't walk out my front door without a dog coming after me. I wish the city could help with this by constantly reminding residents to keep the dog on a leash and remind them of the consequences when that doesn't happen. We moved here from Seattle 6 weeks ago and my daughter has been bitten once and other dogs won't let us play in our backyard or front yard. It does get annoying. Please help.

Overall, I think the city is doing a great job. Thanks!

We just moved here so nothing is bothering us. We love it!